UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,



\* 11 CR 102

VIKRAM DATTA,

DEFENDANT,

Doc # 61

BEFORE: HON. LEWIS A. KAPLAN,

District Judge

SEPTEMBER 12,13,14,15, DATES:

2011

SOUTHERN DISTRICT COURT REPORTERS (212) 805-0300

|    | 19cddat1 TRIA                                                                                  | AL ,                             |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTS SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR                                     |                                  |
| 2  | SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR                                                                   |                                  |
| 3  | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,                                                                      | New York, N.Y.                   |
| 4  | v.                                                                                             | (S1) 11 Cr. 102 (LAK)            |
| 5  | VIKRAM DATTA,                                                                                  |                                  |
| 6  | Defendant.                                                                                     |                                  |
| 7  |                                                                                                | x                                |
| 8  |                                                                                                |                                  |
| 9  |                                                                                                | September 12, 2011<br>11:10 a.m. |
| 10 |                                                                                                |                                  |
| 11 | Before:                                                                                        |                                  |
| 12 | HON. LEWIS A. KAPLAN,                                                                          |                                  |
| 13 |                                                                                                | District Judge                   |
| 14 | 77. 7                                                                                          | PPEARANCES                       |
|    |                                                                                                | PEARANCES                        |
| 15 | PREET BHARARA  United States Attorney for the                                                  |                                  |
| 16 | Southern District of New York BY: PETER M. SKINNER                                             |                                  |
| 17 | ALVIN L. BRAGG, JR. Assistant United States Attorneys                                          |                                  |
| 18 | Assistant onited states                                                                        | Accorneys                        |
| 19 | ROBBINS, TUNKEY, ROSS, AMSEL, RABEN & WAXMAN PA<br>Attorneys for Defendant<br>BY: ALAN S. ROSS |                                  |
| 20 |                                                                                                |                                  |
| 21 | WHITE & WHITE                                                                                  |                                  |
| 22 | Attorneys for Defendant<br>BY: DIARMUID WHITE                                                  |                                  |
| 23 | - also present -                                                                               |                                  |
| 24 | Vanessa Quinones, Government paralegal                                                         |                                  |
| 25 | SA Richard Reinhardt, IRS                                                                      |                                  |

TRIAL

19cddat1

(Case called)

THE COURT: OK. You may be seated, folks. Thank you.

OK. Anything you need to raise with me before we go forward? And then I have something to tell the government?

MR. SKINNER: Not for the government, your Honor.

MR. WHITE: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: I gather we are reasonably close to having a panel. The panel will be up quite shortly.

I did want to raise for your consideration,

Mr. Skinner, this: I am beginning to work on the charge. It

really becomes clear that if, in fact, the government pursues

all three alleged conspiracies, we are going to have one very

long charge, and it is not so clear to me that it will be the

easiest thing in the world for the jury to sort it out. And I

just offer for your consideration a question -- you don't have

to deal with it now, I know you have to talk to people -- as to

whether ultimately you want to submit all three to the jury.

Maybe a little selectivity would be in order.

OK. Well, if none of you have anything for me, Andy, what is the estimate on when we are going to get the panel?

THE CLERK: I think about ten minutes, Judge.

THE COURT: Ten minutes. OK. I will see you in ten minutes.

Let's talk about schedule. I think we have covered this before.

TRIAL

So we are going to work Monday through Thursday this week. We are going to work Wednesday and Thursday and part or all of Friday, the part being the afternoon. We are going to see if we can reshuffle the rest of my schedule to free the morning. That gives us seven days.

Then we are going to work the 26th, 27th of September. The religious holidays start the evening of the 28th. So we will get in at least a half a day on the 28th. Although, Mr. Ross, I see you are from Miami. Do you have a view on this?

MR. ROSS: Your Honor, if we work a half a day, I'll manage to get home. Thank you.

THE COURT: OK. And then if we are still in business, we will resume on the 3rd, maybe later in the morning than usual to give Mr. Ross a hand. And I hope we are going to be done by sometime early that week.

Anybody have any belief that that is not going to be doable?

MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, I think we should be done by early that week the beginning of October. The government will have a much better sense of how long its case in chief is going to take after we get through our first witness or two. So I would be happy to give you a better idea of how things are going to fall out on Wednesday.

THE COURT: OK.

19cddat1 TRIAL MR. SKINNER: But at this point, we don't anticipate any problems. We think our case should be completed by the end of next week, even with only three days next week. THE COURT: OK. So as the panel comes up, I will see you again. THE CLERK: All rise. (Recess) (Jury selection began) (Continued on next page) 

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### AFTERNOON SESSION

2:43 p.m.

(A jury of 12 and four alternates were selected)

THE CLERK: Jury entering.

(Jury present)

THE CLERK: Please be seated, everyone.

THE COURT: Andy, please swear the jury.

THE CLERK: Will the jurors please rise and raise your right hands.

(A jury of 12 and four alternates were sworn)

THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated.

THE COURT: OK. Now that you've been sworn, ladies and gentlemen, I'm going to give you some brief preliminary instructions to guide you in the trial.

As I said during the jury selection, it is going to be your job to find from the evidence what the facts are. You and you alone are the judges of the facts. You then have to apply to those facts the law as I give it to you. You must follow the law as I give it to you whether you agree with it or not.

Nothing I say during the course of the trial should be taken by you as indicating any view on my part as to what your verdict ought to be or as to how you ought to find the facts.

The evidence from which you are going to find the facts will consist of the testimony of the witnesses, documents and other things received into the record as exhibits, and any

facts that the lawyers may agree upon or, to use the jargon with which our profession is afflicted, facts to which the lawyers have stipulated.

Some things are not evidence and are not to be considered by you. They include statements, arguments and questions by lawyers. They include objections to questions by the lawyers. Lawyers, of course, have an obligation to object when evidence is offered that they think is not properly received. You shouldn't be influenced by those objections or by my rulings on them.

If I sustain an objection, you will ignore the question. If I overrule an objection, you will treat the answer like any other answer. There may be occasions when the cat gets out of the bag before I get to say "sustained." In those instances, and maybe in some others, I'll tell you to disregard or strike the testimony, or if it is a document, the document. If I do that, you will of course adhere to that instruction.

There may be some occasions where a piece of evidence will be admitted for some narrowly or not so narrowly limited purpose. If that happens, I'll explain it in detail later, but you will in that case then consider the evidence only for the purpose or purposes that I've told you you may consider it.

Finally, anything you may have seen or heard outside the courtroom is not evidence and it should not be considered.

You must decide the case solely on the evidence presented here in the courtroom.

Now, implicit in all of that, of course, is this:

Nobody Googles anybody. Nobody does any research. Nobody

visits any location that may be at issue. You may not do any

of those things. You shouldn't be looking up who the lawyers

or who the witnesses are. None of that stuff. Stay off the

Internet so far as the case is concerned.

There are two kinds of evidence. Generically speaking, one is called direct evidence; the other is called circumstantial evidence. I'll talk to you more about that at the end of the trial.

But direct evidence, broadly speaking, is direct proof of a fact. Imagine this pad were an exhibit in the case and you were considering what color the paper is. This would be direct evidence that it is yellow because you can look at it with your own eyes and see that, or another witness who had seen it could tell you I saw it and I say under oath it was yellow. Circumstantial evidence refers to the process of inferring a fact you can't observe or the witness can't observe directly from something else you can observe. I'll talk to you more about that at the end.

Because you are the judges of the facts, it is going to be up to you to decide, and I just alert you right up front, the extent to which you believe what the various witnesses have

to say and how much weight you attach to the testimony of the various witnesses. I'll talk to you at the end of the case more about some things you might consider in that regard.

Suffice it to say for the present that that's your job, not mine. I don't tell you at the end who was telling the truth or who was accurate. I don't do that. Don't expect it.

This is, of course, a criminal case. You know that. There are three basic rules that you need to keep in mind.

First, as I told you, the defendant is presumed innocent. That presumption stays with him unless and until he's proved guilty beyond a reason doubt to the unanimous satisfaction of the jury.

The defendant, of course, was indicted. An indictment is simply the vehicle by which the government brings a criminal charge against somebody. In federal court it is not evidence of anything. The defendant starts out here with a clean slate.

Second, the burden of proof is always on the government. The defendant doesn't have to prove his innocence. He doesn't have to present evidence. He doesn't have to testify. He has the right to remain silent. The law prohibits you in arriving at your verdict from considering that the defendant has not testified if in fact that's what happened, and I don't know what's going to happen in that regard.

Finally, as I told you, it's the government burden of proof to prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. I'll explain

that term in more detail later. But you can pretty much understand what it is just from the very words. It is, I should say, a more exacting and onerous standard of proof because it is a criminal case; people's lives and liberty are on the line. It is a higher level of proof than you need to collect for somebody who scratches your car in a parking lot. A whole different order of magnitude.

I've already explained the charges to you briefly at beginning of the jury selection. I'll give you detailed instructions on the law at the end of your case. Those instructions will control your deliberations and your decision.

I am going to say something substantive only on one point now, and before I do, I want to emphasize that my instruction on this one point is not entirely complete. It will be complete at the end of the trial. It is a quick once over, just to help you follow the evidence and what the purpose of the evidence is. And in deciding the case, you will apply fully my complete instruction at the end, and to whatever extent what I say to you now is different from or less complete than what I say at the end, you will apply what I say at the end.

Now, I told you that each of the three charges, the three counts of the Indictment in this case, charges the defendant with a criminal conspiracy, participating in a criminal conspiracy. So let me, just in the briefest of terms,

tell you what that means.

A conspiracy in the criminal law is nothing more than an agreement between or among two or more people to attempt to accomplish one or more illegal objectives. That's what it is. It's different from actually committing a crime, the commission of which is an object of the conspiracy.

If two people agree to murder somebody or to hire somebody to murder somebody -- and I'm deliberately picking an example that has nothing to do with this case -- that agreement may be a crime separate and apart from the murder. The murder doesn't ever have to take place for there to be a conspiracy to commit the murder. If the other requirements of conspiracy are satisfied, that agreement is illegal, again, assuming certain other requirements are satisfied. And whether the murder happens doesn't matter one bit on the issue of conspiracy. That's what you need to keep in mind above and beyond everything else. It is really that simple.

Now, a couple of things to be said on your conduct as jurors and then we're ready to begin.

First of all, you are not to discuss the case among yourselves or with anyone else until the twelve of you who ultimately come to decide this case retire at the end of the case and I've told you to begin your deliberations.

Secondly, don't read or listen to anything that relates to this case in any way. If anyone tries to talk to

you about it, please bring it to Andy's attention. He'll tell me, and we will deal with it.

Third, as I said, no research, no investigation about this case on your own.

Finally, try to keep an open mind until the very end. You know, trials are sort of an interesting thing. It is one thing when you live through something, you then experience all the stimuli that come into your total knowledge at one time or in sequence. Trials don't always happen in sequence.

Moreover, you get evidence on some issues sometimes that points in one direction; sometimes you get evidence on the very same issue that points in another direction. So if you leap to conclusions early, you may not be paying the kind of attention you should be paying later on. So try to keep an open mind until you've heard all the evidence.

Now, you are certainly welcome to take notes during the trial. You are not obliged to do that. Keep in mind that it sometimes may be difficult to take detailed notes and pay attention at the same time. If you do take notes, try to make sure that the note taking doesn't distract you to a point where it interferes with the appropriate attention being given to the case.

If you do take notes, don't discuss your notes with anyone else before you begin deliberations. Don't take your notes with you at the end of the day; leave them in the jury

room. At the end of the case Andy is going to collect them, in any case.

If you choose not to take notes, remember, it is your own individual responsibility to listen to the evidence. You can't basically hand off your responsibility to somebody else who is taking notes. It is, of course, ultimately the judgment of all twelve jurors that counts in this case. Each of you has to pay attention to the evidence.

Now, the trial is going to begin in sort of 60 seconds here. The government will begin by making an opening statement. I think of opening statements as kind of like a trailer at the movies. It is kind of a prediction of what the evidence is going to show. Sometimes I see trailers at the movies and later on the movie turns out to be right on the money, and sometimes I see trailers at the movies and then I go home and I say what were they thinking. The same thing happens in trials. The opening statement is not evidence. It is just intended to orient you and for the lawyers to predict what they think the evidence is going to show. Sometimes they are right, sometimes not so right.

After the government's opening statement, the defense has the right, but not the obligation, to do the same thing. The government then calls its witnesses. The defense has the right to cross-examine.

After the government is all done with its proof, the

defense has the right, but not the obligation, to offer evidence. The government gets to cross-examine if they do present evidence.

The government in a criminal case has an opportunity, if it wishes to avail itself of that opportunity, in the event the defendant has offered some proof, to call additional evidence in a third phase of the trial, in rebuttal. Sometimes the government does; sometimes it doesn't.

When that's all done, I will meet with the lawyers and settle on exactly what the instructions to you on the law will say. We'll then come back. You will hear closing arguments from the lawyers, and then I will instruct you on the law and you will retire to deliberate on your verdict.

That's the general program, folks. That's how it should happen and will happen.

And with that, we will have the opening statement on behalf the government.

MR. BRAGG: This case is about drug money. The defendant, Mr. Vikram Datta, owned a business and through that business he took in millions and millions of dollars of drug money. The money belonged to associates of a drug organization based in Mexico.

The organization, drug money, there was an issue -have to make the money look legitimate, look as if it didn't
come from drug trafficking. That's where the defendant came

9.

Opening - Mr. Bragg

in. He took in the cash through his business and hid the fact that the money came from drug trafficking. He made it look like it came from a part of his legitimate business.

Let me start by telling you a little bit about the defendant's business and then about the process through which the dirty drug money was made clean.

The defendant's business is perfume. He operates a perfume business on the border between Mexico and Texas. It is the central part of it. There are some other stores also on the border between Mexico and California. The business was both a retail business; you walk in and buy from an ordinary store. It also has a wholesale component, where it was a warehouse that sold to other perfume companies.

While the business is based down in Texas, you'll hear much of or some of the defendant's perfume that he buys is from this area here. He is supplied by businesses in New Jersey and some right here in Manhattan.

Let me tell you how the process worked. First, we start with the drugs as a backdrop. The drugs start out in Latin America and Mexico. They come up to the United States. They are sold. They generate cash, United States dollars.

The cash then goes back to Mexico. And the organization has a problem. I told you about one of the problems, which is they have to make the money look legitimate. The other problem is that the money is in the form of United

Opening - Mr. Bragg

1 States dollars. The national currency of Mexico is pesos.

So in order to address both of those problems, the organization goes to what is known as a peso broker. A peso broker exchanges currency, in this instance dollars for pesos. Because the money is dirty and the peso broker is operating outside of the legitimate banking system, the peso broker charges a better rate; they charge the traffickers more money to exchange the dollars for pesos. The broker does that.

Now the broker has the dirty drug money. The broker then turns to the defendant. The broker sends delivery people across the border, literally steps from a point in Mexico, to Laredo, Texas, where the defendant's business is centered. The money goes across the border, and, again, it is millions of dollars of money, day after day, \$20,000, \$30,000, up to \$200,000 at a time.

Once the money is delivered to Mr. Datta's business, the courier places the perfume order. The actual perfume is then sold, but it is not sent to the delivery person or to the peso broker, it is sent to a third party, to another perfume business. That perfume business gets the perfume, typically in Latin America, in Mexico. The perfume is sold. It generates pesos. Those pesos then go to the peso broker.

A complete circle, and then the process can start over again whereby the drug traffickers can exchange their dollars for pesos and clean the money.

Opening - Mr. Bragg

So how does that process actually clean it? Now, that's where the defendant's business comes in.

You'll hear evidence that businesses based in the United States have an obligation to report cash transactions in excess of \$10,000. And you'll hear evidence that the reason for that -- one of the reasons for that is to detect money laundering, to make sure that dirty money, money from the proceeds of crimes, isn't permitted into the legitimate stream of commerce. So that is the purpose for the reporting requirement.

And you'll hear that for years the defendant didn't report these transactions. Then there came a point when another perfume company got in some trouble, was arrested for a money laundering crime, and around that time the defendant started to file these reports.

You'll hear that the reports were not accurate. The reports didn't list the peso broker or the courier. The reports listed the third-party perfume business, making it look as if it was a normal, legitimate business transaction, that the cash had come from the perfume business and the perfume had been sold to the perfume business, when in fact the evidence will show that's not what happened. Money came and started from associates of the drug trafficking organization.

You'll hear that the defendant was informed by the IRS, where these forms go, that the forms were not filled out

Opening - Mr. Bragg

correctly. You'll also hear that the defendant's bank inquired about the nature and source of the cash. That's how the system worked and that's how the money was cleaned.

And everyone benefited. The drug trafficker got to off-load the dirty drug money. The peso broker exchanged the pesos for the dollars and was able to charge more, make more for himself because the money was dirty. The courier got paid. The perfume business that sat in Mexico or Latin America got to receive goods it didn't have to report to their home country. And the defendant sold millions and millions of dollars of perfume, a tremendous amount of sales. So that's how it worked.

Now, you'll hear evidence that law enforcement was suspicious that the defendant might be engaging in this type of conduct, so law enforcement decided to undertake an undercover investigation. And you'll hear about a man named Ankur Gupta. He is, as you say, a cooperator, someone who has pled guilty to a money laundering crime and will testify before you in the hopes of leniency at sentencing.

Well, he, for many years, was one of those perfume suppliers in this area that sold perfume to Mr. Datta. He provided an entrée, an introduction, for undercover officers.

And undercover agents, two in particular, Mario and Jose, whose names you'll hear and you'll hear from them both, they met with the defendant, and they talked about moving money. They

Opening - Mr. Bragg

represented themselves to be people who wanted money moved on behalf of an organization, drug organization, in Mexico. And you'll hear, you'll hear these recordings, because what the defendant didn't know at the time was that the undercover agents were recording the conversations. So you will hear them. And you'll hear the defendant talking to the agents about mixing his legitimate perfume business with the dirty business so that it will be difficult for law enforcement to detect.

And it proceeded to be more than just talk. The undercovers and Mr. Datta agreed to do a perfume transaction. The undercovers went to one of his stores, bought \$40,000 in cash and perfumes. The defendant's business took it in. So there was more than just talk, there was an actual transaction here where money that was represented to be narcotics proceeds was moved, converted, by the defendant's business.

And I told you a short while ago about the reporting requirement, that U.S. businesses have an obligation to report cash transactions over \$10,000. So a \$40,000 transaction, that obligation was triggered. And you'll hear evidence that for this transaction, the one where defendant was interacting with the agents who were representing themselves to be money movers on behalf of a drug organization, there was no report made.

So that is sort of an overview of the evidence. And as you've heard a little bit about already, there are three

Opening - Mr. Bragg

charges. There is a money laundering conspiracy charge for Mr. Datta's involvement with the undercover organization and the agents. There is a separate money laundering conspiracy charge for the millions and millions of real, actual drug dollars that he moved through his business. And then there's a third charge, a conspiracy to violate a statute known as the Travel Act. And, essentially, it is very similar conduct, the movement of the actual millions and millions of dollars in drug money but also involves a component of movement across here from Mexico to Texas, interstate or intercountry, and also use of phones between states. So those are the three charges. Two money laundering conspiracy charges and a violation of the Travel Act.

So let me just tell you a little bit briefly about how the government intends to prove its case.

You'll hear from a number of witnesses. You'll hear from Jose Mario, the undercover agents. You are going to hear from a man I didn't talk about yet, Hilario Martinez-Garcia. He, like Ankur Gupta, will come before you as a cooperator, someone who has pled guilty to a money laundering crime and is seeking leniency. He was a courier. He works for a peso broker. And day after day he crossed the border and took millions of dollars to the defendant's business. In December 2010, alone, he took -- by himself, one person took over a million dollars in cash to the defendant's business.

```
19cddat2
```

# Opening - Mr. Bragg

And he'll come before you and he'll testify. He'll tell you that it was drug money. So you'll hear from Mr. Martinez.

You're also going to see records. You're going to see the forms that have to be filled out for cash transactions over \$10,000. You'll have an opportunity to look at them, and you'll see on them that Mr. Martinez's name isn't on there. The name of his boss, the peso broker's, name is not on there. You'll just see the fact that the money came from there is not disclosed, it was hidden.

You'll also see records showing that -- Mr. Martinez's records from the government of border crossings that in fact the evidence will show that he did make those crossings.

And you'll hear recordings. You'll hear wiretaps, as they are colloquially called, of the defendant talking to his employees, talking about the tons of cash the business was receiving. You'll hear calls between a peso broker and the defendant's employees.

And then you'll also hear the recordings. You'll hear the recordings between Jose and Mr. Datta and between Mario and Mr. Datta.

At the conclusion of the trial, we will have a closing and Mr. Skinner will have an opportunity to address you, and he will walk through, I anticipate, a number of calls. But for the moment, I just want to highlight one because it provides a summary. It was between Mario and Mr. Datta and it was after

```
19cddat2
```

Opening - Mr. Bragg

they had interacted a few times, had met, after the \$40,000 cash transaction of perfume. They are out. They are in a restaurant. And they were making plans for future business, talking about how they would launder money in the future.

And at a certain point Mr. Datta said to Mario, the undercover: You know, it's just washing the whole money. It is just washing the whole money.

And Mario responded: Cleaning it. Cleaning it.

And that's what this case is about -- washing money, cleaning money, millions of dollars of drug money, and how Mr. Datta made money for himself in the process.

I'm just going to ask you to do three things. The first is listen closely to the evidence, as I'm sure you'll do. Follow Judge Kaplan's instructions on the law. To use your common sense, the third thing, as you hear the evidence. And the government would respectfully submit that if you follow the evidence, follow the law -- excuse me, and pay attention to the evidence, you will return the only verdict that's consistent with the evidence and the law here, and that is that Mr. Datta is guilty as charged.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Bragg.

Mr. Ross, you can open.

MR. ROSS: Thank you.

Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.

Opening - Mr. Ross

The evidence in this case is going to lead you to the conclusion that Vikram Datta is not guilty of any of the charges against him.

What you're going to learn is that Vikram Datta ran a perfume business originally in New York, been here for many years. In about 2000, he moved to Laredo, Texas, and it is going to surprise you but I think you're going to hear evidence that the four perfume capitals in the United States -- I promise you, this is going to surprise you -- New York, Miami, Los Angeles and Laredo, Texas. That's what the evidence is. Laredo, Texas, is a huge perfume center.

Why? It's on the border between the United States and Mexico. Across the border, two bridges that connect the two states, Nueva Laredo (New Laredo), and Laredo, Texas. The same holds true in several locations between the United States and Mexico.

Mr. Datta, recognizing the market there, recognizing that perfumes are big in Mexico -- not just retail, those are people that -- and you're going to see this and hear this evidence -- about thousands of people coming across the bridge every day from Mexico. Not drug dealers. Not peso brokers. Not money launderers. Average folk come across. Some to buy a bottle, two or three of perfume. Others sort of semi-wholesalers. They come across. Buy \$3,000, \$4,000 worth of perfume. All of that, all of that being bought from

Opening - Mr. Ross

Mr. Datta's business, and others.

You're going to see pictures of Laredo. You're going to hear about Laredo, Texas. What you're going to find out is that the only business where there are more of them than perfume stores in Laredo, Texas are things called casa de cambios. That is a money exchange, money exchange houses.

Much the same as you may have had in your own experience of going to a foreign country and needing foreign currency, you arrive in an airport in France and you want to get French francs. You go to different currency exchanges and they tell you how much they are going to give you for each dollar that you give them, and you shop for the best rate.

That's exactly what Vikram Datta's clientele did.

To be clear, his clientele are not drug dealers. To be clear, his clientele are not money launderers. His clientele are perfume people, people who own perfume stores in Mexico. People who wholesale to other perfume stores in Mexico. He has had the same clientele, same people in the perfume business for ten years -- for ten years.

He's expanded his business over time. He started in 2000 -- actually, in June of 2000 with one store. He grew it right in Laredo with four stores. And you will see the layout of the city. It is the kind of thing where people are coming across the bridges, and they are walking in this very close area, the shopping downtown area. The more stores you have,

```
19cddat2
                                   Opening - Mr. Ross
      the more product you are going to sell.
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Opening - Mr. Ross

And he sold a lot. He grew that. He opened in McAllen, Texas; opened in Mexicali, California, opened in San Ysidro, California; opened in Nogales, Texas, a total of eleven stores by the U.S.-Mexican border.

His buying power became substantial. You are going to hear from witnesses as to why he was successful. He's not successful growing eleven stores because he's selling to drug dealers or drug cartels. He's successful because he reduced his prices. His markup is less. He has a huge volume of people coming to him. And he grew, legitimately, his business.

You're going to hear a lot about the currency market. I've already discussed a little bit about that, this casa de cambio and going into a currency exchange when you arrive in a foreign country and look for a good rate. The government touched upon it briefly, but I think you are going to hear a lot of testimony about the currency market -- the currency market.

And among the things you're going to learn is that in June of 2009, the Mexican government implemented a banking regulation. The banking regulation cost any person in Mexico who deposits pesos and then wants to do a wire transfer 3 percent.

You're going to see from business records going back many years of Mr. Datta how his business changed. Prior to 2009, you know what, cash was the exception, not the rule, with

Opening - Mr. Ross

the exception of the retail people coming across the bridge.
But wholesale, cash was the exception, not the rule. But when
the law changed in Mexico, people in Mexico, instead of paying
3 percent, seek out other ways to buy U.S. dollars to pay for
their product. They go to casa de cambios on the Mexican side.
They go to casa de cambios on the United States side. They go
to peso brokers, the sort of unofficial casa de cambios,
because they can buy more dollars for their peso.

He got paid -- his business got paid sometimes in cash, sometimes a wire transfer, sometimes someone in Mexico would go to a casa de cambio there, deposit pesos there, and they would deliver a check in the United States to him. From perfume dealers, not from drug dealers. From perfume dealers.

The United States in this case decided to target

Vikram Datta. And you're going to here about that, and it

started on October 12th of 2009. And, actually, this case

really starts on September 10, 2009, with the arrest of Ankur

and Ajay Gupta, father and son; operated a perfume business

here in New York City called Nandansons. For a long time they

had a store here in Manhattan. Eventually, they moved to

Edison, New Jersey and they ran a wholesale-only. And they

were one of many, many perfume suppliers for Vikram Datta, who

was supplying eleven retail stores, buying perfume, all

legitimately, paying with wire transfers -- not paying with

cash. But the Guptas got arrested and it's important to

Opening - Mr. Ross

1 understand exactly

understand exactly what they got arrested for.

They got arrested for doing the following:

They had their wholesale perfume business in Edison,
New Jersey. And the first thing they would do is they would
have people bring them cash money and drop off the money to
them. I'll get to where and how. But drop off the money to
them, and they would not, first of all, get the name of the
person who dropped off the money. Nameless people giving them
thousands of dollars -- hundreds of thousands of dollars.

Cash was delivered before perfume is ordered. Through the government's opening statement here, he sort of suggested that Mr. Datta did that; you are going to find out to the contrary.

Cash delivered secretly in parking lots. Ankur and Ajay Gupta would receive hundreds of thousands of dollars in Rite Aid and Burger King parking lots in and around the area of New Jersey.

They never filed any currency transaction reports.

They never deposited money in the bank. They never required identification from any of these people. They never kept records because they were destroyed after they shipped their perfume. Orders did not appear on the books of the business.

Customers were given code names rather than real names. Small bills were exchanged in casinos for large bills. No income tax was ever paid. And no receipts were ever given.

Opening - Mr. Ross

That's the model. That's what the government described in their opening statement just now as what they think the evidence in this case is going to be.

We suggest to the contrary. Mr. Datta's business looks this way, and this is what the evidence is going to show:

Names of people who were paying cash are obtained.

They are required to sign receipts. They are required, if they came across the border from Mexico, to produce a CMIR. That is a -- if you come across the border and you have more than \$10,000, you file a report. You know, you probably heard of it in air ports, an officer asks you, do you have more than \$10,000 with you, you file that report.

And he would get copies of those reports. He would get the passport of people who would come to his business.

Cash isn't delivered in a parking lot of Rite Aid or Burger King, cash is delivered at his store. It is receipted. It is counted. It's documented. Currency transaction reports are filed by the bank. Every dollar -- every dollar that was received was deposited into the bank.

A passport is required if you come across the bridge.

Records of all sales were maintained. Orders were all

documented on the books of the company. Customers' true names

are used. Small bills aren't even accepted. Income taxes paid

on every cent that was earned, and receipts are always given.

That's the difference. This man ran a legitimate

Opening - Mr. Ross

1 | business.

And with nothing more than that, his running a legitimate business, and based on the fact that Ankur and Ajay Gupta got arrested after spending all of this money in these Rite Aid and Burger King parking lots, the government decided they were going to conduct an investigation. They labeled him a target of their investigation and the investigation began. They had no information who was laundering money, not even a suspicion. All they had was Ankur Gupta and his father Ajay Gupta facing prison and they're trying to cooperate with the government.

So in the course of their interviews, the government says, well, who else do you know in the perfume business who we might try to do an undercover? And he gives them the names of about ten different perfume dealers. A couple of them there in Laredo, Texas, a couple here in New York, and one of the names he gives them is his own client, Vikram Datta -- La Versailles Perfumes. That is the name of his business, La Versailles.

But no evidence of any wrongdoing. The investigation begins. How does it begin? They label him a target on October 12th.

On October 13th, Vikram Datta arrives in New York. He had called ahead. Spoken, as he did, to all of his suppliers, Nandansons just being one. I'm coming to New York on a buying trip. And he came to New York and he met with a bunch of

Opening - Mr. Ross

perfume suppliers, including Nandansons, including Ajay Gupta, including Ankur Gupta.

In fact, he saw a fellow there for the first time, a fellow named Roberto, who is going to come to play in this case. And on that date they -- Ankur Gupta principally was talking to Vikram Datta, asking about his business, saying, wow, this is great. You really -- he can see. He can see the number of sales because he has talked to him. He can say this is great. You've grown to eleven stores. That's spectacular. And he starts talking to him about his new store in San Diego, a place called San Ysidro, just outside of San Diego, on the U.S.-Mexican border, like all the other stores.

And he asks him about that. And he says, you know, it's great. You got all this business. It's coming across the border. "Is it drug money?" "I don't know."

That's where the investigation begins. "Is it drug money?" "I don't know." Two men who have known each other for years. They've actually spoken Hindi at that point. You are going to hear the translation. An opportunity, if it was drug money, to say it was. "I don't know." That's where it begins.

OK. That's a swing and a miss for the government trying to make a case.

A month later, November 24th, there is a phone call, November 24, 2009. First, it's preceded by a plague of six or eight attempts by Ankur Gupta to get Vikram on the phone.

Opening - Mr. Ross

Understand that these two businesses talk all the time because they are always ordering perfume from Laredo, Texas, from Nandansons here in New York.

On this particular occasion, he finally gets ahold of him after six or eight efforts on November 24th. And on November 24th, he proposes -- "he" being Ankur Gupta, as a cooperating witness trying to reduce his own sentence in his case for getting all of this cash money in the parking lots as I've described proposes to him that he engages in a transaction that looks like what they did -- dropping money here and getting product there.

And here are the responses you're going to hear on the recording in evidence in this case by Vikram Datta in response to a proposal that he laundered money: "I do not need to make this money. I don't need to get involved in this shit." And I apologize. "Because I have worked for ten years to make my company. I don't want it. I threw out a guy who came into my store with \$200,000 in \$20 bills, and I'm going to throw out another guy if he comes in and does the same. Money that is brought to me from Mexico needs to be declared by customs."

Another swing and a miss.

They should have just walked away from him but they didn't. They came back yet again. On January 19, 2010, Ankur Gupta calls Vikram Datta yet again. On this occasion he proposes again that Vikram Datta accept money in California and

Opening - Mr. Ross

goods are going to be in New York, and this is sort of typical of this money laundering scheme that Ankur and his dad Ajay Gupta had been involved in. And again his answer is one word: "No."

Another swing and a miss. They should have left him alone. But they didn't.

Almost three months goes by after that January phone call. March 1st, 2010. Vikram Datta is off the radar. He hasn't done anything wrong. He has absolutely not bit at their bait. He has told them he doesn't want to do it. "I don't need to get involved," he tells them. And he was at a perfume show. Using your common sense as suggested by the government, who do you expect to be at a perfume show? Money launderers and drug dealers? No. People in the perfume business. People who buy and sell perfume.

So the government plans to start this sting operation again. Undaunted, they are not going to be turned away by the fact that he has not bit their bait on November 24th, didn't take their bait on January 19th, and now they're going to try again at this perfume convention in Las Vegas.

Jose Correa is the undercover agent. He has with him an informant named Roberto. And if I knew more, I would tell you, but we'll find out together as this trial progresses who that fellow is. And Roberto is there. And Ankur and Ajay Gupta are going to introduce Vikram Datta -- that's the plan --

19cddat2 Opening - Mr. Ross 1 introduce Vikram Datta to the undercover agent so they can do 2 an undercover operation on this guy who has already said no 3 several times. 4 But before it begins, before they find him, you're 5 going to hear testimony, perhaps the recording itself, of a 6 fascinating conversation between Jose Correa, the undercover 7 agent, and Ankur Gupta, Ajay Gupta and Roberto, the informant. 8 It's a pep talk. It's while they are looking for 9 10 11 12 13 14

Vikram, who is busy at the perfume show, while they are out looking to get him involved in some kind of criminal conversation to try yet again, here's the pep talk. This is a government agent working in a Drug Enforcement Administration Department of Justice Task Force saying to two cooperating witnesses and an informant: "We don't slow down if something happens." Something had happened. He didn't take the bait, but that's not going to slow him down.

(Continued on next page)

18

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

19C4DAT3

Opening - Mr. Ross

MR. ROSS: We have to find someone. We have to get results. These are quotes. You are going to hear these in the tape recorded testimony. I don't care what you tell him, generate something. Make sure you make him want to work with us. Do whatever it takes. You got to set it up. We got to figure out what to do to get results. Let's start with Vikram. Let's get aggressive and get this guy on board.

You will hear Ankur Gupta in the background at that very moment and hear him say to the agent words that I think summarize the entire case. He says, regarding that series of phone calls you had us do with Vikram, we did everything we were supposed to, he wouldn't take our money. They should have left him alone. But they didn't.

The following day is March 2, 2010. They find Vikram at the perfume convention. Now it's Jose Correa, Roberto, the informant. They are introduced. How are they introduced. Ankur and Ajay introduce them. These are wholesale customers from Mexico, very good customers, they have been good customers of ours, and you have some lines, different perfume lines that they want that we don't have. We know that you have them, so we are going to have our very good customers, you are going to take care of them.

That's the introduction. There is a recording of the conversation and it's not very long and it's a sit-down conversation undercover. Let me tell you a few things that are

19C4DAT3

. 21

Opening - Mr. Ross

not said. Jose never says this is drug money. Jose never says there is anything illegal or improper about the money. Jose never says anything to suggest for even one second that this was some unlawful activity. What is said is he asks, can I pay cash, can I pay cash, and this man's answer, Vikram Datta's answer, I need your passport, I put it in your name, and I deposit the money. That's his answer. They should have left him alone.

Fast-forward. They struck out again. Five months passes, all the way from March 2 to August 8 of 2010, and during this period of time is there any evidence that Vikram Datta is calling the agents trying to launder money, trying to get involved in their business. No, no phone calls. But Ankur, Ajay, they have to work off their case, they have to get a reduction in sentence. There is another perfume show coming up in Las Vegas in August, another opportunity to take a shot at Vikram Datta. That's just what they do.

Before that they find him, this fellow Roberto, the informant and Ajay Gupta, the father, on August 8, 2010, they find Vikram Datta at the show. They track him down and they tell Vikram that Roberto and his son, he represented Jose, the agent, to be his son, had lost a lot of money with regard to some merchandise and that they have an investor who was in New York and Mexico and who is going to put up some money, and Robert said to him, Roberto said his whole business was at

Opening - Mr. Ross

risk, so whatever the investor asks please do it and make our life easy. Ajay reassures, these are very good customers.

The next day he has a meeting, August 9. At this meeting, Vikram Datta meets Mario, the second undercover agent in this investigation, no longer Jose but Mario, again with Roberto, the informant, same informant, different agent. Mario is telling him he wants to do business with him. He doesn't tell him he is a drug dealer, doesn't tell him his money is from drugs, doesn't tell him any of those things. He tells him I want to do business with you, I want to buy perfume from you.

Vikram Datta asks this question, how much do you want to buy every month. Here is the answer from Mario: we are talking millions. Well, the hook is set. It's called entrapment. The man said no, he said no again, he said no again, and he said no again. Now what's on the table is millions of dollars. Even then, even then, saying yes to wanting to sell millions of dollars, they propose that Vikram Datta receive cash and send a wire. He says no. They propose he drop money here and there an deliver goods. He says no.

What he does say yes to ultimately, and he is not told this is drug money, is yes you can buy, I think the government referred to it as \$40,000, it's really \$38,000 and change of perfume, which is what they did. They bought perfume at the San Ysidro store and, yes, the paperwork did get screwed up on the 8300 and you are going to hear testimony about how and why

```
19C4DAT3
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Opening - Mr. Ross

1 that happened but it is nothing to do with laundering money.

Right after this transaction in San Ysidro,
California, that actually happened on August 23, they picked up
the goods on and August 30 and paid in two payments, 20,000 and
18,000 for a total of \$38,000, then Mario starts calling
Vikram. He says to him I have a customer who wants to give
you, wants to drop \$50,000, can you receive the money for me,
Vikram, in New York. No. Another no in a long series of nos.
He then finally say, OK, I am going to wire transfer you
\$50,000. He wires him \$50,000 on September 24, 2010.

On September 27, 2010, Vikram Datta is in San Diego looking after his San Ysidro store. He travels all across the U.S. side of the border to all the stores that he has as you would expect an owner of a store to do. He goes there. goes to San Diego. He sits down and Mario asks right at the beginning of this recorded meeting, if and even believing, even believing that he was going to get, we are talking millions Mario said in perfume sales, Mario asks him if he would do wire transfers from his companies instead of just selling perfume. The undercover suggests to him let's use phony receipts. No. The undercover suggests to him sending fake merchandise. No. Mario is pushing Vikram to get involved in this money laundering scheme he is proposing to send money to Panama and other places. His answer consistently, repeatedly, no.

Vikram is then offered points. The undercover says to

Opening - Mr. Ross

him, let me offer you points, let me offer you a percentage if you will agree to take cash and then wire this money down to South America or actually to Mexico, Guatemala. Vikram's answer, no. Let me quote him on that tape. In saying no, he goes on and says, I cannot do nothing, I will not destroy my very good business for nothing. No, I cannot do nothing.

The undercover agent says, look, Vikram, we have to find a way to help these people to help ourselves, give us some suggestions. The agent has not been able to get him to do anything so now he is asking him for ideas. Send the \$50,000, the agent says to him, that it's already been wired, it's a wire transfer, not cash, send the \$50,000, send the 50 and charge me 5, 6 whatever, 10. Vikram, no. Moreover, Vikram says, but even that I will not do. I can only sell perfumes, I don't want to get involved. Those are the words that came from that man's mouth while the government was proposing that he involve himself in money laundering.

So having failed to get Vikram Datta involved in laundering money and more than a month after, this is one of the interesting things is this September 27 meeting, you have to keep in time referenced here, the transaction that the government says he knowingly engaged in because the agent told him was drug money occurred on August 23 and picked up the goods on August 30.

It's a month later on September 27 for the very first

Opening - Mr. Ross

time in this case, the undercover agent says to Vikram Datta that he, the agent, is working for the Sinaloa cartel. That's the game. The game is let's get him to engage in a transaction not knowing that it's drug money and I will tell him afterwards that it's drug money and then we will bring him into court to prosecute him. That's what the evidence is going to show you happened here.

Now Vikram hears that it's the Sinaloa cartel. He won't even sell them perfume. He doesn't want anything to do with them. When they propose money laundering to him after he hears Sinaloa cartel, here are his answers. No, no. My business is earning without that money. I do not need it. I have learned to live without that money. The agents try to get Vikram to do something with the \$50,000. It was all kinds of proposals, just send it here, pretend there was an error, forward it on, any way they could get him to do something that could be called money laundering.

Vikram's answer, I have to send it back to the same account it came from. That is your money. I do not want it.

Lo and behold, folks, you are going to see the records. Two days later after that meeting, on 29 September, that's exactly what happened. Vikram Datta gives directions to his employees, you immediately send that wire transfer back because now he has heard it, these are bad people, this is the Sinaloa cartel.

At this point, Vikram wants nothing to do, that's what

Opening - Mr. Ross

the evidence is going to show you, wants nothing to do with a guy he just found out is working somehow with the Sinaloa cartel. But for one really one of the most unbelievable unforeseen events, he would never have had contact with them again. What's that event. On November 18, 2010, a fellow named Octavio who you are going to hear about, one of the dearest friends of Vikram Datta and had been one of his best perfume customers in Mexico for a number of years who lives in Mexico was kidnapped, was kidnapped, when you hear and read about that, so we have a notion how dangerous that might be.

Octavio gets kidnapped. When Octavio gets kidnapped, if you have a friend who gets kidnapped like Vikram Datta had a friend that got kidnapped and you know that the hoodlums, the cartel, the bad people in Mexico kidnapped your friend, who do you go to for help. Vikram went to the only hoodlum he knew, Mario, the undercover who a month earlier had told him he works for the Sinaloa cartel. He calls him. You will hear the recording of that conversation. It's not about money laundering. It's about can you help me get my friend, I will pay his ransom, I just want him to live, I don't want them to hurt him, I don't want them to kill him.

This all of a sudden, this undercover make-believe operation, folks, runs head on into reality. Reality is a guy whose life is at stake in Mexico. You will hear Vikram Datta. He does everything he can to help his friend, everything he

Opening - Mr. Ross

can, and the words he uses to talk to Mario when Mario says I am on it, I will have my people look into it, we will take care of it, he says, I owe you for this. Thank you very much, Mr. Mario.

A couple of weeks later, December 7, Octavio is still kidnapped, he is not yet released. There has been discussion, there has been consideration, a dialogue. Mario keeps giving Vikram updates on what's happening with Octavio. He tells him I heard, I heard it wasn't just him, there were five people, there was one woman and three over guys, and I heard that some of the other people had been released. And he is giving Vikram that information and Vikram is believing it and Vikram is believing it and Vikram is believing that this guy is really helping him.

I don't know, maybe he was; we are going to find out together. That's what was being said. Whether it was real or not, that was what was being said. So on December 7, the agent requests a meeting with Vikram, and Vikram's happy to go because he cares about only one thing, Octavio and getting him released. He meets him in Las Vegas. This is not a show. This is different. This is not a perfume show. This is just him going to meet Mario thinking that Mario, albeit a criminal, can help him get his friend released.

You will hear a recording of that conversation and you will be satisfied that that's what that meeting is all about.

Opening - Mr. Ross

He says to him, he wants to thank him, he wants to introduce Octavio to him if and when he gets released so he can say thank you. Even at this meeting where the concern is about his friend, Mario, the undercover, is going to take another shot at Vikram with the money laundering. He proposes to Vikram. He says Vikram what I want you to do is you launder \$1 million and just tell me how much are you going to charge me. Vikram's response, this is something, I have no knowledge of, I have no knowledge of this thing. Because he doesn't. Another swing and a miss, another no.

Mario says, maybe I haven't been clear with you. I am moving kilos for the Sinaloas. Now he's gone from September 27 finally telling him he is involved with the Sinaloa after a month after the transaction the government charged here. Now he is on December 7 and now he is moving kilograms of cocaine. Despite all of that, Vikram Datta, he is scared, he is scared. He says, the undercover says your friend is still there, referring to Octavio, and Vikram says, yes, he is still there. The undercover says how do I know that. Vikram says that's why I came here.

He went to that meeting not to launder money, not to enter into some agreement to launder money. He said no repeatedly every single time. He went there to help his friend. That's the reason he came. Vikram is not interested in laundering money. He is interested only in getting his

.11

Opening - Mr. Ross

friend released. On December 15, 8 days after this December 7, 2010 meeting, Octavio is released. A month later, Vikram on a normal trip to New York where he is going to see his suppliers and everything else makes an agreement after plague, another plague of phone calls from the undercover agent. It's always that way.

The only time that Vikram Datta ever calls the agent is on November 18 when Octavio is kidnapped. All the other phone calls are Ankur calling him, the undercover calling him. That's how it worked. They are after him. After that plague of phone calls, they finally have a meeting in New York on January 15, 2011, and the agent asks him, you are going to hear all these things in the conversation about going to Belize and opening up a duty-free store, all this stuff that he spoke to them about, you will hear the tape for yourself, this man was intoxicated. You will hear it on the tape. He said all kinds of stuff.

They even asked him, have you made any progress in opening the store to Belize. No. He had not made any progress in anything because none of that was real. He tells them again, I thank you that my good friend is out, thank you.

Mario is not done, one last shot. Mario starts talking to him about commissions for money laundering. Vikram's answer, you don't need me, I really have no idea how does it work, I have no idea, you do not need me for nothing. The undercover says,

Opening - Mr. Ross

that's why I keep calling though. Vikram, you do not need me. The undercover, we are going to be partners. Vikram, you don't need me. Vikram, I did everything by the books, I did everything 100 percent by the books.

Then, Vikram Datta got arrested and that brings him here to you. That's what the evidence is going to be. When that evidence comes out, we are going to go ask you to return the only verdict you can return, not guilty. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Let's take a few minutes and we will move then to the government's first witness.

(Recess)

(Jury not present)

THE COURT: Mr. Ross, this is my standard practice, so I am going to address your client.

Mr. Datta, I need to tell you what you probably know already that you have the right to testify in this case if you wish. That is your decision and your decision alone. You have the right to the advice of your attorneys as to whether you ought to do it, but in the last analysis it's not their call, it's yours. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: If we get to the end of the government's case and your lawyers say they are resting without putting on any evidence or your lawyers put on some evidence and they say

Opening - Mr. Ross

they are going to rest without calling you to the stand, if it is your wish to testify, what I want you to do is just stand up, don't say anything, just stand up. I will send the jury out and find out why you are standing. If do you not stand up, I am going to take it their decision not to call you as a witness is what you want to do as opposed to what they want to Do we understand each other? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: OK. Let's go. (Continued on next page) 

```
19C4DAT3
```

Opening - Mr. Ross

1 (Jury enters courtroom)

THE COURT: The record will reflect that the jurors and the defendant all are present as they have been throughout.

Mr. Skinner, your first witness.

MR. BRAGG: The government calls Mario Recinos, your

Honor.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

20

24

MARIO RECINOS,

called as a witness by the Government,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

11 BY MR. BRAGG:

- Q. Where do you work?
- A. I work for the Passaic County sheriff's department.
- 14 | Q. What do you currently do for the sheriff's department?
- 15 A. At the present time I supervise the evidence room.
- 16 Q. Where is Passaic County?
- 17 A. Passaic County is in the State of New Jersey.
- 18 Q. How long have you had your current job?
- 19 A. Approximately two months.
  - Q. What did you do prior to your current job?
- 21 A. I also worked for the Passaic County sheriff's department.
- 22 | Q. What did you do when you worked previously for the
- 23 | sheriff's department?
  - A. I was a lieutenant for the sheriff's department.
- 25 Q. How long did you work for the sheriff's department as a law

Recinos - direct

- 1 | enforcement officer?
  - A. A total of 32 years.
- 3 | Q. What were your responsibilities in your last position as
- 4 | lieutenant?

- 5 A. My last assignment was with the drug enforcement, DEA,
- 6 which stands for DEA. I am sorry, it was the other way, DEA
- 7 || which stands for Drug Enforcement Agency.
- 8 | Q. What did you do with the Drug Enforcement Administration?
- 9 A. I was in a task force.
- 10 | Q. What was the function of the task force?
- 11 A. To investigate narcotics and money laundering.
- 12 | Q. How long were you a member of the task force?
- 13 | A. Approximately 8 years.
- 14 Q. Which agencies compromise the task force?
- 15 A. It was all different municipalities throughout the State of
- 16 New Jersey. There was IRS agents and DEA agents.
- 17 | Q. By IRS are you referring to the Internal Revenue Service of
- 18 | the United States?
- 19 | A. Yes, sir.
- 20 | Q. Who was in charge of the task force?
- 21 A. An agent, a DEA agent.
- 22 | Q. Did that person have authority to investigate money
- 23 | laundering crimes?
- 24 A. Yes, he did.
- 25 | Q. While you were on the task force, did you act at that

Recinos - direct

- person's direction when you were doing money laundering investigations?
  - A. Yes, sir.

3

8

10

- Q. Did there come a time when you were on the task force that
- 5 you become involved in an investigation of a person named
- 6 | Vikram Datta?
- 7 | A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Do you see Mr. Datta in the courtroom today?
- 9 | A. Yes, I do.
  - MR. ROSS: We would stipulate to the identification if the government wishes.
- 12 MR. BRAGG: Certainly.
- THE COURT: So stipulated. Members of the jury that
  means that they agree; they agree that the witness would
- 15 | identify the defendant.
- 16 BY MR. BRAGG:
- 17 Q. Returning to your current job a moment, did you retire from
- 18 your law enforcement component of work for the state county
- 19 | office?
- 20 | A. Yes, I did.
- 21 | Q. When did you retire?
- 22 A. June of this year.
- 23 | Q. You are now working as a civilian so to speak?
- 24 | A. Yes, sir.
- 25  $\parallel$  Q. What was your role in the investigation of Vikram Datta?

# Recinos - direct

- 1 | A. I was one of the undercovers.
- 2 | Q. As an undercover who did you represent yourself to be?
- A. I represented myself to be a Guatemalan national who could
- 4 move a lot of money and who had a lot of friends in Mexico and
- 5 | Guatemala.
- 6 Q. In connection with the undercover investigation did you
- 7 | record meetings that you had with the defendant?
  - A. Every time I met with him I was wearing a digital recorder.
- 9 Q. Approximately how many times did you meet with the
- 10 | defendant?

- 11 | A. Four times.
- 12 | Q. What was the first step in the undercover investigation
- 13 | that you are aware of?
- 14 | A. After the planning it was decided that another undercover
- 15 | and an informant was going to be introduced to Mr. Vikram
- 16 Datta.
- 17 | Q. What is the other undercover's name?
- 18 A. His name is Jose.
- 19 | Q. What is the informant's name?
- 20 | A. Roberto.
- 21 | Q. When you said informant, what did you mean by that?
- 22 | A. A person that is cooperating with the government.
- 23 | Q. Did you understand that Roberto was charged with a crime?
- 24 | A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Why was he working with the government?

4

5

6

7

9

10

14

15

- A. He was cooperating to, he was cooperating with the government because he had a pending charge and he wanted to cooperate and help himself.
  - Q. Who introduced Jose and Roberto to the defendant?
  - A. Ankur Gupta and Ajay Gupta.
    - Q. Who are they?
    - A. They are also cooperators.
- 8 | Q. Do you know why they -- withdrawn.
  - Were they also cooperating with the task force investigation?
- 11 | A. Yes, they were.
- Q. Prior to them covering the investigation, what was the Guptas' relationship with the defendant?
  - MR. ROSS: Objection based on hearsay; I have not objected thus far.
- 16 | THE COURT: Thank you. Sustained.
- Q. Do you have any understanding as to the relationship between Mr. Gupta and Mr. Datta?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 MR. ROSS: Same objection.
- 21 | THE COURT: Sustained. The answer is stricken.
- 22 | Q. Approximately when was this meeting?
- 23 | THE COURT: I am sorry, what meeting exactly?
- Q. You just testified, lieutenant, that the Guptas introduced

  Jose and Roberto to the defendant; am I correct?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 | Q. When was that introduction?
- 3 | A. March 2010.
- 4 | Q. Where was it?
- 5 | A. It was in Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 6 Q. Where specifically in Las Vegas, Nevada?
- 7 A. It was a perfume exposition.
- 8 | Q. Were you present for that introduction?
- 9 | A. Yes, I was.
- 10 | Q. For the introduction in --
- 11 A. Not for the introduction. I was there at Las Vegas also.
- 12 | Q. When was your first interaction with the defendant?
- 13 | A. August of 2010.
- 14 | Q. Where was this interaction?
- 15 | A. It was also in Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 16 | Q. Where in Las Vegas, Nevada was that?
- 17 | A. It was at another perfume exposition.
- 18 | Q. How did it come to be that you interacted with the
- 19 | defendant?
- 20 | A. It was an operational decision; we decided, they decided to
- 21 | send me in with Roberto.
- 22 | Q. When you say they who are you referring to?
- 23 A. My group, my supervisors.
- 24 | Q. How did you meet defendant?
- 25 | A. I was introduced to the defendant by Roberto who had

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

Recinos - direct

- 1 | already met him and also Ankur and Ajay Gupta.
  - Q. Who did you represent yourself to be?
- 3 A. A Guatemalan national who could move a lot of money.
  - 0. Where is Guatemala?
  - A. In Central America south of Mexico.
- 6 | Q. Why did you say -- withdrawn.

After the introduction when was your next interaction with the defendant?

- A. I proceeded to ask them we could speak for a few minutes.
- Q. Did you in fact speak for a few minutes?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | THE COURT: Was this on the same occasion?
- 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 14 | Q. What time of day was this introduction?
- 15 A. It was approximately 2, 3:00 in the afternoon.
- 16 | Q. After the introduction and the speaking separately did you
- 17 speak with the defendant later that day?
- 18 A. Yes, I did.
- 19 | Q. Where did you speak with the defendant later that day?
- 20 A. Later that day, I spoke with him; we met at his hotel.
- 21 | Q. Where within the hotel?
- 22 | A. In a bar.
- Q. What as a general matter did you talk about in that
- 24 | conversation?
- 25 | A. I wanted to discuss moving money. I explained to him that

4

5

6

7

19

20

- I was having a problem with the people that I was working with because it was taking them too long to move the money and I needed to move it a little quicker and I needed his assistance.
  - Q. Subsequent to that interaction did you have any additional interaction with the defendant?
  - A. Yes, I did.
  - Q. What was that interaction?
- 8 A. After that evening, phone calls.
- 9 Q. Approximately how long after that evening did you have a phone call conversation with the defendant?
- 11 A. I am sorry, are you asking me about the second meeting that 12 day?
- 13 | Q. Withdrawn.
- 14  $\parallel$  A. There were two meetings that day.
- 15 Q. Tell us about the second meeting.
- A. OK. After I met him at the exposition he agreed to meet me later that evening, and we met at a bar.
- 18 | Q. Where was that bar?
  - A. It was at the hotel where he was staying at.
  - Q. What as a general matter did you talk about at the bar?
- 21 A. The same thing, moving money, and I explained to him that I
- 22  $\parallel$  had access to a lot of money, I had a lot of Mexican and
- 23 || Guatemalan friends that needed me to move money for them and
- 24 | that I was having a problem moving it fast enough for them,
- 25 there was a lot of money and I needed to move it quick.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

23

- Q. After that conversation with the defendant, when was the next time you spoke with him?
  - A. Over the phone, shortly after that meeting.
- Q. What as a general matter did you speak with him about on the phone?
  - A. We continued to speak more or less about the same thing; he had explained to me that he wanted to open duty-free stores in Belize. That was the conversation, that was what the conversation was about.
  - Q. Did there come a point in time when as part of the undercover operation, you had discussions about purchasing perfume?
- 13 | A. Yes.
  - Q. To your knowledge was a purchase of perfume made in connection with the undercover investigation?
    - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Approximately how much was paid to the defendant's business for the perfume?
- MR. ROSS: Objection based on hearsay.
- THE COURT: Well, lay a foundation, counsel.
- Q. Did you speak with the defendant prior to the perfume purchase?
  - A. Yes, I did; I made the arrangements.
- Q. In making the arrangements did you speak with the defendant about how much perfume would be purchased?

- 1 | A. Yes, sir.
- 2 | Q. Did you speak about the method of payment for the perfume?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- $4 \parallel Q$ . How much, what was the dollar value of the transaction?
- 5 | A. \$40,000.
- 6 Q. How was payment made?
- 7 | A. Cash.
- 8 | Q. After that purchase did you meet with the defendant again?
- 9 A. Yes, I did.
- 10 | Q. When?
- 11 A. I believe it was in September of 2010.
- 12 | Q. Where did you meet?
- 13 A. We meet in San Diego, California.
- 14 Q. Where in San Diego, California?
- 15 A. We met at a restaurant.
- 16 | Q. Who was present for the meeting?
- 17 A. Roberto, the informant, Mr. Datta and myself.
- 18 | Q. What as a general matter did you discuss at that meeting?
- 19 A. We continued talking about moving money, and at that
- 20 | meeting I asked him if he would be willing to do wire
- 21 | transfers.
- 22 | Q. Did you further identify yourself to the defendant at that
- 23 meeting?
- 24 A. Yes, I did.
- 25 | Q. How so?

# Recinos - direct

- 1 | A. I told him that I was working for the Sinaloa cartel.
- 2 | Q. What is the Sinaloa cartel?
- A. It's a drug trafficking organization in Mexico, inside of
- 5 Q. After this meeting in San Diego did you speak again with
- 6 | the defendant?

Mexico.

- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Was this a meeting or a call or some other form of
- 9 | communication?
- 10 A. We had numerous calls.
- 11 | Q. What as a general matter were those calls about?
- 12 A. We continue speaking about moving money and also about him
  13 opening the duty-free stores.
- Q. Did there come a time during those calls when the defendant asked you for any type of assistance?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17  $\parallel$  Q. What type of assistance did the defendant ask you for?
- 18 A. His friend had been kidnapped in Mexico by the Zetas and he 19 explained that, he was asking me for my assistance.
- 20 | Q. Who are the Zetas?
- 21 A. Another drug trafficking group based out of Mexico.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. What type of assistance did the defendant ask for?
- A. He wanted to know if I had any way of accepting a wire transfer from him in the State of Mexico and also he asked me
- 25 | for assistance to help him to have his friend released without

## Recinos - direct

- 1 | being harmed.
- Q. Subsequent to those calls did you later meet with the
- 3 defendant in person again?
  - ∥ A. Yes, I did.
- 5 | Q. When?

4

- 6 A. Early December of 2010.
- 7 | Q. Where was that meeting in early December?
- 8 A. That meeting was also in Las Vegas, Nevada.
  - Q. What type of venue did you meet in?
- 10 | A. I am sorry, can you repeat the question.
- 11 | Q. What type of venue did you meet in?
- 12 | A. We met in a casino where he was staying.
- Q. What as a general matter did you talk about during that
- 14 | meeting?
- 15 | A. We continued talking about moving money, and he explained
- 16 | that he wanted to meet me, he wanted to see me again to ask me
- 17 | again where exactly was I because he realized or he thought
- 18 | that I had helped him.
- 19 | Q. When you say thought that I helped him, what are you
- 20 | referring to?
- 21 | A. I am referring to his friend and four other people that
- 22 were kidnapped in Mexico.
- 23 | Q. What is his friend's name?
- 24 | A. Octavio.
- 25 | Q. During this meeting in December, did you further identify

Recinos - direct

- 1 | yourself in any way?
- 2 A. Yes, I did.
- 3 | Q. How so?
- A. I explained to him that I was working for the Sinaloa

  cartel, and that I was moving kilos, kilograms of cocaine for

  them and also, I was also moving a lot of money for them and

  that I used to work for the Zetas but I had decided to move on
- 8 | and just work with the Sinaloa cartel because they were violent
- 9 and ruthless.
- Q. When you say they were violent and ruthless, who are you referring to?
- 12 A. I am referring to the Zetas.
- Q. After this meeting in December, did you meet again with the
- 15 A. Yes, I did.

defendant?

14

18

- 16 Q. Where was the meeting?
- 17 A. The meeting was in New York.
  - Q. When was the meeting in New York?
  - A. The meeting was early January 2011.
- 20 | Q. What as a general matter -- withdrawn.
- 21 Who was present for that meeting?
- 22 A. Mr. Datta and myself.
- 23 | Q. What as a general matter did you and Mr. Datta talk about?
- 24  $\parallel$  A. I wanted to establish that I was going to give him my
- 25 permission for moving money for me and also I wanted to inform

Recinos - direct

- him that I had obtained \$150,000 for him from the group that had kidnapped his friend.
  - Q. Had you actually obtained that money?
  - A. No.

3

4

5

6

7

8.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

- Q. What if anything happened after your meeting with the defendant in January?
- A. Mr. Datta was then arrested.

MR. BRAGG: Your Honor, may I approach.

THE COURT: Yes. Can you use the viewer.

MR. BRAGG: I have a number of recordings.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. BRAGG: Thank you, your Honor.

#### BY MR. BRAGG:

- Q. Lieutenant, I have just handed you in the Redweld there, you can retrieve them, several disks which have been marked for identification as 103 through 108 and, in addition, I have handed you documents that have been marked for identification as 103T through 108T. Do you recognize, start with the disks, do you recognize the disks?
- 20 | A. Yes, I do.
  - Q. How do you recognize them?
- 22  $\parallel$  A. My initials are on the CDs and the date.
- Q. Did you listen to the recordings prior to putting your initials on them?
- 25 | A. Yes, I did.

- Q. Take out each of the documents marked with a T. Do you recognize those documents?
- 3 | A. Yes, I do.
- 4 | Q. What are they?
- 5 A. This is the transcripts of these recorded conversations.
- Q. Can you perhaps take out the transcript and the disk and
- 7 | tell us what the 103 relates to when you say of the
- 8 | conversations. Let me do this this way; it may be a little
- 9 more efficient. Withdrawn. What does 103 relate to?
- 10 A. 103 is the first time, it's a recording and transcript of
- 11 | the first time I met Mr. Datta.
- 12 | Q. Does the recording accurately reflect your conversation
- 13 | during that first meeting?
- 14 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Does the transcript reflect the part which is recorded of
- 16 | the conversation?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- 18 | Q. Are the attributions as to who is speaking also accurate?
- 19 | A. Yes, sir.
- 20 | Q. What does 104 relate to?
- 21 THE COURT: Let's break here. Members of the jury, we
- 22 | will see you at 9:30 tomorrow morning. The witness can stand
- 23 | down.
- 24 | (Jury leaves courtroom)
- 25 (Witness excused)

Recinos - direct

THE COURT: Mr. Ross, unless I misunderstood your opening, I would imagine that a stipulation is available for the asking about all these exhibits. MR. ROSS: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Would you guys work that out overnight and save everybody the time of this witness and any others going through this. MR. ROSS: We have a number of stipulations and we will be happy to enter those. THE COURT: Fine. Let's get it worked out. See you in the morning. (Trial adjourned to September 13, 2011, 9:30 a.m.) 

| 1  |                       | INDEX OF | EXAMINATION |                                       |
|----|-----------------------|----------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| 2  | Examination of:       |          |             | Page                                  |
| 3  | MARIO RECINOS         |          |             |                                       |
| 4  | Direct By Mr. Bragg . |          |             | 46                                    |
| 5  |                       |          |             |                                       |
| 6  |                       |          | •           |                                       |
| 7  |                       |          |             |                                       |
| 8. |                       |          |             |                                       |
| 9  |                       |          |             |                                       |
| 10 |                       |          |             | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 11 |                       |          |             |                                       |
| 12 |                       |          |             |                                       |
| 13 |                       |          |             | •                                     |
| 14 |                       |          | •           |                                       |
| 15 |                       |          |             |                                       |
| 16 |                       |          |             | · .                                   |
| 17 |                       |          |             |                                       |
| 18 |                       |          |             |                                       |
| 19 |                       |          |             |                                       |
| 20 |                       |          |             |                                       |
| 21 |                       |          |             |                                       |
| 22 |                       |          |             |                                       |
| 23 |                       |          |             | •                                     |
| 24 |                       |          |             |                                       |
| 25 |                       |          |             |                                       |
| ∠5 |                       |          |             | •                                     |

```
1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 3
      UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                             New York, N.Y.
 4
                                                (S1) 11 Cr. 102 (LAK)
                 v.
 5
      VIKRAM DATTA,
 6
                     Defendant.
 7
 8
                                                September 13, 2011
 9
                                                10:00 a.m.
10
      Before:
11
                           HON. LEWIS A. KAPLAN,
12
                                               District Judge
13
14
                                 APPEARANCES
15
      PREET BHARARA
           United States Attorney for the
           Southern District of New York
16
      BY: PETER M. SKINNER
17
           ALVIN L. BRAGG, JR.
           Assistant United States Attorneys
18
19
      ROBBINS, TUNKEY, ROSS, AMSEL, RABEN & WAXMAN PA
           Attorneys for Defendant
20
      BY: ALAN S. ROSS
21
      WHITE & WHITE
           Attorneys for Defendant
22
      BY: DIARMUID WHITE
23
               - also present -
24
      Vanessa Quinones, Government paralegal
      SA Richard Reinhardt, IRS
25
```

(Trial resumed; jury not present) 1 2 THE COURT: Did you work out your stipulations? MR. BRAGG: Yes, your Honor; we are going start with 3 that. 4 (Jury enters courtroom) 5 THE COURT: Good morning everybody. The record will 6 7 reflect that the jurors and the defendant all are present, the witness is on the stand. Members of the jury, I know what 8 inconvenience this is like; I have been doing it for decades. 9 10 But I would make this simple point. In a trial of this 11 duration, if we lose as little as 30 minutes a day to lateness 12 and getting started in the morning and after lunch, we are 13 going to be here about an extra two days. So everybody should perhaps keep that in mind. Let's proceed. 14 15 MR. BRAGG: Your Honor, I would like to read a stipulation. 16 Members of the jury, a stipulation 17 THE COURT: Yes. is an agreement among the lawyers as to various facts and you 18 19 must accept it as true. MR. BRAGG: It is hereby stipulated and agreed by and 20 among the United States of America, by Preet Bharara, United 21 States Attorney for the Southern District of New York. 22 23 THE COURT: Skip the preamble. MR. BRAGG: That Government Exhibits 101, 102, 103, 24 104, 105, 106, 107, and 108 are recordings of meetings 25

involving the defendant that occurred either entirely or primarily in English. Government Exhibit 105 is a recording of a telephone conversation involving the defendant that occurred in English. Government Exhibits 101T --

THE COURT: Little slower.

MR. BRAGG: -- 102T, 103T, 104T, 105T, 106T, 107T, and 108T are transcripts that contain fair and accurate transcriptions of the parts of the conversations that were transcribed. The meetings and conversations reflected in Government Exhibits 101T, 102T, 103T, 104T, 105T, 106T, 107T, and 108T occurred on the dates and at the times indicated on the transcripts. Government Exhibit 102 contains statements in Hindi. Government Exhibit 102T contains fair and accurate English translations of the Hindi language statements contained on Government Exhibit 102.

Vikram Datta, the defendant reserves the right to call as witnesses the participants in the recorded conversations contained in the Government Exhibits 101, 102, 103, 104, 105 --

THE COURT: This not a stipulation of fact. That's not a matter that concerns the jury, that last part. Is there anything else?

MR. BRAGG: No, your Honor, just that the stipulation may be received into evidence.

THE COURT: What's the designation of the stipulation?
MR. BRAGG: 811.

3

7

8

- THE COURT: Government Exhibit 811. 1 MR. BRAGG: Is stipulation. 2
  - THE COURT: Government Exhibit 811 is received.
- 4 (Government Exhibit 811 received in evidence)
- 5 MR. BRAGG: And it offers in evidence the recordings 6 101 through 108.
  - THE COURT: Received.
    - (Government Exhibits 101-108 received in evidence)
- 9 MR. BRAGG: And stipulates that the transcripts it 101T through 108T may be used as aids.
- 11 THE COURT: Received for that purposes.
- 12 (Government Exhibits 101T-108T received in evidence)
- 13 MARIO RECINOS, resumed.
- DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continuing) 14
- 15 BY MR. BRAGG:
- 16 Good morning.
- 17 Good morning. Α.
- You testified yesterday at a certain point you were 18
- 19 introduced to the defendant. I want to direct your attention
- 20 to that day. Approximately when was that?
- 21 Α. August 2010.
- 22 Who was present?
- 23 Ajay Gupta, Ankur Gupta, Roberto and myself.
- 24 Specifically where were you?
- 25 Las Vegas, Nevada. Α.

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# Recinos - direct

- 1 | Q. Where in Las Vegas, Nevada?
  - A. At a perfume exposition.
  - Q. What is a perfume exposition?
- A. Where vendors come and they show all the business people the products and try to acquire customers.
- 6 Q. How were you introduced?
  - A. I was introduced as a Guatemalan national.
  - Q. What happened immediately after you were introduced?
  - A. Roberto, Mr. Datta and myself, we stepped to the side.
  - Q. What as a general matter did you talk about in the conversation when you stepped to the side?
- 12 A. I explained to him that I had access to a lot of money, I
  13 needed to move money and the Ankurs were good people but they
  14 were not moving it fast enough for me.
  - MR. BRAGG: One moment; I apologize, your Honor.
  - THE COURT: Yes.

(Pause)

MR. BRAGG: Your Honor, I was going to refer the witness and the jury to a recording. I want to pass out the T exhibits which are in a binder.

THE COURT: All right.

Members of the jury, they are going to distribute to you binders with transcripts of this and presumably other conversations. Stay on the page that's relevant at the time.

Don't go leafing through the books trying to get what the last

Recinos - direct

chapter looks like; just stay with the program.

I need to instruct you also that insofar as the conversations that are transcribed in these transcripts took place in English, the evidence of what was said is in the recordings not the transcripts. So if there is any variance between the transcript of the English and the English that you hear on the recording, you adhere to the recording. In the case of conversations that took place in Hindi or some other language, it is the transcript which is the evidence that you must rely on, not the original foreign language speech.

Go ahead, counsel.

MR. BRAGG: We will start with Exhibit 103, 11:50.

THE COURT: What does 11:50 mean?

MR. BRAGG: The time stamp, 11 minutes 50 seconds; I

MR. BRAGG: The time stamp, 11 minutes 50 seconds; I believe it starts at page 3.

THE COURT: Help we find what you are referring to when you talk about 11:50.

MR. BRAGG: Government Exhibit 103T, page 3, line 29 is where the recording will start.

THE COURT: Really? Show me what you are referring to. Come up.

(Pause)

THE COURT: Counsel is referring to page 3, line 29 of Government Exhibit 103. That's where you ought to be. Let's proceed. There is no 11:50 on this.

```
19D4DAT1
```

# Recinos - direct

(Audiotape played) 1 2 BY MR. BRAGG: Lieutenant, I am at page 5, line 22 where it says you see 3 4 there, who is speaking? I was, sir. 5 What are you referring to when you say just to test it? 6 What I meant was, in the related world, traffickers or 7 people. 8 MR. ROSS: Your Honor, I object. 9 THE COURT: Objection sustained. 10 (Audiotape played) 11 BY MR. BRAGG: 12 Q. At the bottom of page 6, lines 44 to 45, did you have an 13 understanding of what Mr. Datta was referring to when he said 14 15 they have to report everything to IRS? 16 Α. Yes, sir. What was that understanding? 17 THE COURT: Sustained. 18 MR. ROSS: Thank you. 19 (Audiotape played) 20 BY MR. BRAGG: 21 Mr. Recinos, at page 8, line 9, did you have an 22 understanding what Mr. Datta was referring to when he said 23

everything started from Miami?

Yes, sir.

24

25

Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

# Recinos - direct

THE COURT: That's going to be for the jury to decide what he was referring to. He can testify to anything Mr. Datta said; he cannot read his mind.

MR. BRAGG: Thank you, your Honor.

(Audiotape played)

- Q. What as a general matter did you talk about for the rest of the conversation?
- A. We continued discussing moving money.
- Q. Did you discuss meeting later that day?
- 10 | A. Yes, sir.
- 11 | Q. Did you in fact meet with the defendant later that day?
- 12 A. Yes, I did.
- MR. BRAGG: We can turn to 104T, start at 530, page 5,
- 14 | line 28. Before we do, let me ask Mr. Recinos a couple of
- 15 questions.
- 16 | Q. When did you meet that night?
- 17 A. We met on the same day. I am just, I just don't have the 18 transcript yet.
- 19 Q. 104T.
- 20 | A. I got it.
- 21 Q. That night about how long did you meet with the defendant?
- 22 A. We met for a couple of hours.
- Q. At the beginning of the conversation, what did you and the
- 24 defendant talk about?
- 25  $\parallel$  A. We continued discussing that I needed help to move money.

19D4DAT1

- MR. BRAGG: Can we start at -- withdrawn.

  Q. Did you and the defendant have any conversation about
- 3 | consuming alcohol?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 | Q. What did you say to him and what did he say to you?
- A. I was trying to make him feel comfortable, I was trying to gain his confidence, so when he came in, he made me wait a
- 8 | little while, when he came in I said to him, I said, hey, I am
  9 | getting drunk here waiting for you.
- 10 | Q. Did he respond?
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- 12 | Q. As how?
- 13 | A. He stated I am already drunk.
- Q. While you were together that evening, did you see him consume any alcoholic beverages?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- 17 | Q. Approximately how many?
- 18 A. At the present time, I recall it had to be no more than 19 four or five.
- Q. Would you describe the defendant's demeanor throughout the meeting?
- 22 A. Yes; very focused, very interested in what I had to say.
- 23 MR. BRAGG: Turn to page 5, line 28, 5:30.
- 24 (Audiotape played)
- MR. BRAGG: I am at page 13, line 13, Mr. Recinos. I

```
Recinos - direct
      19D4DAT1
      am going to ask you to turn to page 16, at about line 16.
 1
                That's time-stamped 20:30.
 2
 3
                (Audiotape played)
                (Continued on next page)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
73
                               Recinos - direct
     19dddat2
     BY MR. BRAGG:
1
     Q. Mr. Recinos, just so we don't lose our place, we are up to
2
3
     page 21.
               (Tape playing)
4
               MR. BRAGG: Can you stop it, Ms. Quinones.
5
         Can I ask you, Mr. Recinos, to turn now to page 31,
6
      approximately line 25.
7
               MR. BRAGG: Ms. Quinones, at time stamp 39:10.
8
               (Tape played)
9
               MR. BRAGG: Line 37.
10
               (Tape played)
11
               MR. BRAGG: Ms. Quinones, if you can stop there.
12
               And, Mr. Recinos, I'm turning now to page 36, line 32,
13
14
      at time stamp 44:22.
               (Tape played)
15
               THE COURT: Counsel, could you stop the tape there?
16
               Ms. Simmons.
17
               ALTERNATE JUROR NO. 3: I'm sorry.
18
19
               THE COURT: Let's go.
               (Tape played)
20
               MR. BRAGG: Can you stop it right there, Ms. Quinones.
21
      BY MR. BRAGG:
22
```

## SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

It is just a name that we made up, Juan Valdez. It could

Mr. Recinos, who is Juan Valdez?

be a coffee brand.

23

24

```
19dddat2
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

### Recinos - direct

- 1 | Q. And who is Felipe Calderon?
  - A. He is the president of Mexico.

MR. BRAGG: We can keep going forward, Ms. Quinones.

(Tape played)

MR. BRAGG: Can you stop it there, Ms. Quinones.

BY MR. BRAGG:

- Q. What is your understanding of when he called you gordo,
- 8 | what that meant?
- A. I was trying to set up a code so that whenever we spoke on
- 10 | the phone we would speak on the phone --
- 11 MR. ROSS: I object to the response. Not responsive,
- 12 your Honor.
- 13 | THE COURT: Sustained. The answer is stricken.
- 14 | Q. What does "gordo" mean?
- 15 A. Somebody who is heavy, fat.
- 16 | Q. Thank you.
- 17 MR. BRAGG: If we could turn now to page 54,
- 18 | Lieutenant, line 23.
- Ms. Quinones, that's time stamped 1:03:57.
- 20 | (Tape played)
- 21 MR. BRAGG: Let me stop you for a moment. This may be
- 22 | obvious.

- Q. What is the FBI?
- 24 | A. The Federal Bureau of Investigation.
- 25 MR. BRAGG: If we can go forward, please.

```
19dddat2
```

1 | (Tape played)

MR. BRAGG: Ms. Quinones, can you stop it right there.

I'm at page 59, line 13.

BY MR. BRAGG:

3

4

7

8

9

10

- 5 | Q. Are you familiar with the term -- the word "chillangos"?
- 6 | A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. What do you understand it to mean?
    - A. In Mexico, it means also Mexican.

MR. BRAGG: We can go forward, Ms. Quinones.

(Tape played)

- 11 | BY MR. BRAGG:
- 12 | Q. Mr. Recinos, the recording ended.
- Did you have additional conversation with the
- 14 defendant after the recording ended?
- 15 | A. Yes, I did.
- 16 | Q. Do you know why the recording ended at that point?
- 17 A. I believe the recorder just ran out of time.
- 18 | Q. What did you talk about with the defendant and Roberto for
- 19 | the rest of the conversation that evening?
- 20 | A. We continued for a few more minutes to talk about moving
- 21 | money, the different ways of moving the money.
- 22 | Q. After this meeting, did you -- when did you next speak with
- 23 | the defendant?
- 24 A. Over the phone.
- 25 || Q. And approximately how long after this meeting did you speak

```
19dddat2
                                Recinos - direct
     with the defendant over the phone?
1
     A. Not long; a couple of weeks.
2
               THE COURT: OK. Let's take our morning break here,
3
      folks, about ten minutes.
4
               THE CLERK: All rise.
5
               (Recess)
6
7
               (Continued on next page)
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
19dddat2
```

| 1  | (Jury not present)                                              |  |  |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2  | MR. BRAGG: Your Honor, may I address one matter                 |  |  |
| 3  | before the jury comes in?                                       |  |  |
| 4  | THE COURT: Yes.                                                 |  |  |
| 5  | MR. BRAGG: The next recording is about ten minutes              |  |  |
| 6  | long; it is a phone call. And then after that there is a        |  |  |
| 7  | meeting that is approximately two hours. I have been trying to  |  |  |
| 8  | sort of excise the most relevant portions but particularly      |  |  |
| 9  | after the                                                       |  |  |
| 10 | THE COURT: Particularly you are trying to keep in the           |  |  |
| 11 | most relevant portions.                                         |  |  |
| 12 | MR. BRAGG: I'm sorry. I misspoke. I apologize.                  |  |  |
| 13 | THE COURT: That is all right.                                   |  |  |
| 14 | MR. BRAGG: Particularly after the defense opening,              |  |  |
| 15 | and I spoke with defense counsel this morning, particularly for |  |  |
| 16 | this two-hour meeting, the parts that are relevant to the case  |  |  |
| 17 | and that may be less relevant are interspersed. So I            |  |  |
| 18 | anticipate we will have to play through the whole thing. I      |  |  |
| 19 | wanted to let the Court know for scheduling purposes.           |  |  |
| 20 | THE COURT: What can I do about it?                              |  |  |
| 21 | MR. BRAGG: I understand, your Honor.                            |  |  |
| 22 | THE COURT: All right. Just two things.                          |  |  |
| 23 | "Did you have an understanding of that," or "What did           |  |  |
| 24 | you understand him to be thinking," let's save ourselves a lot  |  |  |
|    |                                                                 |  |  |

of aggravation and not ask those questions anymore.

```
19dddat2
```

| 1  | MR. BRAGG: I tried to take the middle of the court.             |  |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 2  | THE COURT: Yes. OK. Secondly, just for my own                   |  |
| 3  | edification, what do you think the tape you are just finished   |  |
| 4  | playing shows to have been the structure of the movement of     |  |
| 5  | goods and money? I mean, I understand that the jury will        |  |
| 6  | ultimately decide that, maybe I will have to decide what I      |  |
| 7  | think it means. But what are you telling me you think it        |  |
| 8  | means?                                                          |  |
| 9  | MR. BRAGG: The Mario and undercover was approaching             |  |
| 10 | the defendant talking about                                     |  |
| 11 | THE COURT: That part I understand. What exactly do              |  |
| 12 | you think the tape shows they agreed would be done?             |  |
| 13 | MR. BRAGG: The use of a bulk cash purchase                      |  |
| 14 | THE COURT: By whom? Where? Of what?                             |  |
| 15 | MR. BRAGG: To move this perfume from the defendant's,           |  |
| 16 | you know, store to a third party in Latin America. And there    |  |
| 17 | are several                                                     |  |
| 18 | THE COURT: And the cash was coming from where?                  |  |
| 19 | MR. BRAGG: The cash, the government would argue, was            |  |
| 20 | cash that would be represented to be coming from drug           |  |
| 21 | trafficking proceeds.                                           |  |
| 22 | THE COURT: Where?                                               |  |
| 23 | MR. BRAGG: From Guatemala or Mexico, down south.                |  |
| 24 | THE COURT: I see. So you think that that tape shows             |  |
| 25 | that the plan that was agreed that day was to move U.S. dollars |  |

25

Recinos - direct

from outside the U.S. into this defendant's operation in Texas 1 or elsewhere in the U.S., and then he was going to ship perfume 2 back south, right? 3 MR. BRAGG: Essentially, yes, your Honor. The dollars 4 may have already been in the United States, but the drugs in 5 this instance I think are coming from Latin America. 6 7 THE COURT: Which is it? Do you say that it shows that the dollars were in the U.S., or do you say that it shows 8 they were coming from outside the U.S., or are you saying it is 9 10 indeterminate? MR. BRAGG: I think on this meeting we just talked --11 you know, had testimony about, it may have been indeterminate. 12 13 But later, if you --THE COURT: OK. That's all I asked. 14 Mr. Ross, don't get excited. I just want to make sure 15 I'm following the evidence. 16 Let's get the jury. 17 OK. (Continued on next page) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25

Recinos - direct

Jury entering. THE CLERK: 1 (Jury present) 2 Please be seated, ladies and gentlemen. THE CLERK: 3 The record will reflect that the defendant 4 THE COURT: 5 and the jurors all are present. 6 Members of the jury, just be aware, there are going to be a lot of recordings to listen to. There is nothing anybody 7 8 can do about that. So it is important that we pay attention. 9 Let's go on. BY MR. BRAGG: 10 11 You testified before the break about calls with the defendant in August after this meeting. About how many times 12 did you speak with the defendant following that time period? 13 I believe I spoke with him a few times, a couple of times. 14 15 Q. Did you call him? We called each other. 16 17 And what did you talk about in the calls? Continued talking business, moving money, and I believe on 18 the last conversation, right after that meeting, I arranged to 19 20 have perfumes purchased at one of my stores. 21 If you can turn to Exhibit 105-T. 22 Do you have it in front of you? 23 Α. Yes, I do. Is this a transcript of one of the calls about that time 24 period you had with the defendant?

```
19dddat2
                                Recinos - direct
1
          Yes, sir.
               MR. BRAGG: Ms. Quinones, if we can play 105.
2
               (Exhibit 105 was played)
3
4
               MR. BRAGG: Could you stop it for a moment,
5
      Ms. Quinones.
6
          Where is San Ysidro?
      Q.
7
      Α.
          It is in California.
8
               MR. BRAGG: You can go forward.
9
                (Tape played)
                (Continued on next page)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
. 19D4DAT3
```

|    | 19D4DAT3 Recinos - direct                                      |  |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 1  | (Pause)                                                        |  |
| 2  | BY MR. BRAGG:                                                  |  |
| 3  | Q. Who is Tito?                                                |  |
| 4  | A. I was referring to Roberto.                                 |  |
| 5  | (Audiotape played)                                             |  |
| 6  | BY MR. BRAGG:                                                  |  |
| 7  | Q. What is the next step in the undercover investigation?      |  |
| 8  | A. The next step, we placed an order and we dropped the money  |  |
| 9  | in one of his stores, one of the defendant's stores.           |  |
| 10 | Q. Which store?                                                |  |
| 11 | A. The one in San Ysidro.                                      |  |
| 12 | Q. Do you know why the San Ysidro store was chosen?            |  |
| 13 | A. Yes.                                                        |  |
| 14 | Q. Why?                                                        |  |
| 15 | MR. ROSS: I object.                                            |  |
| 16 | THE COURT: Sustained.                                          |  |
| 17 | Q. Were you part of the planning for the that purchase?        |  |
| 18 | A. Yes.                                                        |  |
| 19 | Q. Did the task force withdrawn.                               |  |
| 20 | Does the defendant to your knowledge have other                |  |
| 21 | stores?                                                        |  |
| 22 | A. Yes, sir.                                                   |  |
| 23 | Q. Is there any particular reason why that store in San Ysidro |  |
| 24 | was selected?                                                  |  |

MR. ROSS: Objection.

#### 19D4DAT3

- 1 | THE COURT: Sustained.
- 2 Q. After that purchase in San Ysidro did you speak with the
- 3 | defendant again?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 | Q. Did you have another in-person meeting with him again?
- 6 A. Yes, I did.
- 7 | Q. When was that?
- 8 A. I believe it was September of 2010.
- 9 | Q. Where was that meeting?
- 10 | A. That was in San Diego, California.
- 11 | Q. Where in San Diego?
- 12 A. In a restaurant.
- 13 | Q. Who was present?
- 14 | A. At that meeting was Roberto and myself and Mr. Datta.
- 15 | Q. Approximately how long was the meeting?
- 16 | A. It was approximately 2 hours.
- 17 | Q. Turn to 106T, was that the recording of that meeting?
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- 19 MR. BRAGG: We will start playing 106, starting at
- 20 | 8:30, page 1.
- 21 THE COURT: Page 1.
- 22 MR. BRAGG: It's the beginning -- withdrawn.
- 23 | Q. Mr. Recinos, did you have any recordings prior to where the
- 24 | transcript picks up on 106T?
- 25 | A. Yes, I did.

```
19D4DAT3
```

- Does the transcript pick up when you first interact with 1 Mr. Datta? 2 Yes, sir. 3 Α. MR. BRAGG: Start on page 1, time-stamped 8:30, page 4 5 1, line 1, 106T. 6 (Audiotape played) 7 THE COURT: This is not where the transcript begins. 8 (Pause) MR. BRAGG: Start from the beginning. Go to 8:30. 9 believe that is picking up around page 3 at the top. 10 Q. As a general matter what did you talk about prior to the 11 12 section on page 3? Prior to that it was just greeting. 13 MR. BRAGG: Start playing at 8:30 and once it's 14 15 started, I can indicate the line. 16 (Audiotape played) 17 MR. BRAGG: We are at line 17, page 3. (Audiotape played) 18 BY MR. BRAGG: 19 In line 26 you said mero mero, what did you mean? 20 21 Mero mero in Spanish means the main boss. Α. 22 (Audiotape played)
- 23 BY MR. BRAGG:

- Q. What if anything was the defendant doing at this point?
- 25 A. He was writing on a piece of paper.

#### 19D4DAT3

2

6

8

- 1  $\parallel$  Q. What was he writing?
  - A. He was trying to explain.
- Q. What was he writing; if you recall, what was the content of
- 4 | what he was writing?
- 5 A. How the transaction would take place.
  - (Audiotape played)
- 7 BY MR. BRAGG:
  - Q. Do you know what happened to the writing by the defendant?
- 9 A. Yes; he threw it away.
- 10 | (Audiotape played)
- 11 BY MR. BRAGG:
- 12 | Q. What is Nandanson's?
- 13 | A. He was referring to two cooperators, Ankur and Ajay
- 14 Nandanson.
- 15 | Q. Nandanson is the name of the business they operate?
- 16 | A. I am sorry, yes, that was the business that they operate.
- 17 | (Audiotape played)
- 18 BY MR. BRAGG:
- 19 | Q. Returning to the prior page, page 14, line 43, I told
- 20 | Cynthia, who is Cynthia?
- 21 A. Cynthia is an employee of Mr. Datta.
- 22 | (Audiotape played)
- 23 THE COURT: We are going to break for lunch, folks.
- 24 | 2:00 please.
- 25 (Lunch recess)

| 1    | AFTERNOON SESSION                                           |  |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 2    | 2:05 p.m.                                                   |  |
| . 3  | THE CLERK: Jury entering.                                   |  |
| 4    | (Jury present)                                              |  |
| 5    | THE CLERK: You may be seated.                               |  |
| 6    | THE COURT: All right. The jurors and the defendant          |  |
| 7    | all are present. Let's continue, counsel.                   |  |
| 8    | MARIO RECINOS,                                              |  |
| 9    | Resumed, and testified further as follows:                  |  |
| 10   | DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)                                |  |
| 11   | BY MR. BRAGG:                                               |  |
| 12   | Q. Before the break, we were at page 18, line 31 of Exhibit |  |
| 13   | 106-T.                                                      |  |
| 14 . | Ms. Quinones, could you just start the recording where      |  |
| 15   | you stopped.                                                |  |
| 16   | (Tape played)                                               |  |
| 17   | (Continued on next page)                                    |  |
| 18   |                                                             |  |
| 19   |                                                             |  |
| 20   |                                                             |  |
| 21   |                                                             |  |
| 22   |                                                             |  |
| 23   |                                                             |  |
| 24   |                                                             |  |
| 25   |                                                             |  |

```
19dddat4
                                Recinos - direct
               MR. BRAGG: Ms. Quinones, could you stop.
1
     BY MR. BRAGG:
2
     Q. At this point, lieutenant, was the defendant speaking to
3
     you?
4
          No, he wasn't.
5
     Α.
          Do you know who he was speaking to?
6
     Q.
7
          He was speaking with one of his employees.
     Α.
          Was he speaking on the phone?
8
      Q.
     Α.
9
          Yes.
               MR. BRAGG: You could continue, Ms. Quinones.
10
               (Tape played)
11
               (Continued on next page)
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
19D4DAT5
                                Recinos - direct
      BY MR. BRAGG:
1
          What is a casa de cambio?
 2
      A. It's where you exchange your currency, pesos to dollars;
 3
      they are all over the world.
 4
                (Audiotape played)
 5
      BY MR. BRAGG:
 6
 7
          What was Mr. Datta doing at this point?
      A. He was making a phone call to his employee Yolanda.
 8
 9
               (Audiotape played)
      BY MR. BRAGG:
10
          Who was Michael Gallos?
11
          The money was wired by members of my group; I believe that
12
      was a fictitious bank account that we had.
13
                (Audiotape played)
14
15
      BY MR. BRAGG:
          When you say my worker, who are you referring to?
16
          I was referring to Jose, the other undercover in this case.
17
                (Audiotape played)
18
19
      BY MR. BRAGG:
          Was that near the end of your conversation with him?
20
21
      Α.
          Yes, sir.
               THE COURT: We will break here.
                                                  Ten minutes.
22
23
                (Recess)
24
                (Continued on next page)
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

Recinos - direct

THE CLERK: Jury entering.

(Jury present)

THE CLERK: Please be seated, everyone.

THE COURT: All right. Counsel, you may continue, with the defendant and the jurors all being present.

- BY MR. BRAGG:
- Q. I was going to say, how many meetings did you have with the defendant after this one?
  - A. I had two more, this one being the longest.
- 10 | Q. The longest.

During this meeting that we just listened to, did you consume any alcoholic beverages?

- 13 | A. No.
- 14 | Q. Did you observe the defendant consume alcoholic beverages?
- 15 | A. No, sir.
- Q. At the end of the conversation, you and the defendant were
- 17 | talking about the \$50,000 wired. What happened with that
- 18 | \$50,000 wire?
- 19 A. Mr. Vikram sent the wire back to the company we send it
- 20 | from.
- 21 | Q. After this meeting that we just listened to in late
- 22 | September, when was the next time you had an interaction with
- 23 | the defendant?
- 24 A. He called me shortly just to stay in touch. There was a
- 25 | call in November, when he called me and he was asking for my

Recinos - direct

1 | assistance.

3

4

5

- 2 | Q. What type of assistance did he ask for?
  - A. By this time we were up on his telephone, we were listening to his phone. He asked the assistance --
    - MR. ROSS: Objection. Not responsive, your Honor.
    - THE COURT: Strike the answer.
- 7 | BY MR. BRAGG:
- Q. The question, Lieutenant, is what type of assistance did
- 9 | the defendant ask for on the telephone conversation?
- 10 A. He asked me for assistance. His friend Octavio had been
- 11 | kidnapped in Mexico.
- 12 | Q. What type of assistance did he ask from you?
- 13 A. He asked me for two things. He needed to send money to
- 14 | Mexico; he wanted to wire money to Mexico. He asked me whether
- 15 | I had any account where he could send it to.
- 16 | Q. What, if anything, was your response?
- 17 A. I told him that I had shut down my business for the
- 18 | holidays and I wasn't able to do that.
- 19 | Q. After -- well, withdrawn.
- 20 Did there come a point where the defendant asked you
- 21 | for additional assistance in connection with this?
- 22 | A. Yes, sir.
- 23 | Q. When was that?
- 24 A. He asked me to talk to my friends so that they could find
- 25 | out what was going on.

2

3

- 1 | Q. And was this a phone conversation?
  - A. Yes, it was.
  - Q. Approximately when was it?
- 4 | A. It was around November 19th, around that time.
- 5 | Q. And when the defendant asked you to talk to your friends,
- 6 what, if anything, did you tell him you would do?
- 7 A. I told him that I was going to make some calls to my
- 8 | friends in Mexico.
- 9 | Q. Did you in fact make any calls to any friends in Mexico?
- 10 A. No, I did not.
- 11 | Q. Did you inform any other law enforcement officials about
- 12 | this kidnapping?
- 13 A. Yes, we did.
- 14 | Q. Who is "we"?
- 15 A. Members of my -- members of the Task Force that I was
- 16 | working for made some phone calls. They notified DEA in
- 17. Mexico.
- 18 | Q. Did you have any additional conversations with the
- 19 defendant about this kidnapping?
- 20 | A. Yes, sir.
- 21 | Q. What did you two talk about?
- 22  $\parallel$  A. It was a conversation where I was trying to find out why he
- 23 | was kidnapped, what was the reason -- who was the -- what was
- 24 | he into. Was he selling drugs? I asked him a lot of
- 25 | questions, and he ended up telling me that what he was doing

3

4

8

15

17

18

- was moving merchandise in an area where he had been told that 1 2 he had to pay taxes.
  - When you say "he," who are you referring to?
  - I am referring to Octavio. Α.
- And did you provide any information to the defendant 5 concerning the kidnapping? 6
- Yes, I did. 7 Α.
  - What type of information? Q.
- I would simply tell him where I was listening on the 9 10 interceptions.
- 11 What was the substance of what you told him?
- For example, he told me that his friend Octavio had been 12 kidnapped. Somebody called him, he received a phone call; 13 somebody tell him that there was a total of five people that 14 had been kidnapped, including one female.
- O. Did there -- withdrawn. 16
  - Did you have any additional telephone conversations with the defendant concerning this matter?
- 19 Yes, I did. Α.
- What was the substance of those conversations? 20
- He informed me that the kidnappers wanted I believe it was 21 100 -- I think it was a million in U.S. dollars but he gave me 22
- the amount in pesos so I don't recall exactly what that amount 23
- was. 24
- And, also, it came out during the conversation, I 25

```
19dddat6
```

4

6

7

8

9

- asked him to find out details from the family. And he informed
  me that they believe that the group named the Zetas had
  kidnapped his friend
  - Q. Who are the Zetas?
- 5 A. It is a drug trafficking organization based out of Mexico.
  - Q. Did there come a point in time after these conversations when you had another in-person meeting with the defendant?
  - A. Yes, sir.
    - Q. Approximately when was that?
- 10 A. That was December 2010.
- 11 | Q. OK. Could you turn to Exhibit 107.
- 12 (Pause)
- 13 107-T. Did you meet with the defendant in early
- 14 | December?
- 15 | A. Yes, I did. I don't have the transcript yet.
- 16 (Pause)
- 17 | I have it. Sorry.
- 18 | Q. Where did you meet with him?
- 19 A. I met in Las Vegas with him.
- 20 | Q. What type of establishment did you meet in?
- 21 | A. It was a lounge area.
- 22 | Q. Who was present?
- 23 A. Mr. Datta and myself.
- Q. When you first met, what as a general matter did you talk
- 25 | about?

19dddat6 Recinos - direct

- 1 A. We continued talking about money laundering.
  - Q. Let me interrupt you.

2

3

4

7

8

12

When you first arrived, did you exchange pleasantries?

- A. Yes. We greeted. And he stated -- he asked me who is the
- 5 | lawyer because you sure knew a lot of details about what
- 6 | happened with the kidnapping. Who do you work for?
  - Q. Let me slow you up a moment. Let's turn to page 4 on 107-T.
- 9 MR. BRAGG: Ms. Quinones, that is time stamped 6:10.
- 10 Q. Prior to that point in the conversation, you just exchanged
  11 pleasantries and -- well, withdrawn.
  - Let's just start at 6:10. It is page 4, line 29.
- 13 | (Government's Exhibit 107 played)
- 14 MR. BRAGG: Could you stop, Ms. Quinones.
- Would you turn to page 6, I believe line 10, time stamped 8:45, page 6, about line 10.
- 17 | (Tape played)
- 18 MR. BRAGG: Pause it there, Ms. Quinones.
- And turn to page 8, line 24, which should be time stamped 11:20.
  - (Tape played)
- MR. BRAGG: Ms. Quinones, could you pause right there.
- 23 | Q. Mr. Recinos, what was the defendant's demeanor at this
- 24 | time?

21

25

A. Very focused, paying attention. We were -- the

```
Recinos - direct
      19dddat6
      conversation was very intense.
1
      Q. And I just direct your attention to page 9, line 3: "I'm
2
     moving kilos for the Sinaloa."
3
               Why had you not been that explicit prior to that
4
5
      point?
               THE COURT: Sustained.
6
7
               MR. BRAGG: Please play the tape again, Ms. Quinones.
               (Tape played)
 8
               MR. BRAGG: Please pause it.
 9
10
      BY MR. BRAGG:
          What was the defendant's demeanor at this time?
11
          Very focused, and we high-fived each other after that
12
13
      statement.
          Who initiated the high-five?
14
      Α.
          I did.
15
               MR. BRAGG: Please proceed with the recording.
16
               (Tape played)
17
               MR. BRAGG: Could you pause it for one second?
18
          Lieutenant Recinos, as far as -- withdrawn.
19
               In page 14, line 40, it says, "I said Ankur..."
20
               Did you know who the defendant was referring to?
21
          I believe he might have been mistaken. He made a mistake.
22
      Α.
          You didn't take him to refer to Ankur Gupta?
23
          He wasn't talking about Ankur Gupta.
24
```

MR. BRAGG: You can go forward.

```
19dddat6
```

(Tape played) 1 MR. BRAGG: Could we stop it, Ms. Quinones, and turn 2 to page 18, line 29. It should be time stamped 29:00. 3 (Tape played) 4 MR. BRAGG: We can stop that for a moment, 5 Ms. Quinones, and we can turn from page 24 to page 30, line 16. 6 7 It should be time stamped 48:27. (Tape played) 8 MR. BRAGG: Could you pause it there, and turn to page 9 10. 37, line 26, time stamped 58:16, which should be page 37, line

(Tape played)

MR. BRAGG: Pause it for a second.

BY MR. BRAGG:

26.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. At page 39, line 33, what was the defendant's demeanor at that time?
- A. Very focused, very into the conversation with me.

MR. BRAGG: Please proceed, Ms. Quinones.

(Tape played)

MR. BRAGG: Pause it, Ms. Quinones.

Q. Where were you at this point?

I am on page 41, line 12.

Where were you and the defendant?

- A. We were still at the lunch area.
- Q. When you said "take a walk," what were you referring to?

Recinos - direct

- A. I was trying to get him to go into one of the restaurants in the immediate area, away from --
  - Q. Did you change locations at that time?
- $4 \parallel A$ . Yes, we did.

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

- Q. Where did you go?
- A. We ended up -- he ended up walking me out, out of the casino.
  - Q. If you would turn to page 43, line 7. It is time stamped 1:06:50.

Where were you at this point?

- A. We were walking towards the exit of the casino. I was trying to leave and he followed me. He walked me out.
- MR. BRAGG: Page 43, line 7, time stamped 1:06:50 seconds.

(Tape played)

- MR. BRAGG: Stop it for a minute, Ms. Quinones.
- 17 Q. Approximately how much longer did you speak with the defendant that day?
  - A. Approximately another five minutes.
- Q. And what as a general matter did you talk about in that five minutes?
- A. I continued talking about the same thing, about how much money he was going to move for me.
- 24 BY MR. BRAGG:
- 25 | Q. After --

```
Recinos - direct
      19dddat6
               THE COURT: OK. Let's call it a day there.
1
               Thank you, members of the jury. We'll see you
2
3
      tomorrow morning at 9:30.
               Counsel, remain, please.
4
               (Continued on next page)
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

19dddat6 Recinos - direct

(Jury not present) 1 THE COURT: You can step down for the afternoon, sir. 2 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir. 3 (Witness excused) 4 THE COURT: Be seated, folks. 5 How much more tape? 6 7 MR. BRAGG: We have one more recording, and we spoke 8 with defense counsel on the break. The government doesn't have 9 much of a recording that it plans to play on direct. defense has a few portions. So we are going to confer this 10 evening and try to streamline it so that what's not played now 11 will be played again on cross. I anticipate, your Honor -- it 12 is about an hour and change. I anticipate that we can get it 13 down to about 20/25 minutes of play time. 14 15 THE COURT: OK. How much more direct of this witness' testimony? 16 MR. BRAGG: About 15 minutes after that, if that, your 17 18 Honor. THE COURT: OK. So we are going to finish with this 19 witness in the morning, Mr. Ross, is that about right? 20 21 MR. ROSS: I am prepared to cross the witness as soon 22 as the government tenders. THE COURT: Well, that I knew. 23 24 MR. ROSS: Tough to say. I would think so but I don't

want to tell the Court I know that. I don't know that.

1 don't know --

THE COURT: I've been around awhile; I understand.

But it would be a big surprise if we are not done with him tomorrow morning, right?

MR. ROSS: That's quite a commitment the Court is asking for.

THE COURT: It wasn't a commitment at all; it was a forecast.

MR. ROSS: I'm not positive how big a surprise it would be, but I will do what I can, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I appreciate that.

Then what's next, counsel?

MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, we had planned at that point to call another one of the undercover officers. We're actually -- we were talking today about trying to change up the order of our witnesses so that we could get somebody that doesn't involve playing a tape in front of the jury next. I spoke to --

THE COURT: I can't imagine why.

MR. SKINNER: I spoke to Mr. White about that, and we had provided notice of an expert to testify about what the black market peso exchange is. We are going to see if we can get him in tonight and prepared to call him as our next witness. If that is possible, we are going to be calling on Sergeant Frank DiGregorio to testify as an expert as our next

1 | witness.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: Once we are done with this witness and his tapes and the other cooperator, are we done with the tapes?

MR. SKINNER: There will be -- I mean, there is one other tape that one of the cooperators is going to put in, and there are two more recordings remaining, neither of which are as substantial as the ones we had been dealing with today. One will have about a half hour of play time; that is one that involves the cooperator. The other one is just 10/15 minutes at the most; it is the initial introduction in March of 2010.

THE COURT: So by lunchtime tomorrow we are essentially done with the tapes in this case?

MR. SKINNER: We switched the order of our witnesses and we won't be calling those other ones until a little bit later. But, yes, this is really 90 percent of the tapes. That is the benefit of the slog and we are almost through it.

THE COURT: I thank you. Anything else we can usefully accomplish this afternoon?

MR. SKINNER: I don't believe so.

THE COURT: OK. Thanks, folks.

MR. SKINNER: Thank you, your Honor.

THE CLERK: All rise.

(Adjourned to 9:30 a.m., Wednesday, September 14,

24 2011)

| 1  | INDEX OF EXAMINATION |  |
|----|----------------------|--|
| 2  | Examination of: Page |  |
| 3  | MARIO RECINOS 66     |  |
| 4  | Direct By Mr. Bragg  |  |
| 5  | GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS  |  |
| 6  | Exhibit No. Received |  |
| 7  | 811                  |  |
| 8  | 101-108              |  |
| 9  | 101T-108T            |  |
| LO |                      |  |
| 11 |                      |  |
| 12 |                      |  |
| L3 |                      |  |
| 14 |                      |  |
| 15 |                      |  |
| 16 |                      |  |
| 17 |                      |  |
| 18 |                      |  |
| 19 |                      |  |
| 20 |                      |  |
| 21 |                      |  |
| 22 |                      |  |
| 23 |                      |  |
| 24 |                      |  |
| 25 |                      |  |
|    |                      |  |

| -   | 19eddat1 Tri                                                | al                              |  |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| 1 2 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKx |                                 |  |
| 3   | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,                                   | New York, N.Y.                  |  |
| 4   | v.                                                          | (S1) 11 Cr. 102 (LAK)           |  |
| 5   | VIKRAM DATTA,                                               |                                 |  |
| 6   | Defendant.                                                  |                                 |  |
| 7   |                                                             | x                               |  |
| 8   |                                                             | Contombon 14 2011               |  |
| 9   |                                                             | September 14, 2011<br>9:35 a.m. |  |
| 10  | Po forms                                                    |                                 |  |
| 11  | Before:                                                     |                                 |  |
| 12  | HON. LEWIS A. KAPLAN,                                       |                                 |  |
| 13  |                                                             | District Judge                  |  |
| 14  | APPEARANCES                                                 |                                 |  |
| 15  | PREET BHARARA                                               | ·                               |  |
| 16  | United States Attorney Southern District of Ne              |                                 |  |
| 17  | BY: PETER M. SKINNER ALVIN L. BRAGG, JR.                    |                                 |  |
| 18  | Assistant United States                                     | s Attorneys                     |  |
| 19  | ROBBINS, TUNKEY, ROSS, AMSEI                                |                                 |  |
| 20  | Attorneys for Defendant BY: ALAN S. ROSS                    |                                 |  |
| 21  | WHITE & WHITE                                               |                                 |  |
| 22  | Attorneys for Defendant BY: DIARMUID WHITE                  |                                 |  |
| 23  | - also present -                                            |                                 |  |
| 24  | Vanessa Quinones, Government paralegal                      |                                 |  |
| 25  | SA Richard Reinhardt, IRS                                   |                                 |  |

19eddat1 Trial

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 (Trial resumed; jury not present)

THE COURT: Good morning, everybody.

ALL COUNSEL: Good morning, your Honor.

THE COURT: Two things. First of all, I have a letter today from Juror No. 10, Mr. Herman, which you are welcome to read at your leisure later, but basically he's not happy with the schedule. He attributes to me the, in effective, representation that the trial will be over by October 3rd and that he has travel plans for the 5th and the 6th. Whatever.

I propose to do nothing other than remind him of what I said yesterday, but you are welcome to read this at your leisure. We will mark it Court Exhibit A, I guess.

Now, secondly, I have this motion that Mr. White filed.

Does the government intend to respond?

MR. SKINNER: We do, your Honor. We received it last night. We would ask from the Court until Monday to respond?

THE COURT: Sure. Just, Mr. White, help me out a little bit. What I have here is a motion claiming undue burden, unsupported by any evidence whatsoever. And you know what happens to that argument in that posture.

MR. WHITE: The evidence, your Honor?

THE COURT: There is no affidavit. There is nothing.

MR. WHITE: The --

THE COURT: So if you want to put something in, you

19eddat1 Trial

had better put something in very fast is what I am telling you.

MR. WHITE: Your Honor, my affirmation adopts the facts in the Memorandum of Law.

THE COURT: I didn't see your affirmation. I don't think it has been electronically filed; at least it hadn't been when I looked at the docket within the last 15 minutes.

MR. WHITE: I will check.

THE COURT: Do the papers that you have have it, Andy?

THE CLERK: (Handing to the Court).

THE COURT: Well, there was an affirmation attached to the courtesy copy but it didn't get filed online, I believe.

MR. WHITE: Your Honor, it must have been a glitch and I'll cure that.

THE COURT: So if there is a problem like that, but you ought to take a close look at whether you've got any evidence really to support it.

Now, am I just sadly mistaken? If the defendant takes the stand, why doesn't that destroy whatever Fifth Amendment active production privilege he might have had?

MR. WHITE: Because I think the Fifth Amendment waiver when you take the stand is not limitless. I don't think you waive the Fifth Amendment with respect to everything in your life, every misdeed in your life. I think it's limited to things pertinent to the case and the facts as to which you are waiving your Fifth Amendment privilege.

```
19eddat1
                                Trial
               THE COURT: Well, no doubt I'll be educated by counsel
1
      on both sides on this subject as we go along.
2
               OK. Let's get the jury in.
3
                (Continued on next page)
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

19eddat1 Trial THE CLERK: Jury entering. 1 (Jury present) 2 THE CLERK: Please be seated, everyone. 3 THE COURT: Good morning. The jurors and the 4 defendant all are present. 5 Mr. Miller, Juror No. 10, I have had your letter. 6 think it is fair to say that I never certainly intended to say 7 and don't believe I said that this trial would end on 8 October 3rd. I think I made it clear that the lawyers know a 9 lot more about how long this is going to take than I do and a 10 lot would depend on how fast we move and decisions that they're 11 going to make and whether everybody, as this morning, are 12 basically on time all the time and so forth. 13 I understand what you've said about travel 14 commitments. I made clear yesterday also that if the case runs 15 to a point where we start to run into somebody's travel 16 commitments, it may be possible to excuse somebody at that 17 time, depending on how many alternates we have left and so 18 forth. 19 This is in a state of flux. It just is going to be in 20 a state of flux until about the last day. So I just ask you to 21 bear with us. I can't release anybody at this point, not on 22 that basis. 23

OK.

MARIO RECINOS,

24

25

Let's proceed.

Trial

19eddat1

1 Resumed, and testified further as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed)

BY MR. BRAGG:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

Q. Good morning. We ended yesterday with testimony about a meeting you had with the defendant in December of 2010.

Approximately when was your next interaction with the defendant after that meeting?

- A. During the holidays, December of 2010. We called to wish each other happy holidays, and then towards the end of the year I made arrangements to meet Mr. Datta in New York.
- Q. Did you and Mr. Datta talk about the purpose for that meeting?
  - A. Yes.
- 15 Q. What did you talk about?
- A. I told him that I had obtained money -- some of the money I
  was going to -- my friends in the south had obtained -- we were
  going to reimburse him for some of the money that he had paid
  for the ransom that he had paid for his friend Octavio.
- 20 Q. Did you in fact meet with Mr. Datta in New York?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. Approximately when?
- 23 A. Early January 2011.
- 24 Q. And who was present for that meeting?
- 25 A. Mr. Datta and myself.

```
Recinos - direct
     19eddat1
              Did you meet in a restaurant?
1
2
     Α.
         Yes, sir.
         Will you please turn to Exhibit 108-T.
3
               Is 108-T a transcript of a part of that meeting?
4
         Yes, sir.
5
     Α.
              Would you turn to page 5, line 44.
6
     Q.
          OK.
               MR. WHITE: Excuse me, your Honor. May I fetch a pair
7
     of earphones?
8
               (Pause)
9
               MR. WHITE: Sorry.
10
     BY MR. BRAGG:
11
         What did you discuss before this point in the conversation,
12
13
      sir?
        We continued discussing different ways of moving money. He
14
      was proposing different methods of moving money.
15
               MR. BRAGG: Ms. Quinones, could we start the recording
16
      there at time stamped 17:20. I am at page 5, line 44.
17
               (Government Exhibit 108-T played)
18
               MR. BRAGG: Could you stop it at that point,
19
20
      Ms. Quinones.
               Would you turn now to page 7, line 39, and that's time
21
      stamped 22:20, Ms. Quinones.
22
               (Tape played)
23
               MR. BRAGG: Could you pause the recording right there,
24
      Ms. Quinones.
25
```

Recinos - direct 19eddat1 That should Turn, Mr. Recinos, to page 10, line 26. 1 be time stamped 28:13. 2 (Tape played) 3 MR. BRAGG: Stop the recording, Ms. Quinones. 4 5 BY MR. BRAGG: About how much longer did you talk with the defendant 6 during that meeting? 7 A few more minutes. 8 Α. What did you talk about during the remainder of the 9 conversation? 10 I informed him that I had \$150,000 for him, and then the 11 rest was mostly general conversation. 12 Did you and the defendant leave the restaurant together? 13 14 Yes. What, if anything, happened immediately after the defendant 15 and you left the restaurant? 16 As we were walking out, agents of my group pulled up and 17 arrested Mr. Datta and I walked the other way. 18 I don't have any further questions at this MR. BRAGG: 19 time, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 21

MR. ROSS: May I inquire, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. ROSS:

22

23

24

2

17

- 1 | Q. Good morning, Mr. Recinos.
  - A. Good morning.
- 3  $\parallel$  Q. Now, Mr. Recinos, the testimony that you gave yesterday and
- 4 | today and the recordings that we listened to that you
- 5 | authenticated indicated that on September 27, 2010, that you
- 6 had told Mr. Datta that you were working for the Sinaloa
- 7 | cartel, is that correct?
- 8 | A. That's correct.
- 9  $\parallel$  Q. And then about two months or so later, on December 7th of
- 10 | 2010, you had yet another meeting with Mr. Datta; that's when
- 11 | you told him that you were moving kilos?
- 12 | A. That's correct.
- 13 | Q. Now, in your direct testimony you said it was -- you used
- 14 | the word kilos of cocaine, but in reality you said the word
- 15 | kilos, isn't that correct?
- 16 A. I said the word kilos, yes, sir.
  - Q. OK. That's what you understood you were saying?
- 18 A. That's what I said.
- 19 | Q. Kilos of cocaine.
- 20 | Since September 27th, 2010, when you told Mr. Datta
- 21 || that you were working for the Sinaloa cartel, how much perfume
- 22 | has Mr. Datta sold to you?
- 23 | A. Since September?
- 24 | Q. September 27, 2010, the day you told him that you were
- 25 | working for the Sinaloa cartel, how much perfume has Mr. Datta

- 1 | sold to you?
- 2 A. None.
- 3 | Q. How much cash since that date has Mr. Datta received from
- 4 | you?
- 5 A. Since September?
- 6 ∥ Q. 27th --
- 7 A. None.
- Q. How much money has Mr. Datta wired to Panama for you, since
- 9 | September 27th?
- 10 | A. None.
- 11 | Q. In fact, Mr. Datta never wired any money to Panama?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 | Q. In fact, Mr. Datta never formed a business in Belize that
- 14 | was discussed on these many tape recordings?
- 15 A. I have no knowledge of that.
- 16 Q. Mr. Datta didn't engage in forming a business in Singapore
- 17 | that you know of?
- 18  $\parallel$  A. I have no knowledge of that.
- 19 Q. Hong Kong?
- 20 A. I do not know.
- 21 Q. Now, your testimony yesterday began with your having
- 22 attended a meeting, if I recall correctly, in March of 2010
- prior to an operational decision to make you an undercover in
- 24 | the case, is that right?
- 25 A. No, that's not correct.

3

22

23

24

25

- 1 | Q. What is correct?
- 2 | A. My first meeting with Mr. Datta was August of 2010.
  - Q. That wasn't the question. My question is your first
- 4 | involvement in this case, according to your testimony
- 5 | yesterday, was a March meeting which was prior to your being --
- 6 A. That's correct. It was an ongoing investigation and that's when I got involved.
- Q. Was that the first time that you became involved in this case was March, or had you been involved since its inception back in October of 2009?
- 11 A. It was an ongoing investigation and I was part of the planning.
- 13 | Q. I didn't understand the last word.
- 14 A. I was part of the planning in the group.
- Q. OK. And were you part of the group from its inception?
- 16 A. No, I wasn't.
- Q. Prior to your going in an undercover role, do you review transcripts of prior meetings and conversations to get up to
- speed so that when you walk in you're able to fit right in?
- 20 A. Prior to my undercover operations, I am brought to speed by
  21 members of my group.
  - Q. OK. And did you know, when you were ready to go in an undercover capacity in August of 2010, that this investigation had been ongoing since October 12th of 2009, ten months?
  - A. I believe I did, yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

- Q. Did you know that prior to your meeting with Mr. Datta on August 9th, 2010, that there had been undercover meetings with Ankur Gupta and Ajay Gupta?
  - A. That I did not know.
    - Q. You did know, because you were part of the surveillance, that there was a meeting on March 2nd with Jose Correa in an undercover capacity and Roberto, the same cooperating witness who was with you?
    - A. I knew about the meeting in March.
    - Q. Now, prior to the March 2nd meeting with Mr. Datta, Jose Correa, Roberto, Ankur Gupta and Ajay Gupta that we've already heard -- we heard a transcript of that meeting -- prior to that, the day before, you were part of a group of agents who met with the cooperating witnesses to map out a strategy for meeting with Vikram Datta, is that right?
  - ∥ A. Yes, I was.
    - THE COURT: You might want to break that question down.
- 19 MR. ROSS: I shall.
  - Q. Were you part of a meeting on March 1st with other agents and cooperating witnesses?
- 22 | A. Yes.
- Q. Was the subject matter of that meeting the plan of strategy
  as to how to approach Mr. Datta in the anticipated
  undercover --

Recinos - cross

1

- Α. Yes.
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8

9

- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23 24
- 25

- Were you present when Jose Correa instructed Ankur Gupta and Ajay Gupta on what to say?
- No, I was not. Α.
- During the course of the --Q.

THE COURT: Just a minute, Mr. Rose. Let me just caution the jury.

A lot of these questions presuppose the existence of facts as to which there is not, at least at this point, any evidence at all. So I remind you that the evidence is the witness' answers, not the lawyers' questions. If the lawyer, just to pick a ridiculous example, says, you know, before the Yankees won the 1955 World Series, were you at a meeting with such and such and the witness said I don't know, I don't know if there was ever such a meeting, there is no evidence about who won the 1955 World Series, and those of you who are baseball fans, you will know it certainly wasn't the Yankees.

Go ahead.

MR. ROSS: Thank you, your Honor.

BY MR. ROSS:

- Actually, let me stand corrected. On March 2nd, that transcript has not yet been played. I misspoke. I think I said to you that we played it during your testimony.
- When you met with Mr. Datta on August 9th of 2010, you were wearing a recording device, is that right?

- 1 A. Yes, I was.
- Q. OK. Was anyone else -- Roberto, for example -- wearing a recording device?
- 4 A. I believe Roberto was, too.
- Q. OK. So there are two of you among the law enforcement side of things that are wearing a wire?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. Ankur Gupta was not?
- 9 A. No, he was not.
- 10 | Q. Ajay Gupta was not?
- 11 A. He was not.
- 12 | Q. OK. And if I understood you correctly, there was initially
- a very brief meeting where you were introduced to Mr. Datta and
- 14 | I think you said you stepped outside?
- 15 A. Yes. We stepped away from the booth.
- 16 Q. Did you actually go outside?
- 17  $\parallel$  A. Yes, we did.
- 18 Q. Because there was some discussion about how you were going
- 19 to get back in, is that right?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. OK. So it was you and Roberto and Vikram Datta?
- 22 | A. That's it.
- 23 | Q. And you went outside and you went out there for just a
- 24 couple of minutes and had a conversation with him, is that
- 25 | right?

Recinos - cross

That's correct. 1 Now, you testified yesterday that during that brief 2 conversation you discussed with Mr. Datta that your interest 3 was in moving money; do you recall that? 4 Yes, sir. 5 Α. OK. Would you pull that transcript out in front of you. 6 Q. It is 103, sir. 7 (Pause) 8 And it's short but would you take a look through there 9 and tell me where it is you say "moving money"? 10 11 (Pause) 12

THE COURT: Counsel, let's be clear. Are you asking where those words appear in haec verba?

MR. ROSS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Mr. Recinos, did you, in describing the tape, use precisely these words at any point, "moving money"?

THE WITNESS: I used them many times. It was my initial conversation with him, and rather than saying specific words, I used the slang words. I made sure that he understood that I was moving money, that I had access to a lot of cash, and I made sure he understood that.

BY MR. ROSS:

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. All right. So the words -- the slang words that you used to convey to him that you were moving money in this conversation was that I have a lot of cash customers?

Recinos - cross

- 1 | A. That's correct.
- Q. And that conveys that you're moving money and you have a lot of money to spend, right?
- 4 | A. That's correct.
- Q. OK. And now, prior to this, you knew, walking into this meeting with Roberto, that Roberto had previously been
- 7 introduced to Mr. Datta?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And you knew that Roberto had been introduced to Mr. Datta
  10 as the father of Jose, the other undercover?
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- 12 | Q. And you also knew, did you not, that the way they were
- 13 | introduced -- Jose and Roberto were introduced to Mr. Datta by
- 14 Ankur Gupta -- was as wholesalers of perfume who had Mexican
- 15 | customers?
- 16 | A. That's correct.
- 17 | Q. So on this occasion, on August 9th, when you were
- 18 | introduced for the first time, your introduction came through
- 19 | Roberto, did it not?
- 20 A. It came from both, Ankur and -- Ankur, Ajay Gupta and
- 21 Roberto, from the three of them.
- 22 Q. OK. And Roberto -- correct me if I'm wrong -- introduced
- 23 you as his partner working in Guatemala?
- 24 | A. That's correct.

25

Q. So let's put those two together.

## Recinos - cross

- 1 | A. Sure.
- 2 | Q. You were introduced at a perfume show?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. To Mr. Datta?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- Q. As a perfume wholesaler who had customers in Mexico, is that right?
- 8 A. If I'm not mistaken, I was introduced as a cash customer.
- 9 Q. Actually, were you introduced as a person who had cash 10 customers?
- 11 A. No. That's wrong. As a cash customer.
- 12 | Q. OK. Now, prior to August 9th, did you become aware or
- 13 | surveil a meeting between Roberto, Ajay Gupta and Vikram Datta?
- 14 | A. Yes.

24

- 15 | Q. And why was that meeting not recorded?
- 16 | A. I believe it was.

at that meeting?

- 17 | Q. August 8th?
- 18 | A. Oh, I believe the recorder didn't work.
- 19 | That was the next day?
- 20 | Q. The next day is the day you had your meeting.
- 21 A. Yeah, something happened with the recorder. They pressed 22 the button and it didn't go on.
- Q. And other than Roberto and Ajay Gupta, who else was present
- 25 A. You know, I must say, I do not recall that. I know that we

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

Recinos - cross

- did have a problem with one of the recorders while we were out
  there but I don't remember -- I do not recall.
  - Q. In any event, you were not at that meeting? In other words, you were not a participant in the meeting?
  - A. I was not a participant. That's correct, sir.
  - Q. Are you able, from any recollection of monitoring the meeting perhaps, to tell us what the content of that meeting was?
  - A. I believe once they met --

THE COURT: Mr. Recinos, is what you're now telling us what somebody else told you happened, or something that you heard yourself by listening in on the meeting?

THE WITNESS: I did not listen to the conversation as it was going on.

BY MR. ROSS:

Q. That's actually what I was asking you, whether or not you had monitored the meeting. OK.

But in any event, there was a meeting. And if I understand you, this may be the meeting where there was some glitch in the electronic equipment.

- A. I believe that might be the meeting, yes. I'm not a hundred percent sure.
- Q. OK. Now, the place that you were meeting you told us
  was -- you described it as a perfume exposition, is that right?
  - A. That's correct.

Recinos - cross

- Q. And you said that's a place where vendors come and show their products and try to acquire customers; is that right?
  - A. That's my understanding.
- Q. OK. And to your observation, were the people that were there just generally people in the perfume business,
- 6 | buyers/sellers, is that right?
- 7 | A. Yes.

3

17

18

- Q. And you were there at the booth of Nandansons, is that
  gright?
- 10 | A. That's correct.
- Q. And Nandanson is the now New Jersey-based wholesale perfume business of Ajay and Ankur Gupta?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- Q. So was that the plan, that was they -- Ajay and Ankur were going to get Mr. Datta to come to their booth so that you could be introduced to them?
  - A. That was not the plan.
  - Q. No? The plan was to get him to go where to be introduced to you?
- A. The plan was -- Roberto had already met him. The plan was to just walk into the exposition and see if we could find
  Mr. Datta. When we didn't, we walked over to Ankur, to the booth, and we asked him to call him on the phone and ask him if he could come and meet us. We had missed him a couple of times.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

25

Recinos - cross

Q. OK. And is it the case that Ankur Gupta called Mr. Datta and said to him, in your presence --

Hello, Mr. Vikram. Yes, this is Ankur. Yes, good, good. Yeah, they're here again. So I don't know if you want to meet. And then Ankur said, he's going to come back here for one minute because he has to take someone else to somewhere.

- -- is that what happened?
- A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. So at the beginning of that conversation, when Ankur said, They're here again, you had not met Mr. Datta to that moment?
- 11 | A. No.
- 12 Q. You were going to meet him then just in the next few minutes, right after that?
- 14 A. No. That's not correct.

We couldn't find him. At one point we asked Ankur to place a phone call to see if he would come and meet us. And we had missed him. So he placed another phone call.

- 18 | Q. OK.
- 19 A. He had advised Mr. Datta that we were there.
- Q. OK. Is the phone call that you just acknowledged, then, that second phone call?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- Q. The first phone call, had that been the day before, on March 1st?
  - A. I do not recall.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

Recinos - cross

1 MR. BRAGG: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- Q. Now, when you were going to become an undercover in this case, were you going to be the person to control the cooperators who would be working undercover with you?
- A. If somebody -- if there is a cooperator with me, yes, I'm the one that controls him, more or less.
- Q. In this case you had three cooperators that were going to be with you, is that right?
- A. At one point, yes. I met with the three of them, yes.
- 11 | Q. Ankur, Ajay, Roberto?
- 12 | A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. And did you instruct them that there were

  certain things that they could say as opposed to certain things

  that they couldn't say in their undercover capacity with

  Mr. Datta?
  - A. I instructed Roberto on what the plan was. Ajay and Ankur were simply doing an introduction.
    - Q. So you had no conversation with Ankur or Ajay telling them what they could or could not say to --
- 21 A. I had told them: Tell him that I'm here. I'm the cash 22 customer.
- Q. OK. Now, Mr. Vikram Datta was the, to use the vernacular in your group, the target of your investigation, is that correct?

Recinos - cross

- 1 | A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. And from your review of the file, he had been the target since October of 2009, correct?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- Q. So before you go meet with a target of an investigation undercover, you don't have a meeting to discuss the parameters of what's proper, what's improper for cooperators to say or do?
  - A. I was controlling him. I told him what the goal was. The goal was to get him to move money for us. We were posing as money launderers.
  - Q. Now, when you and Roberto stepped outside with Mr. Datta as reflected in the transcript -- do you have that in front of you, 103T? It is the one you looked at.
- 14 | A. Yes, I do.

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. By the way, down on the bottom there, there are some initials and the date of 9/8/11. Is that your review of the transcript?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- 19  $\parallel$  Q. Is that your acknowledgment that you reviewed it?
- 20 || A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Is it also an acknowledgment that it is accurate to your --
- 22 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. OK. So when you first meet him, Mr. Datta, he asks you -and I'm drawing your attention to page 5, after the preliminary
- 25 | discussion, line 15, Mr. Datta says: How many perfumes? How

```
Recinos - cross
     19eddat1
     much do you have to buy in one time? That's what I need to
1
2
     know.
               And your response was, We'd like to start out small,
3
      just a little.
4
               Mr. Datta says, Like how much?
5
               And you say, 40 or $50,000.
 6
               And then it says, a couple of lines down, Every week.
 7
          Correct.
 8
      Α.
          Every week?
 9
      Q.
          Yes, sir.
10
      A.
          So within five pages of a recording of your having met
11
      Mr. Datta, you're offering him, if my math is correct, 2
12
      million to $2-and-a-half million a year in perfume business if
13
      he'll do business with you, is that right?
14
      A. Where is that, sir? Can you point that out? I don't know
15
      what you are referring to.
16
      Q. 52 weeks a year, $40,000 a week to $50,000 a week, is $2
17
      million to $2,500,000 a year.
18
          OK.
               The answer is yes.
19
      Α.
      Q. All right. And that's when you say to him, Listen, we have
20
      a lot of cash customers.
21
```

- You said that? 22
- 23 A. Yes, sir.

24

- Not that you had cash?
- That's correct. Α.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

Recinos - cross

- 1 | Q. You had cash customers?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. And then two pages later, on page 7, line 40, Mr. Datta asked you, How much do you want to buy every month? And your answer was, Listen, we're talking -- we're also talking millions.

That is what you told him?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. OK. So within just a couple of minutes -- I mean, this whole thing you said took ten minutes -- within a couple of minutes you went from 2 million, \$2-and-a-half million a year to millions of dollars each month, is that right?
- A. That's the way in the real -- that's the way we operate in the real world. People that move money and don't know each other, they have to gain their trust first, and that's what I was trying to do. I told him what the ultimate goal was going to be but I wanted to start small.
- Q. OK. So within minutes of meeting a man who is in the perfume wholesale and retail business, Mr. Datta -- that's what you understood?
- 21 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. -- within minutes of meeting him, you have offered him,
  what are we talking, 10 million, \$20 million? How much money
  is "millions of dollars a month," in your view?
  - A. Believe it or not, that I offered to do 40,000 first. That

Recinos - cross

- was going to be the test. If everything went smooth, then I
  was going to move up; then I was going to do larger amounts.
  - Q. Right. Actually, the complaint that you leveled here, and the only complaint that you leveled here in this conversation, was that dealing with the people in New York and buying perfume from them was taking three weeks to get the perfume to its
- 8 | A. Yes.

destination, right?

3

4

5

6

7

- 9 Q. Did you listen as you were surveilling the

  10 March 2nd meeting between Jose Correa, Roberto and Vikram

  11 Datta?
- 12 A. No. As the meeting was going on? No.
- 13 | Q. Did you review it afterwards, before you had a meeting?
- 14 A. I believe I reviewed the reports.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. The reports or the transcript?
- 16 A. The reports.
- Q. Now, in this brief meeting, where you have the discussions of 40 to \$50,000 a week and millions of dollars a month, you also are asking Mr. Datta to meet with you that night?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- Q. And was it true that what you told him was that you had some other obligation or commitment the next morning so it had to be that night?
- 24 | A. Yes.

25

Q. And Mr. Datta advised you that he had another commitment

- 1 | with a perfume supplier for dinner?
- 2 | A. He had another commitment. I don't recall with who.
- Q. That he had another commitment, but he agreed to meet with
- 4 you at 11 o'clock?
- 5 | A. 8 p.m. that day.
- 6 | Q. 8 p.m.?
- 7 | A. I believe it was 8 p.m., yes.
- 8 | Q. OK. The transcript reflects 11 o'clock. Are you sure?
- 9 A. It was 11 o'clock Eastern Time. 8 p.M. Western time.
- Q. Got it. OK. That makes sense. This transcript, I see, it
- 11 | says 8:30. That's where it begins.
- 12 All right. And when Mr. Datta appeared at that
- 13 | meeting, you said to him something about we're getting -- we're
- 14 | sitting here getting drunk waiting for you?
- 15 | A. I said -- I stated, I'm here getting drunk for you. But
- 16 | just to make conversation just so that makes him feel
- 17 comfortable. I was trying to gain his confidence.
- 18 | Q. OK. And although what was played in court started at page
- 19 | 5, I would like you to take a look at 104 on page 1.
- 20 A. I have it.
- 21 | Q. Do you have it in front of you?
- 22 | A. Yes.
- Q. OK. So in response to your announcement, Mr. Datta
- 24 | announces, I'm already drunk. Is that right?
- 25 A. Yes, that's correct.

Recinos - cross

- Q. And if I recall what you said yesterday correctly, you said that after he joined you at this meeting at the Palazzo Hotel, that he had four or five more drinks in your company, is that right?
  - A. To the best of my recollection, that's what we drank, yes.
    - Q. And he was drinking Glenlivet?
- 7 | A. Yes.

5

6

8

- Q. Now, when you meet with him, you'd agree with me that
- 9 Mr. Datta talks a lot?
- 10 | A. Yes, sir.
- 11  $\parallel$  Q. He is a chatty kind of guy?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- Q. As a matter of fact, was it true that in several of these meetings you thought the meeting was over and was actually getting up to leave and he continued the conversation?
- 16 | A. That's correct.
- 17 | Q. All right. So you had a hard time shutting him up at 18 | times?
- 19 | A. At times, yes, sir.
- Q. OK. And was that particularly the case when he had been drinking, in your observation?
- A. At that meeting I had a lot of patience. We were talking business, and I would have stayed there all night if I had to because we were talking business.
  - Q. Now, when you tell Mr. Datta in this second, longer meeting

4

9

Recinos - cross

- in the evening that you're from Guatemala, he explains to you that if he's going to ship or export to Guatemala, he needs a Guatemalan passport, right?
  - A. At one point, yes.
- Q. And going back just a moment, just to be sure, your first meeting with him was this brief meeting in 103. You did not tell Mr. Datta that you were working for the Sinaloa Cartel in that meeting, correct?
  - A. That's correct. I was just meeting him.
- Q. And then in the even lengthier meeting a little later that night that we're now talking about, 104, you did not tell
- 12 | Mr. Datta that you were working for the Sinaloa Cartel?
- 13 A. No, I did not. It was too early to tell him that.
- Q. Right. And as we already discussed at the top of your testimony, September 27th was the date you said that?
- 16 A. I would have to refer to the transcripts. I did -- yes --
- 17 | Q. San Diego?
- 18 A. You are right, yes, sir.

perfume convention, did you not?

- Q. OK. In between the short ten-minute meeting, where you walked outside with Mr. Datta and Roberto and had that conversation, and the 11 o'clock or 8 Eastern Time conversation, you saw Mr. Datta at the food court at the
- 24 A. No.

23

25 | Q. You did not?

No.

Recinos - cross

- 1 |
- Q. Did you know that Ankur, Ajay or Roberto had? Do you have
- 3 | any knowledge of that?
- 4 | A. No.

Α.

- 5 | Q. OK. Now, during the course of this meeting, it was made
- 6 clear to you that Mr. Datta -- in fact, he said it to you --
- 7 | that the only reason he was meeting with you was because of
- 8 | Roberto?
- 9 | A. Yes, sir.
- 10 | Q. And whatever it was that Roberto may have said to him the
- 11 | day before, on February 8th, you don't know?
- 12 A. Roberto didn't talk to him the day before.
- 13 | Q. You earlier said to us that there was a meeting and that
- 14 | there had been a glitch in the recording device.
- 15 | A. On what day, sir?
- 16 | Q. February 8th. I'm sorry. August 8th. I misspoke.
- 17 | August 8th.
- 18 A. No. There was no meeting on August 8th.
- 19 | Q. OK. So back to that conversation, if there was none.
- 20 || "They're here again." Ankur Gupta is calling Vikram
- 21 Datta, They're here again. And this is on the 9th of August.
- 22 || If there was no meeting on the 8th of August, when was
- 23 | it that Roberto, or you --
- 24 | A. On that day that I met with him. It was on the same date.
- 25 | There was no other meetings prior to that.

```
19eddat1
```

Recinos - cross

- Now, yesterday you said -- and referring to 105, 1 Exhibit 105, which was on August 19th -- you said that -- and 2 3 let me back up.
- 4 This is a conversation where, it's pretty clear,
- Mr. Datta had called you, is that right? 5
- 6 Yes. Α.
- 7 Right? Because you're apologizing to him, right?
- 8 Α. Yes.
  - It is pretty clear he called you? Q.
- 10 Α. Yes.

9

15

16

- And you said yesterday that you and he had spoken several 11 times and we call each other, is that right? 12
- 13 Α. Yes.
- In fact, what really happened was that earlier, right 14 before this conversation, you had called Mr. Datta?
- Α. That's correct.
- And you said to him, This is gordo? 17 Q.
- Yes. 18 Α.
- And he said, Who is this, yet again. And you said, Gordo; 19
- I met you in Las Vegas. 20
- 21 Α. Yes.
- And he said, Can I call you right back? 22 Q.
- 23 Α. It's possible.
- 24 (Continued on next page)

Recinos - cross

- 1 BY MR. ROSS:
- 2 | Q. Let me show you something to refresh your recollection.
- 3 Does that refresh your recollection as to whether or not
- 4 | Mr. Datta says --
- 5 A. I do not see it. All I see is NO4.
- 6 Q. I am sorry.
- 7 | A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Does that refresh your recollection?
- 9 | A. It doesn't have a date but it says can I call you back.
- 10 Q. Let me put the cover sheet there for you. Does that
- 11 | refresh your recollection to the date?
- 12 | A. August 19.
- 13 | Q. Same date. Isn't that in fact what happened? You called
- 14 | Mr. Datta, right before Exhibit 105T, and at first he didn't
- 15 | remember you, correct?
- 16 A. He didn't remember the call that he had given me, right.
- 17 | Q. I am sorry?
- 18 A. He called me; he didn't remember who Gordo was, right.
- 19 | Q. He didn't remember you. After you refreshed his memory, he
- 20 | said I will call you back?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. This call is his simply calling you back?
- 23 | A. Yes, it is.
- 24  $\parallel$  Q. Isn't it the case that the only time that Mr. Datta ever
- 25 called you was on November 18 when his good friend Octavio was

- 1 | kidnapped?
- 2 A. No, he made some other calls to me. We called each other.
- 3 | Q. Did you record those conversations?
- 4 A. At times, he would call me at night; sometimes I wouldn't
- 5 | record them.
- 6 Q. Is there a log of those calls?
- 7 | A. No.
- 8 | Q. Is there any record of those calls?
- 9 A. No; it was just, just to stay in touch.
- 10 | Q. You have been doing this with this particular task force
- 11 group for 8 years?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 | Q. And if I understood, you have been a police officer a total
- 14 | 32 years?
- 15 | A. Yes, sir.
- 16 | Q. Before you recently retired?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. You have done these kinds of investigations before?
- 19 | A. Yes, sir.
- 20 | Q. You have testified in court plenty of times before?
- 21 | A. Yes, sir.
- 22 | Q. So you know, don't you, that you need to document
- 23 || conversations, contacts with the target of your investigations?
- 24 | THE COURT: Sustained.
- Q. During the course of the conversations that you had with

- 1 Mr. Data on the 9th, the first meeting with him at night --
- 2 | A. OK.
- 3 | Q. -- during the course of that conversation, you proposed
- 4 | that Mr. Datta sell you perfume, is that right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. So it was you who initiated the proposal for Mr. Datta to
- 7 do business with you?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Roberto also was participating in the conversation?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11  $\parallel$  Q. At various times it was suggested by you and by Roberto
- 12 | that Mr. Datta could receive cash at various locations,
- 13 | correct?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- Q. Mr. Datta said no to that, receiving money in New York for
- 16 goods in Laredo, correct?
- 17 | A. Correct.
- 18 Q. What he did say he would do is you could buy perfume at any
- 19 | one of his retail locations including his wholesale location in
- 20 | Laredo, Texas, is that right?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 | Q. That entire conversation happens after the money that we
- earlier discussed, 40 to \$50,000 a week, or millions of dollars
- 24 | a month, is that correct?
- 25 | A. In September?

- 1 | Q. Yes.
- 2 | A. Yes, if you are referring to September, yes.
- 3 Q. No, August.
- 4 A. Yes, sir, I am sorry, August.
- Q. All that happened on the outside, walking outside the perfume exhibition?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. There was a discussion, for example, starting right there,
  there was a discussion about you could buy perfume and you
- 10 could stuff cash in the boxes of perfume once you picked them
- 11 | up?
- 12 | A. That was his suggestion.
- 13 | Q. Did that ever happen?
- 14 | A. No.
- 15 Q. As a matter of fact, none of the things that Mr. Datta
- 16 | talked about, China, Singapore, Belize, wire transfers to here
- 17 | and there and shipping goods and manufacturing goods and the
- 18 | list goes on and on, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. There were a lot of proposals?
- 21 | A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. None of that ever happened?
- 23 | A. That's correct.
- Q. You are not aware of any effort being made to see to it that any of those things happened.

# Recinos - cross

- A. I believe he was in the process of opening a duty-free store in Belize. That's what he told me.
  - Q. Do you have any evidence of that?
  - A. No, that's what he told me.
    - Q. Right, it's just another thing he told you?
  - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

8

- 7 | Q. Is that right?
  - A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Has it been your experience that people embellish these 10 situations in conversations with an undercover? You do?
- 11 A. Sometimes of course I do; that's my role.
- 12 | Q. That's your job?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Everything you are saying is a lie?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. After this, we have been talking about August 19, Exhibit 17 105T, that was Mr. Datta you said returned your call and the
- 18 purpose of your having called him and his returning your call
- was because you wanted to buy perfume in his San Ysidro store?
- 20 | A. That's correct.
- 21 | Q. You knew from Ankur Gupta and from the earlier conversation
- 22 | ten days earlier when you met Mr. Datta that the San Ysidro
- 23 | store was a retail location?
- 24 A. That's correct.

25

Q. You knew also that it was a new store?

Recinos - cross

1 | A. Yes.

- 2 Q. In fact his newest store?
  - A. I had no knowledge of that.
- 4 | Q. At the initial introduction with Ankur Gupta and Ajay
- 5 | Gupta, wasn't that how the introduction was made, that is, you
- 6 and Roberto were from Baja California and he had opened a store
- 7 | right there?
- 8 | A. No, I don't recall.
- 9 Q. I may be confusing that with Jose?
- 10 A. I don't think that thing happened with me.
- 11 | Q. You are calling on the 19th, you are setting up a purchase
- of perfume from the San Ysidro store, is that right?
- 13 | A. Yes, sir.
- 14 | Q. This is one month prior to the September 27 meeting where
- 15 | you tell Mr. Datta that you work for the Sinaloa cartel?
- 16 | A. That's correct.
- 17 | Q. When you were talking to Mr. Datta in all of these various
- 18 | meetings, he made it clear to you that he did not want any of
- 19 | his employees to know anything about your business with him?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 | Q. And, for example, he said I don't want anybody to know what
- 22 | is going to happen, right?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. If you need a reference, you don't recall any of that?
- 25 | A. No, I recall.

# Recinos - cross

- 1 | Q. He told you I don't want anybody to know, right?
- 2 A. Yes, correct.
  - Q. He told you don't even mention his name?
- 4 | A. That's correct.
- 5 | Q. He told you my whole employees will jump and will run in
- 6 | one second if they thought I was doing something with you?
- 7 | A. Yes.

3

- Q. He told you he didn't want his employees to be suspicious?
- 9 | A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. He told you that his employees will see what's going on if
- 11 | you are not careful?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. He explained that he had an accountant, that even a junior
- 14 | accountant that would be involved in every transaction?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. In fact, that's why he explained to you, hey, I am not
- 17 | going to take, do any phony invoices, correct?
- 18 A. No, you are not correct.
- 19 Q. He didn't tell you I am not going to do phony invoices?
- 20 A. No, he did not.
- 21 | Q. He didn't tell you that he would not wire money to Panama?
- 22 A. He did tell me that.
- Q. OK. When you say he didn't tell you he wouldn't send phony
- 24 invoices, are you referring to your December 7 meeting with
- 25 || him?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

- A. I am referring to everything in general. He didn't want anything coming back to his company.
  - Q. Well, didn't he explain to you that he couldn't do a phony invoice because then his accountant and junior accountant would say where are the goods and match that up?
  - A. I believe you are referring to the San Diego meeting when I was proposing to do wire transfers. It's not that he didn't want to do it but he didn't want it coming back to him or his company.
    - Q. OK. Specifically, he told you about an employee Cynthia?
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And that he wasn't going to discuss with her anything about you or your business with his perfume business?
  - A. Can you rephrase the question.
- Q. He told you as he did with the other employees that he didn't want her to know what was going on either?
- 17  $\parallel$  A. I don't recall exactly what he said about Cynthia.
- Q. Look at 104T, page 13, top of the page; does that refresh your recollection about it?
- 20 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. He didn't want her to know either?
- 22 | A. That's correct, sir.
- Q. It's very clear, therefore, he didn't want to involve anybody at his business with you and your purchase of perfume?
- 25 | A. Yes, sir.

- Q. We talked about some of the propositions that came up along the way. First, would you agree that there are dozens of propositions?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 | Q. And they are being floated out there by you, some by you?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Some of them by Roberto?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Some by Mr. Datta?
- 10 | A. Yes, sir.
- 11 | Q. They include Mr. Datta could get garments and electronics
- 12 | to sell to you?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. That never happened?
- 15 | A. No.
- Q. Mr. Datta was going to open up a company in Belize; you already told me that didn't happen that you are aware?
- 18 A. I believe, well, apparently it didn't happen.
- Q. There was discussion about money being sent to India; you have no knowledge of that happening?
- 21 A. No, it didn't happen.
- Q. There was discussion of sending merchandise in Bonn, to Chile, to Guatemala to Colombia; that never happened?
- 24 | A. No.
- 25 Q. There was discussion of whether or not there was any way

Recinos - cross

- 1 | Mr. Datta could move cash; that was several times, correct?
- 2 A. Can you rephrase the question.
- 3 | Q. Instead of him selling you perfume you asked him, hey, can
- 4 | I give you cash and you will send it on to whomever?
- 5 A. Correct.

- Q. He said no?
- 7 A. He was reluctant at first then he proposed to do wire transfers under different corporations.
- 9 Q. You asked him to take, for example, to allow cash to be
  10 picked up in New York and he told you he didn't know anyone in
  11 New York to do that for him?
- 12 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You proposed to him you could deliver cash, he would turn into a wire and send it to Panama and he said no to that?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. You proposed to him, what if I gave you \$2 million, could you deposit it for a percentage and send it to Guatemala, and he said no to that?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- Q. With respect to a lot of these proposals that you or
  Roberto were floating out in these meetings, is it true that
  Mr. Datta's response to all of them was I will have to do this
  or I will have to check out that and it was always something he
- 24 had to do?
- 25 | A. That's correct.

3

4

5

6

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

## Recinos - cross

- Q. There was never an occasion when he said, yes, that's what we are going to do and went out and did it?
  - A. He wanted, the only thing that he agreed to was receiving cash in his business, one of his businesses.
    - Q. In his perfume business?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. The one thing that he agreed to do was accept cash in his perfume business?
  - A. That's one thing he did, yes, sir.
- Q. He did that as you already told us August 23 and 30 were the pickup dates?
  - A. I don't recall the exact dates but I know that it happened.
    - Q. It was before the September meeting?

THE COURT: I think the question, Mr. Recinos, was was there ever anything he agreed to do whether he subsequently did it or not. That was the question.

THE WITNESS: He subsequently agreed to do wire transfers, to do everything we were proposing, but he said he had to figure out that he was going to do it.

- Q. He qualifiedly agreed?
- 21 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. If I could figure out how to do it I will do it; is that fair?
- 24 | A. Yes.
  - Q. Some of the things he told you, he said his business is

Recinos - cross

- already international, he is involved in different countries; 1 you were not able to confirm that to be true, were you? 2
- Α. No. 3
- He said things that you thought were exaggerated or 4 embellished, didn't he? 5
- Yes. 6 Α.
- He said he could move 300 to \$400 million in liquor? 7
- He said that. 8 Α.
- You thought that was a bit of a stretch, didn't you? 9
- He was simply explaining, I was there, he was simply 10 explaining that he will be able to move a lot of money, not 11 necessarily those amounts.
- You started working on him in an undercover capacity in 13 August, he got arrested in January; how long is that, 5 months? 14
- 15 Yes, sir. Α.
- In the 5 months from the time you started meeting with him, 16 he never did a wire transfer to Panama? 17
- 18 Α. No.

12

25

- You asked him time and time again to do that, correct? 19
- 20 Α. We never did it, no.

from you?

- You said he agreed to do a wire transfer; you just 21 Q.
- testified to that. He agreed to do a wire transfer? 22
- I said he did a wire transfer? 23
- No, you said he agreed, he agreed to take a wire transfer 24

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. But you never did that, 5 months?
- 3  $\parallel$  A. I sent him a wire transfer and he sent it back.
- 4 Q. Right. Let's get the timing on that. September 24 you
- 5 sent him a wire transfer, is that right?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 Q. \$50,000?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Under that name Gallos?
- 10 | A. Yes, sir.
- 11 | Q. Which is the account. Then you met with him on September
- 12 | 27 which you already told us is the day you told him you were
- 13 | working for the Sinaloa cartel?
- 14 | A. Yes, sir.
- 15 | Q. Two days later on the 29th you received the \$50,000 back,
- 16 || correct?
- 17 A. After the meeting, yes, sir.
- 18  $\parallel$  Q. At that meeting when he said I am going to send you that
- 19 money back, you said, no, wait until I call you?
- 20 | A. That's correct.
- 21 | Q. He didn't wait until you called him, did he?
- 22 | A. You are right.
- 23 | Q. He just sent the money back?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 | Q. How about he discussed doing something in Honduras; were

Recinos - cross

- you able to find any evidence that anything ever happened in Honduras?
- 3 | A. No.
- Q. He talked about doing things in China and Hong Kong; any evidence that ever happened?
- 6 A. No.

- Q. He talked about starting a company in Hong Kong; any evidence that that happened?
  - A. Not to my knowledge.
- 10 Q. He talked about selling \$100 million in whiskey from 11 Mexico; any evidence that that happened?
- 12 | A. That never happened.
- Q. He talked about opening stores in Panama; any evidence that that happened?
- 15 | A. No.
- Q. You said to him at one of the meetings that Nandanson told
  you that Mr. Datta wanted to open a store in New York or in New
  Jersey, right?
- 19 A. I don't recall that.
- Q. In any event, there was no evidence that Mr. Datta had opened up any kind of business in New Jersey or New York?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. When you asked Mr. Datta to receive cash in New York, his answer was I will try to find some people in New York?
- 25 | A. Correct.

- 1 || Q. He never did?
- 2 | A. He never did.
- 3 | Q. Never called you up said I am working on it, never gave you
- 4 | any names, nothing; is that true?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Just talk to you, right?
- 7 A. He was waiting on me to come up with the money.
- 8 | Q. The only people that Mr. Datta to your knowledge was
- 9 | talking to was you, and you are a police officer at the time,
- 10 | right?
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- 12 | Q. Roberto who was a cooperating witness, is that right?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 | Q. Ajay Gupta, also a cooperating witness?
- 15 | A. Yes, sir.
- 16 | Q. Ankur Gupta, cooperating witness?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Jose Correa, another task force officer assigned to the DEA
- 19 | task force?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. There was mention of El Salvador; anything in El Salvador
- 22 | ever happen?
- 23 | A. No.
- 24 | Q. Any evidence that there was anything even attempted to
- 25 | happen?

Recinos - cross

1 | A. No.

- Q. There was talk about Mr. Datta said he could sell the chilangos, which you already told us were Mexicans, CDs and discos from Hong Kong; did that ever happen?
- 5 | A. I don't have any knowledge of that.
- Q. At various times you or Roberto proposed to Mr. Datta that he would receive points for laundering money, receiving money and moving it on, is that right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. That was what the plan was, what was being proposed; he was going to be given money and he was going to wire it and get it to Panama or Guatemala and he was going to receive points, is that right?
- 14 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. That's a percentage; points are a percentage?
- 16 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And at various times you talked about percentages as high as 10, 12 percent?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- Q. We are talking about offering him millions of dollars to do that, is that right?
- 22 A. Depending on the quantity that he would move.
- Q. Certainly the numbers you were touting were millions of dollars, is that right?
- 25  $\parallel$  A. I did discuss that, yes, sir.

- 1 | Q. He never agreed to do it?
- 2 | A. I am thinking. Can you rephrase the question.
- Q. That never happened. For all the talk about we are going
- 4 | to give you points, you are going to move money; never
- 5 | happened?
- 6 A. Never happened.
- 7 | Q. There was even regarding the \$50,000, the \$50,000 you wired
- 8 you said September 24, when you met on September 27, was it
- 9 true that both you and Roberto tried every conceivable way to
- 10 get him to move that money on to Panama?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. Those things included things like just pretend it was a
- wire in error, take out 5, 6,000 even 10,000, and just wire it
- 14 on, is that right?
- 15 | A. That's right.
- 16 | Q. He said no?
- 17 | A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. I can only send back the wire to the person who sent it to
- 19 | me?
- 20 | A. That's correct.
- 21 | Q. Which is exactly what he did?
- 22  $\parallel$  A. That's what he did. He didn't want it coming back to his
- 23 | company or to himself.
- 24 Q. Discussions were had about creating a phantom invoice for
- 25 || goods that were really not shipped, is that right?

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. He rejected that as well?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4  $\parallel$  Q. Then there were just a series of proposals about buying an
- 5 | item for a dollar and bringing it to the United States from
- 6 China, selling it for 95 cents; do you recall that?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- Q. There were proposals about doing that, all kinds of other machinations. Did you understand those by the way?
- 10 A. They were very tough to understand at times; that's why I
- 11 kept asking him to explain.
- 12 Q. Actually were there a couple of times during your
- 13 undercover meetings with Mr. Datta that you said to him I
- 14 understand but in fact you really didn't?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 | Q. Because what he said was confusing?
- 17 | A. It was confusing.
- 18 Q. Very. Wasn't there even an occasion where you didn't
- 19 understand it and you asked Roberto who claimed to understand
- 20 | it to explain it to you and he wouldn't; do you recall that?
- 21 | A. I don't recall that.
- 22 Q. There was discussion about selling to Liverpool in England;
- 23 do you recall that?
- 24 | A. I don't recall.
- 25  $\parallel$  Q. How about to Europe?

| - | $\sim$ | E  | 1 | $\overline{}$ | 7      | т   | ריו |
|---|--------|----|---|---------------|--------|-----|-----|
|   | 9      | н. | 4 | 1 )           | $\sim$ | - 1 | _   |
|   |        |    |   |               |        |     |     |

## Recinos - cross

|     | l .  |      |
|-----|------|------|
| 1 I | A.   | Yes. |
| LI  | 1 A. | TCD. |

- Q. None of those things happened either?
- 3 | A. No.

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Finally at the end of things, you have a meeting with

  Mr. Datta and you say to him send an invoice to or from Mexico

  for \$30,000 and he was going to do that and send it to a friend

  and he will give them the invoice and then he could then

  receive the invoice from Panama and send the \$30,000; do you
  - A. No. You will have to refer to the transcript.

    (Pause)
  - Q. I can't seem to find the reference. Do you recall a conversation with Mr. Datta where a lot of these confusing and sort of serial discussions occurred and at the end of which you said to him, talk no more before you change your mind?
  - A. Yes, I did.

recall that?

- Q. The reason you said that to him was because all of the conversations you had with him were sort of up and down, that is, enthusiastic and then for reasons not going to happen?
- A. At the beginning of that conversation, I had proposed to him to do wire transfers for me but the wire transfers were going to involve his company and he was reluctant to do that; he didn't want it to come back to his company.
- Q. Actually, the kinds of things he told you in response to those proposals are I can't do that, I won't do that; is that

Recinos - cross

1 | right?

- A. So long as it involved his company, that's correct.
- Q. And turning your attention now to November 18, the last
- 4 conversation prior to this, November 18, that you had with
- 5 Mr. Datta would have been September 27 in San Diego, is that
- 6 | correct?
- A. I will have to refer to the transcripts; I don't know them

  8 by heart.
- 9 Q. Do you recall after meeting him in San Diego, after telling
- 10 | him that you were working for the Sinaloa but before he called
- 11 | you about his friend Octavio, there was no conversation between
- 12 | you?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 | Q. He called you on November 18. What he says to you is that
- 15 his friend had been kidnapped?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- Q. And he is calling you to assist him in getting the safe
- 18 | return of his friend?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. If I understood your direct testimony you said that what
- 21 | you did was you repeated to him information that other agents
- were able to tell you from a wiretap to confirm that you really
- 23 knew what you were talking about, is that right?
- 24 A. We were intercepting his phone calls and I was simply
- 25 | repeating what was being said on the wires.

### Recinos - cross

- Q. That's my point. My point is the information about there were really five people, three guys, one woman?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- 4 | Q. All information that came off a wire?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 | Q. You are repeating that information to him, however, as if
- 7 | you are learning it through your cartel sort of connections in
- 8 | Mexico?

- 9 | A. Yes, sir.
- 10 | Q. That's to convince him even further that you are a
- 11 | criminal?
- 12 | A. Yes, sir.
- 13  $\parallel$  Q. So he is calling you on November 18 to ask you as a
- 14 | criminal to contact the criminals in Mexico who had kidnapped
- 15 | his friend?
- 16 | A. That's correct.
- 17 | Q. There was a couple of conversations after that; in other
- 18 words, you call him back periodically to say my friends are
- 19 | looking into it, stuff like that?
- 20 | A. Yes, sir.
- 21 | Q. Then ultimately, December 7, you have another meeting with
- 22 | him, is that right?
- 23 | A. I had a meeting with him early December.
- 24 Q. If you want to look at the transcripts to get the dates
- 25 | right, please do.

## Recinos - cross

- 1 | A. That's correct.
- 2 | Q. That was not a perfume show, is that right?
- 3 | A. That's correct.
- 4 | Q. And your understanding of the circumstances that brought
- 5 you to that meeting was that Octavio, his dear friend, had not
- 6 | yet been released?
- 7 | A. That's correct.
- 8 | Q. The meeting on December 7 was really designed, am I
- 9 correct, to get your feedback and your assistance with regard
- 11 | A. He explained that he wanted to know exactly who I was, who
- 12 | I was working with because I had a lot of knowledge of what had
- 13 | transpired with his friend Octavio.
- 14 | Q. Isn't there a conversation between you and him where he
- 15 | asks, you actually say to him something like how do you think I
- 16 | know that information and he said, well, that's why I am here?
- 17 | A. That's correct.
- 18 | Q. So he was trying to find out all he could about his friend
- 19 | who was still kidnapped?
- 20 | A. Yes.

- 21 | Q. So, in addition to 40 to \$50,000 a week, or millions of
- 22 dollars a month, now you have put out there as an additional
- 23 | consideration for Mr. Datta that you can help get his friend
- 24 | released, is that right?
  - A. I presented that to him when he called me, yes; actually I

Recinos - cross

- 1 | told him I was going to call my friends.
- 2 | Q. You told him you had?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. You repeated information as though it were real?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- Q. You told him that you had assurances that his friend was going to be taken care of and safe?
- 8 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. We discussed a lot of these things that were thrown out,
  these scenarios by you and Roberto or Mr. Datta, and were any
  of them ever agreed to with you, that is, you and Mr. Datta,
  did you ever actually agree to do anything in Singapore?
- 13 | A. No.

21

22

23

24

- Q. Truth of the matter is none of those things was ever agreed to; they were just discussed?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- Q. There got to a point where Mr. Datta had repeatedly either said no to propositions or raised some insurmountable problem to a proposition that you turned to him and asked him if he had any suggestions?
  - A. It was one meeting and that was at the meeting in San Diego and the reason he wouldn't agree to it was because he didn't want it coming back to him or to himself or to his company.
  - Q. That's what you believed to be the case?
    - A. I was there, I know what he meant; once we discussed all

19E4DAT2 .

Recinos - cross

- 1 | the things, he agreed to it.
  - Q. Did the recording pick up everything that was said?
- 3 | A. Yes, sir.

- 4 | Q. Even in the conversation on December 7, which you have
- 5 | already said was really directed at getting information about
- 6 | his kidnapped friend, even then you threw out to Mr. Datta more
- 7 | proposals for money laundering, didn't you?
- 8 A. We continued talking about moving money, and he had a lot
- 9 of ideas.
- 10 | Q. You were the one who had proposed to him that he somehow
- 11 | find a way to get money wired down to Panama, is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. He discussed with you all of these various scenarios and
- 14 | repeated them again?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. On this date in December?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- 18 | Q. It started up with all the things, some of which you may
- 19 | have understood, some you didn't; fair enough?
- 20 A. That's correct, yes, sir.
- 21 | Q. During the course of the conversations with Mr. Datta, you
- 22 | learned, did you not, that one of the reasons that people hired
- 23 || chilangos and have a chilango, a Mexican show up with a
- 24 | passport, one of the reasons was 8.25 percent sale tax in
- 25 | Texas; do you recall that coming up?

- 1 A. Yes, it did.
- 2 | Q. Do you understand that to mean that if a Mexican national
- 3 | comes across the border with a passport and presents it at a
- 4 | perfume store like Mr. Datta's, because they are exporting it,
- 5 | they wouldn't have to pay 8.25 percent?
- 6 A. What I understood was he needed a passport to show that he
- 7 | had done a transaction with somebody, so he needed a Mexican
- 8 passport; he would open an account with that name.
- 9 Q. In addition to 8.25 percent, you learned from your
- 10 | undercover operation and conversations with Mr. Datta that
- 11 | Mexican banks had imposed a 3 percent charge for deposits and
- 12 | wire transfers?
- 13 A. He mentioned it, yes, he did.
- 14 | Q. When you said earlier from his perfume business, he
- 15 | wouldn't do these things?
- 16 | A. That's correct.
- 17 | Q. That's right, he would not?
- 18 | A. He would not.
- 19  $\parallel$  Q. Actually what he said to you was from my real business, I
- 20 | could not do even one dollar?
- 21 | A. I misunderstood the question.
- 22 | Q. From his real business?
- 23  $\parallel$  A. From his real business he will do cash only; he will sell
- 24 | perfumes and accept all cash.
- 25 | Q. Right, but that's his perfume business; he sells perfume,

Recinos - cross

1 | correct?

2

8

9

- A. Yes.
- Q. Then you and Roberto in a conversation, with none of these schemes to launder money coming to fruition, propose to collect
- 5 debts for Mr. Datta in Mexico?
- 6 A. Yes, it was proposed.
- 7 | Q. During the course of that -- strike that.

The way that happened was you learned that Mr. Datta had people in Mexico that owed him money?

- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 | Q. And was it you or Roberto who said, you will give him only 12 | two options, pay or pay?
- 13 A. At different times we both said it.
- 14 | Q. Did you gesture?
- 15 | A. No.
- 16 | Q. Did Roberto gesture?
- 17 | A. No.
- 18 | Q. In addition to this 3 percent bank fee, 8.25 percent sales
- 19 tax, you also learned that the reason perfume wholesalers in
- 20 Mexico send chilangos with a passport is because they don't pay
- 21 | taxes?
- 22 | A. I don't recall that.
- 23 | Q. Look at 106T, page 34.
- 24 | A. I have it. I am on page 34.
- 25 | Q. Line 32. Right?

- 1 | A. That's correct.
- Q. So, avoiding a 8.25 percent sales tax has nothing to do with laundering drug money, does it? Does it?
- $4 \parallel A.$  No.
- Q. Avoiding a 3 percent bank fee in Mexico has nothing to do with money laundering, does it?
- 7 | A. No.
- Q. Avoiding the payment of income taxes in Mexico has nothing to do with laundering drug money, does it?
- 10 A. I have no knowledge of the laws in Mexico.
- 11 MR. ROSS: Your Honor, if you give me one moment I may
  12 have great news.
- 13 (Pause)
- 14 BY MR. ROSS:
- Q. Let's go back just to make sure we got those right. Let's go back to 103, page 5, back to the 40 to 50,000 to see who said what.
- 18 | A. OK.
- 19 | Q. You said I am going to start small, 40 to \$50,000,
- 20 Mr. Datta says every month, you say no, and it's Roberto, not 21 you, Roberto who says every week?
- 22 A. Correct. It's in the transcript.
- Q. So in fact it was 40 to \$50,000 a week that you were talking about?
- 25 | A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

25

- Q. Was the operational decision, your words, to put you in the undercover capacity in this case done because neither Ankur nor Ajay nor Roberto nor Jose Correa could get Mr. Datta to launder money?
- A. No.
- Q. Were you the most senior of the agents available to go undercover?
- A. In the group, yes.
- 9 | Q. Jose Correa is much younger than you?
- 10 | A. Yes, he is.
- Q. From the March 2 meeting with Jose Correa, were you a surveillance officer; was that your role at that time?
  - A. I was present, yes.
- 14 Q. Was that what you basically did?
- 15 A. More or less, yes.
- 16 | Q. You are not undercover; you are there doing surveillance?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You are doing surveillance on March 2. Between March 2 and August 9, let's do that, March to April to May to June, July to
- 20 August, that's another 5 months. So after Mr. Datta meets with
- 21 | Jose Correa and Roberto and has a recorded conversation, we
- 22 | have not yet heard it, 5 months goes by before the next contact
- with him which is again at a perfume show in Las Vegas?
- 24 | A. That's correct.
  - Q. Do you know what conversations Ankur and Ajay Gupta had

```
Recinos - cross
     19E4DAT2
     with Mr. Datta in those 5 months?
1
2
     A. I do not know.
     Q. You know they had conversations though, don't you?
3
     A. I believe they did but I was not part of what they were
4
     doing, my group, I was not part of that angle of the
5
     investigation.
6
               MR. ROSS: Your Honor, may I have just one moment.
7
               THE COURT: Yes.
8
9
               (Pause)
               MR. ROSS: Thank you. I have nothing else.
10
               THE COURT: Thank you. We will take our break.
11
               (Recess)
12
               (Continued on next page)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
19eddat3
```

Recinos - redirect

(Jury not present) 1 MR. BRAGG: Your Honor, may we raise an issue before 2 3 the jury comes in? THE COURT: Yes. What's that? 4 MR. BRAGG: The next witness the government is 5 prepared to call is Frank DiGregorio who we are offering as an 6 expert, and we talked to the defense and we understand there is 7 no objection to his qualification. We wanted to inquire as to 8 your Honor's procedure as to whether you wanted to qualify him 9 in front of the jury, or not to do that? 10 MR. ROSS: Well, you qualify him but you are not 11 offering him. 12 MR. BRAGG: My understanding is some judges do not 13 like the --14 THE COURT: I do not want the tender as an expert. 15 That is what I don't want. 16 MR. BRAGG: OK. 17 THE COURT: OK. Let's get the jury. 18 (Continued on next page) 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

23

24

25

Recinos - redirect

(Jury present) 1 THE CLERK: Jury entering. 2 THE COURT: OK. The defendant and the jurors are 3 present. 4 Any redirect? 5 MR. BRAGG: Yes, your Honor. 6 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. BRAGG: 8 Q. You were asked, Mr. Recinos, on cross-examination about 9 whether in your first interaction with Mr. Datta on 10 August 9th you used the phrase "move money." Do you recall 11 12 that? Yes, sir. 13 Α. Q. If you could turn to 103T, page 4, lines 16 to 17. 14 Did you say at that meeting, Normally it's about two 15 weeks, two weeks, a couple of days, and we're looking to move 16 it a little faster? 17 A. Yes, sir. 18 If you could just turn with me, the same exhibit, to page 19 6, line 4. 20 THE COURT: Before you go on, it would be helpful, I 21 think, to draw the witness' attention to the preceding page, 22

THE COURT: Before you go on, it would be helpful, I think, to draw the witness' attention to the preceding page, line 45, which may indeed contain the antecedent of the word "it."

(212) 805-0300

MR. BRAGG: Thank you, your Honor.

25

Recinos - redirect

At the bottom of page 3, line 45, did you say to Mr. Datta, 1 Yes, because we -- we got to send the money up there? 2 Yes, sir. 3 Α. And then you made the statement about moving a little 4 faster after that? 5 MR. ROSS: I object, your Honor. I object to 6 misstating. We are skipping half a page. 7 THE COURT: The jury has the whole transcript. 8 They've heard it all. 9 There is such a thing as opening something up, 10 Mr. Ross. 11 BY MR. BRAGG: 12 Q. And later that evening you met with the defendant again, 13 and you made a statement to him about the Sinaloa that you were 14 asked about on cross-examination. And -- pardon me. 15 16 Withdrawn. Withdrawn. You were asked on cross-examination why you did not on 17 August 9th refer to the Sinaloa Cartel, and you responded, I 18 believe --19 MR. ROSS: Objection, your Honor. That question was 20 not asked. Why he did not was not asked. That he didn't was. 21 THE COURT: Rephrase. 22 Q. I believe on cross-examination, sir, you were asked that 23 you did not mention the Sinaloa Cartel on August 9th to 24

Mr. Datta. And you testified, I believe, it was too early to

```
Recinos - redirect
     19eddat3
1
     tell him that.
2
               Why was it too early?
               MR. ROSS: I object, your Honor.
3
               THE COURT: Sustained.
4
         There was a little bit of confusion, I believe, on
5
     cross-examination just about the time of that
6
     August 9th dinner. What time was it in Las Vegas?
7
          It was 8 p.m. in Las Vegas. There was some confusion, you
8
9
      are right.
          Staying with that August 9th dinner. You testified on
10
      cross-examination about discussions concerning purchases of
11
     perfume at the defendant's stores. Did the defendant give you
12
      any instructions as to how to go about making those purchases?
13
         Yes, he did.
14
      Α.
          What type of instructions did he give you?
15
         No small bills. No 20s. He wanted a passport and a
16
      national -- a Mexican national.
17
        Give me one moment.
18
               (Pause)
19
               Can I ask you to turn to 104-T, page 10, lines 20 to
20
      21.
21
               Here at this point does Mr. Datta give you
22
      instructions about perfume purchases at his store?
23
```

A. Yes, sir.

24

25

And the transcript will speak for itself, but just for the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

23

24

25

Recinos - redirect

record, did he say, OK, if you want to send the perfumes over there, do two transactions, here to Mexico and Mexico to Colombia; nobody knows nothing?

A. That's correct.

Q. And then turning now to a couple of months later, the December 7th meeting with Mr. Datta. You were asked, on cross-examination, questions about his friend Octavio and whether that came up at the meeting and also about wires and whether they came up at the meeting.

If you could turn to 107-T, page 5, line 5. At this point the transcript says, I will do the wire transfers but I need to set up the thing in a way -- I need like another two, three months.

And you have the transcript before you.

Do you know if prior to that statement there was any discussion about Octavio?

- A. Yes, sir.
- 18 | Q. You know?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 | 0. Was there?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Where was it? If you could point to it in the transcript?
  - A. When he called me for assistance, and also during the --
  - Q. Perhaps my question wasn't clear, sir.
    - I pointed you to page 5, line 5. And what I intended

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

18

19

20

21

Recinos - redirect

- to ask was was there any conversation about Octavio on December 7, 2010, at this dinner prior to that point in the conversation?
- A. Not prior to this conversation.
- Q. You were asked on cross-examination about where the cash for perfume purchases was coming from and asked whether

  Mr. Datta told you the cash was coming over the border to avoid taxes. Do you recall that?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Did Mr. Datta give you any other reasons as to where the cash was coming from?
- MR. ROSS: I object, your Honor. There was no discussion about where cash came from.
- 14 BY MR. BRAGG:
- 15 | Q. Or as to why it was coming?
  - MR. ROSS: Does the Court wish a response?
- THE COURT: Well, it is a different question now.
  - MR. ROSS: I think it is a different question, and the same objection. The discussion was, on cross, regarding chilangos and why they were used, why a Mexican passport was being used to purchase perfume, and those were the reasons.
- THE COURT: Mr. Bragg, rephrase the question.
- 23 BY MR. BRAGG:
- Q. Did Mr. Datta give you any other reasons why to use the term chilangos or customers were coming to him with wads of

```
Recinos - redirect
      19eddat3
      cash to purchase perfume?
 1
 2
      Α.
          No.
          Can I direct your attention --
 3
               MR. ROSS: Objection, your Honor.
 4
                            What is the objection? Can I direct your
                THE COURT:
 5
      attention? What is objectionable about those words?
 6
               MR. ROSS: I'll wait to hear the question, your Honor.
 7
                THE COURT: Thank you.
 8
               MR. ROSS: Thank you.
 9
      BY MR. BRAGG:
10
          I direct your attention to the same exhibit, 107-T, line
11
      42.
. 12
                MR. ROSS: Page?
13
                MR. BRAGG: I'm sorry. The same exhibit, page 32,
14
15
      line 42.
16
          Are you there, sir?
           107? Line 32?
17
      Α.
           Page 32, line 42.
18
           I have it.
19
      Α.
                THE COURT: OK. Is there a question now?
20
                MR. BRAGG: Yes.
21
           What did the defendant say to you at this point in the
22
       conversation?
 23
           There's a lot of cash coming in to me.
 24
           Would you continue.
 25
```

```
19eddat3
```

# Recinos - redirect

| 1  | A. I'm reporting everything under their names. I'm pretty  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | sure they're they're taking their discount someplace. It's |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3  | all Sinaloa money.                                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | MR. BRAGG: One moment, your Honor.                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | THE COURT: Yes.                                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | (Pause)                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | MR. BRAGG: No further questions.                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | THE COURT: Thank you. Any recross?                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | MR. ROSS: No, your Honor. Thank you.                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | THE COURT: Thank you. The witness is excused.              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | (Witness excused)                                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | THE COURT: Next witness.                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | MR. BRAGG: The government calls Frank J. DiGregorio.       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | I believe the agent went to get him.                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | (Pause)                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | THE CLERK: Sir, if you could please take the stand.        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | FRANK DIGREGORIO,                                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | called as a witness by the government,                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | having been duly sworn, testified as follows:              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated.                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | And can you please state your name and spell your last     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | name for the record.                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: Frank DiGregorio, D-i-G-R-E-G-O-R-I-O.        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | THE COURT: You may proceed, counselor.                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | DIRECT EXAMINATION                                         |  |  |  |  |  |

DiGregorio - direct

1 BY MR. BRAGG:

- 2 | Q. Where do you currently work, sir?
- 3 | A. The Queens District Attorney's office.
- Q. How long have you worked at the Queens District Attorney's offers?
- 6 A. A little over ten years.
  - Q. What is your current assignment?
- 8 A. I am a group supervisor for what has been known as the DHS,
- 9 Department of Homeland Security El Dorado Task Force.
- 10 | Q. What is the El Dorado Task Force?
- 11 | A. It's a financial task force made up of -- represented by
- 12 | most federal agencies, including DEA, IRS, FBI, ICE, the
- 13 | Department of State, NYPD, New York State Police, Nassau
- 14 | Suffolk County police, and detective investigators from
- 15 | throughout the various district attorneys' offices.
- 16 Q. What does the Task Force do?
- 17  $\parallel$  A. Our primary mission is financial investigations.
- 18 | Q. OK. How long have you been assigned to the Task Force?
- 19 | A. 21 years.
- Q. Where were you employed before you joined the Queens DA's
- 21 | office?
- 22 A. The New York City Police Department. I am a retired
- 23 detective.
- 24 Q. And when you were with the New York City Police Department,
- 25 | were you also on that El Dorado Task Force?

DiGregorio - direct

- A. Yes. After about ten, about eleven years with the PD, they assigned me to what was to become the El Dorado Task Force.

  Q. And as a New York City Police officer prior to that service
  - on the Task Force, what sort of cases did you investigate?

    A. When I started, obviously I was routine patrol in a radio car. Eventually promoted to anticrime, which is plainclothes,

I then applied and was accepted to the Narcotics Division. I worked traditional narcotics arrests, narcotics cases, about three-and-a-half years. I then was promoted to the Organized Crime Investigation Division, where we did traditional organized crime cases. And from there the United States Customs Service put a request into the PD for ten detectives to begin a pilot program, which would become the El Dorado Task Force.

- Q. As a member of the Task Force, have you received training over the years in various types of money laundering?
- A. Yes, sir, I have.
- 19 | Q. What kind of training have you received?

concentrating on felony arrests.

- A. What type of? Financial investigation training.
  - Q. Training from whom?
  - A. The Internal Revenue Service; Department of the Treasury; the United States Customs Service; NYPD; HIDTA, which is the High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area; FCEN, which is the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network; the Department of State;

2

3

4

15

17

18

19

DiGregorio - direct

- 1 | various seminars.
  - Q. OK. In addition to receiving training, have you also provided training to others about money laundering?
    - A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Can you describe briefly what types of training you've given?
- Basically the training has been done -- I've conducted 7 training for most of the federal agencies, including the Rand 8 Corporation, the CIA, foreign entities, Cambridge University, 9 Malta, the Caribbean, various government officials, several 10 HIDTA-sponsored -- State Department-sponsored training that was 11 given to approximately 50 representatives of law enforcement 12 and regulatory governmental individuals from about 50 different 13 countries. 14
  - Q. What were the subjects of those trainings?
- 16 A. Primarily the black market peso exchange.
  - Q. What is the black market peso exchange?
    - A. It is the number one system used by the narcotics cartels to launder their money.
- Q. Approximately how many black market peso exchange investigations have you participated in during your career?
- A. In one capacity or another, I would have to say over a thousand.
- Q. When you say "in one capacity or another," what do you mean?

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# DiGregorio - direct

- A. It was where I was either the case agent, an assistant case agent, or supervisor in those different investigations.
  - Q. In connection with those investigations, did you have the opportunity to interview people who have been involved in narcotics trafficking?
- 6 A. Yes, I have.
  - Q. How many interviews would you estimate?
- 8 A. It's in the hundreds.
  - Q. And did you have an opportunity to interview people who had been involved in the laundering of narcotics trafficking proceeds?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And how many --
- 14 | A. Again, it's hundreds of individuals.
  - Q. And of those you've interviewed, what types of roles did they play in trafficking and laundering?
  - A. I've interviewed South American cartel members, U.S. drug traffickers, the owners of businesses both in foreign countries and countries -- and businesses here in the United States, government officials on both sides of the border, what we call couriers, individuals who physically move the drugs or physically move the money, casa de cambios, which is money exchange houses, owners of those businesses located both here in the States and in other countries.
    - Q. And have you testified on the subject of the black market

```
19eddat3
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

25

# DiGregorio - direct

1 peso exchange before?

A. Yes, I have.

MR. BRAGG: One moment, your Honor.

(Pause)

MR. BRAGG: Thank you.

- Q. Based on your experience, have you become familiar with the international narcotics trade?
- A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Have you've become familiar with the international cocaine trade specifically?
- 11 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Have you become familiar with the role Colombia plays in the production of cocaine?
- 14 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. And what route does Colombian cocaine follow to get the
- 16 U.S., as a general matter?
  - A. Primarily in today's day and age, after leaving South

    America it makes its way into Central America, it comes up

    through Mexico into the United States for distribution.
- Q. And based on your experience, what happens once the drugs arrive in the United States?
- 22  $\parallel$  A. They are distributed throughout the United States.
- 23 Q. And how do customers pay for narcotics?
- 24 | A. Cash.
  - Q. Is it fair to say that type of sale results in significant

```
19eddat3
```

# DiGregorio - direct

- 1 | amounts of cash?
- 2 A. Yes, it does.
- Q. Do narcotics traffickers typically deposit that cash in
- 4 | bank accounts?
- 5 A. No. Typically, no.
- 6 Q. Why not?
- 7 A. The laws that we have here in the United States, both the
- 8 | banking laws and our own laws, make it quite easy for us to
- 9 | identify those sums of money.
- 10  $\parallel$  Q. I now want to ask you about the black market peso exchange
- 11 | in some greater detail.
- 12 Would it aid your testimony to use a diagram?
- 13 A. Yes, it would.
- MR. BRAGG: If we could show just to the witness for a
- 15 | moment 1001.
- 16 | Q. Do you recognize this?
- 17 | A. Yes, I do.
- 18  $\parallel$  Q. What is it?
- 19 A. This is a drawing that I use during the teaching that I do
- 20 || in the BMP.
- 21 | Q. Would it aid your testimony here today?
- 22 A. Yes, it would.
- 23 MR. BRAGG: Your Honor, the government would offer it
- 24 | as a demonstrative aid.
- MR. ROSS: No objection, your Honor.

Go ahead.

25

DiGregorio - direct

THE COURT: Received on that basis. 1 (Government's Exhibit 1001 received in evidence) 2 BY MR. BRAGG: 3 As you describe it, the jurors have a video as well so just 4 be aware. 5 Looking at 1001, what does the double line in the 6 middle of the exhibit represent? 7 That's a separation between the United States and Colombia. 8 Everything above the double line is here in the United States. 9 Everything below the double line is in Colombia. 10 OK. So can you walk us through? What is the first step in 11 12 the black market peso exchange process? Well, the first step is the drug dealers, the narcotics 13 cartels, sending their drugs north to the United States. 14 And where is that, just to --15 On the bottom left of the diagram. It says "Colombian drug 16 dealers." Those dollars represent the dollars being shipped 17 north to the United States. 18 Excuse me. What is shipped north? 19 Q. The narcotics are shipped north to the United States. 20 What happens after that in the process? 21 Ο. Obviously they are spread out -- the drug is sent 22 throughout the country, where they are sold for cash. The cash 23 is then accumulated in stash houses, where it sits. 24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# DiGregorio - direct

A. Shall I continue? OK.

The one thing I might want to make mention of is that this is an extremely generic example. There are multiple steps. Things have changed. Between Colombia and the United States, you now could add in other countries, primarily Mexico. And there are other steps between the various individuals.

- Q. So let's just --
- A. This is just generic.
- Q. Let's stay with 1001. You said the cash was in stash houses. Where is that?
- A. Those are spread out around the country.
- 12 | Q. Which country?
- 13 A. The United States.
  - Q. And what is the next step in the movement of that cash?
  - A. OK. The next step, on the bottom right you'll see it says "Colombian importer." OK? To make it simple, we'll say that the Colombian importer is a farmer and he wishes to buy a tractor. He is a coffee farmer who wishes to buy a tractor. He wants to buy the tractor from a U.S. exporter, we'll say John Deere for argument's sake. So on the top right you'll see it says "Exporter."

Now, the Colombian farmer has sold his coffee and he has Colombian pesos as his currency. That is his national currency. John Deere wants to receive U.S. dollars. So the Colombian importer can go to his bank. He could pay the taxes

DiGregorio - direct

and the tariffs that would be due on the exchange of pesos to dollars and request his bank to send a wire transfer to John Deere to pay for that tractor. Keeping it simple, we'll say a hundred thousand dollars.

There is another system that has taken effect within the last 50 years and that's our next player. On the bottom in the middle, it says "Colombian peso broker."

- Q. What is a peso broker?
- A. That individual acts similar to a real estate agent. He has someone who wants to buy something and someone who has something to sell. So in this case the Colombian importer goes to the peso broker with his hundred thousand dollars in pesos and says I need to send this to John Deere in the United States in dollars. So he gives the Colombian peso broker a hundred thousand dollars in pesos. He, in turn, meaning the peso broker, goes to -- has connections with the drug side. So he goes to the Colombian drug dealer and says do you have a hundred thousand dollars in the U.S. that I can buy? So they agree and they buy it on a discounted rate.
- Q. Now, what you do you mean by that, "on a discounted rate"?
- A. Basically, the dollars -- the dollars that he's paying over, the hundred thousand dollars, he'll basically buy for about 80 or 85,000. So in this case he's going to in reality turn over \$85,000 worth of pesos but receive a hundred thousand dollars U.S. in the U.S.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DiGregorio - direct

- Just to be clear, using the 1001, you are talking about the 1 Colombian peso broker who is in the middle on the bottom, 2 3 correct? 4
  - That is correct.
  - Who does the peso broker receive the pesos from?
  - From the importer. Α.
- And what is the relationship between -- withdrawn. 7

Does the peso broker give anything to the drug dealer? He turns over to him the pesos, in effect paying him

- for his narcotics that he has sent to the United States.
- OK. What happens with the drug dollars that are in the United States?
- The Colombian peso broker and the drug dealer will exchange a code, they will agree on a code that they're going to use, along with a phone number, the phone number primarily belonging to the broker's worker in the United States. So what will happen is the drug dealer will call his U.S. drug worker and give him the phone number and the code. At the same time the Colombian peso broker will call his worker in the United States, giving him the code. At that point -- and along with that code will be the amount of money that he's due to pick up. So he will be given the instructions that say you're going to pick up \$100,000, here's the number and the code.

A phone call will be made from the U.S. drug dealer's worker to the broker's worker in the United States.

DiGregorio - direct

then meet on the corner of walk and don't walk and they will exchange that bag of \$100,000, the drug worker taking the 100,000 and giving it now to the broker's worker.

- Q. What does the broker's worker do with that money?
- A. He will bring it home. He will secure it. He'll count it. He will report the count to the broker in Colombia. And at that point he will wait for instructions as to what to do with that money.
- Q. Using the diagram here, 1001, what does the broker's worker ultimately do with the cash?
- A. He will get it into the banking system or deliver it, depending on what the instructions are. So John Deere now will receive payment in the, quote, form of either a wire transfer or theoretically in cash, and he then will export that tractor to Colombia.
- Q. And how exactly do the various participants depicted on 1001 benefit from participating in this process?
- A. Well, the importer does not have to pay any tax or tariff, which, by the way, in Colombia ranges about 25 percent. So that hundred thousand dollars tractor would have really cost him about \$125,000. So he benefits by saving on the taxes and tariffs.

The Colombian drug dealer benefits because he's paid pesos in his native country, as dollars do him no good there. So he's been paid for his drugs in Colombia.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DiGregorio - direct

Obviously, the U.S. drug dealer has gotten rid of the money that he's been responsible to be sitting on in the stash house, and the broker's worker has made his commission for doing the transaction, which is somewhere between 1 and 3 percent. John Deere now has received payment for its tractor

and has sent that tractor down to Colombia.

The only one that loses -- and, obviously, the peso broker has made his money on the exchange for what he bought the dollars for, so he's gotten his benefit up front. Just like I said, a real estate agent, you have a buyer and a seller. And the only one that loses in this scheme is the Colombian government, as they get beat on the taxes and tariffs.

- Just going back to exporter in the upper right-hand corner. I may have missed it. What is the benefit as to the exporter in participating in the process?
- A. Obviously, increased sales. Word spreads around amongst the importers and word spreads around amongst the importers as to the benefits of using the system. So he'll buy -- the exporter himself will have an increased sale. Also, if they are paid in cash, there is also the benefit of -- it's an anonymous transaction, basically, so it sometimes has tax. There is tax issues that go along with that.
- Is there a form of this process that you've encountered in

DiGregorio - direct

- 1 | cases involving Mexico?
- 2 | A. A form?

3

5

- Q. Is this process used in Mexico?
- 4 A. This process has now become used in Mexico, that's correct.
  - Q. Would it aid your testimony today to use a different
- 6 diagram to describe the process as it occurs in Mexico?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 MR. BRAGG: If we could have 1002 just for the witness
- 9 | for the moment?
- 10 Q. What is Government Exhibit 1002? Do you recognize it?
- 11 | A. Yes, I do.
- 12 | Q. What as a general matter is it?
- 13 | A. I'm sorry?
- 14 Q. What as a general matter is 1002?
- A. This is the depiction of how the BMP is nowadays used in
- 16 Mexico.
- 17 | Q. Would use of this exhibit aid your testimony?
- 18 A. Yes, it would.
- MR. BRAGG: The government offers 1002 as a
- 20 demonstrative exhibit.
- 21 MR. ROSS: No objection, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Received for that purpose.
- (Government's Exhibit 1002 received in evidence)
- 24 BY MR. BRAGG:
- 25 | Q. Now, using the exhibit on the screen, 1002, Detective, can

DiGregorio - direct

1 you walk us through how the process works in Mexico?

- A. Yes. Basically it starts out with the Mexican drug dealer.
  Whether --
- Q. Excuse me. Just to orient us, where is the Mexican drug dealer on this exhibit?
- A. On the left-hand side of the map depicting the country of Mexico, just to the right of Baja California there, it says "Mexican drug dealer," about mid-diagram, to the left of "pesos and dollars."

THE COURT: I think everybody can see it.

MR. BRAGG: I interrupted, I'm sorry.

- Q. Please describe the process using the exhibit.
- A. The Mexican drug dealer receives the cocaine from the Colombian drug dealers, from the exporters. They now assume the responsibility for those drugs.

They ship those drugs north to the United States, where as similar with the Colombian part of it, those drugs are distributed throughout the country and sold, where the cash now accumulates. The difference being the cash is nowadays brought back over the border and given back to the Mexican drug cartels.

- Q. What happens after that?
- A. At that point, as what happens similar on the other side of the BMP, those dollars are sold to peso brokers, who then pay the Mexican cartels in pesos, receive the dollars, and arrange

DiGregorio - direct

- for those dollars to be brought back north and delivered to various businesses.
  - Q. And that's the U.S. exporter, is that correct?
  - A. The U.S. exporter, correct. In turn, the U.S. exporter sends the goods back down to Mexico.
    - Q. How does the importer benefit in the Mexican process?
    - A. The importer benefits by, first off, although in Mexico there is no taxes and tariffs, there are other taxes that get paid -- state, federal, those type of taxes. He also benefits by buying -- getting cheap, what we call cheap dollars. They are able to buy the dollars at a discounted rate.
    - Q. What are the causes that gave rise to the use of the Mexican black market peso exchange process?
    - A. Prior to 9/11 -- prior to 9/11, this did not exist. The primary responsibility -- the movement of the drugs, the collecting of the money -- was basically a Colombian/U.S. entity. After 9/11 -- much of the money during the Colombian side of it prior to 9/11 would be delivered to New York. It would be delivered to our major cities. Needless to say, after 9/11 security was extremely tight; moving the cash around the country was extremely tight. There was a danger to moving it. There was a danger to moving the cash around. At the same time, some serious laws were passed in this country under the Patriot Act, which made it much more difficult for the bad -- for the narcotic traffickers to move their money through our

DiGregorio - direct

 $\parallel$  banking system.

So what we began to see was much -- a lot of the money going over the border into Mexico. We were more concerned on exports -- on what was coming into the country, unfortunately, that was what was going out and we were using them as dollars going over the border. At the same time we began to receive information from working informants that we have in Colombia.

The advantage we have is that we're kept up on the trends by our informants. And what began to shift was requests for undercover operations to be conducted out of Mexico, something that had never happened before. So they were requesting cash to be picked up in Mexico, wire transfers to be accepted in undercover accounts from Mexico, and, once again, this is something that had never really happened. So we began to follow those trends.

At the same time there was studies done by government regulatory and intelligence agencies studying the amount of cash that was crossing the border into Mexico versus the amount of cash that was coming back over, and it was found that there was billions of dollars discrepancies in those figures.

Q. Let me stop you right there.

I believe you testified the dollars are now in Mexico?

- A. Mm-hmm.
- Q. Were those dollars being put into banks in Mexico?
- A. At that time they were -- at that time they were. There

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DiGregorio - direct

- was a loophole in the Mexican law which allowed tremendous amounts of country, U.S. dollars, to be deposited into Mexican-held bank accounts.
  - Q. And did there come a point where that changed?
  - A. Yes. That was eventually changed, based in part by our own investigations in June 2010, where they extremely limited the amount of dollars that could be deposited into Mexican bank accounts.
  - Q. Prior to the law being passed, were there informal restrictions that had been put in place?
  - A. Informal?
- Q. Or restrictions self-imposed by the banks?
- 13 A. Yes. Well, yes. In January of '09 several of the banks
  14 began stopping the acceptance of dollars, meaning U.S. cash,
  15 into their Mexican bank accounts.
  - Q. OK. I just want to go back to 1001 for one moment.

You testified previously -- among the upper right-hand corner, were broker's worker and the arrow to exporter. You testified previously about sometimes the cash goes into the banking system. I just wanted to follow up on that. How does that process work?

- A. Well, most of the time it goes into the banking system on what we call -- by what we call smurfing.
- Q. What do you mean by smurfing?
  - A. Basically, if you deposit more than \$10,000 in cash into

DiGregorio - direct

the bank, there are certain reports that are required to be 1 So smurfing is taking a sum of cash that's more than 2 \$10,000 but depositing it into the banking system in amounts 3 under \$10,000 to avoid the preparation of those reports. 4 What reports are you referring to? 5 It's called a Currency Transaction Report, a CTR. 6 MR. BRAGG: One moment, your Honor. 7 (Pause) 8 Just one last question. 9 Q. You said this as to 1001. Maybe we can pull up 1002. 10 You described 1001 as basic or generic. Does the same apply to 11 Government Exhibit 1002? Are there variations of this process? 12 Oh, absolutely. Yes. 13 No further questions, your Honor. MR. BRAGG: OK. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. Cross-examination. 15 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. WHITE: 17 Good afternoon, Detective --18 Good afternoon. 19 Α. 20 Q. -- DiGregorio. I will put this on here, Government Exhibit 1001, in 21 evidence. 22

This refers to the Colombian -- the Colombian peso broker and the Colombian black market peso scheme, correct?

A. Yes.

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

DiGregorio- cross

- Q. And most of your experience has been with the Colombian black market peso scheme, as you call it, correct?
  - A. Most of it has been with the Colombians, but for over the last seven, eight years it's been primarily a -- it has changed. So now obviously it has expanded to other countries.
    - Q. But in total years of experience, it was the Colombia black market peso exchange that you specialized in?
  - A. That's correct.
    - Q. And that whole law enforcement concept of a black market peso exchange grew out of the Colombian drug trade, correct?
- 11 | A. That's correct.
- Q. In countries like Colombia, there are parallel currency
  systems -- systems parallel to the official banking system -that normally existed, correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
  - Q. So the black market peso exchange or these parallel currency exchanges were not caused by narcotics trafficking, they preexisted narcotic trafficking; is that true.
  - A. There is a different system that occurs in the BMP than what occurs in normal parallel banking, which is all over the world.
- 22 | Q. All over the world; in Latin American countries, including?
- A. There is a parallel market all over the world, yes. That's including Latin American countries.
  - Q. In Colombia, the reason for the parallel currency market,

DiGregorio- cross

- putting drug trafficking aside for the moment, is to avoid the banking system and to avoid government scrutiny, correct?
  - A. On occasion, yes, that's true.
  - Q. Well, that's its purpose; that's why there is a parallel currency system independent of narcotics trafficking --
    - A. There is --
  - Q. There was prior to narcotic trafficking, that's why it existed?
  - A. There is also a -- the parallel market -- there's multiple markets in a country like Colombia. You have the official government exchange rate, as you said. You have the parallel market. And then you have the black market, which is below that. The parallel market depends largely on entities such as Western Union, where you have remittance monies that are sitting in the businesses, people that are sending money home. So that rate fluctuates slightly from what the official government exchange rate is.

And then below that is where you have the typical narcotics black market rate. It's to buy or sell dollars is cheaper in the black market than it is in the parallel market and cheaper in the parallel market than what it is in the official exchange rate.

- Q. But the parallel market preexisted the narcotics black market peso exchange?
- A. Yes, it did.

3

4

5

8

9

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

DiGregorio- cross

- Q. And in Mexico prior to 2001, was there a parallel currency exchange system?
  - A. There's always -- yes, there has been a parallel system in Mexico.
  - Q. For a long, long time, correct?
- 6 A. Yes. I'm not sure how many years but there is a parallel market, yes.
  - Q. Going back to when it was ruled by Spain?
  - $\|$  A. OK.
- 10 | Q. You are saying "OK."
- 11 THE COURT: You are saying OK because you know it?
- 12 A. I don't know this back when it was ruled by Spain. I'm

  13 sorry, I don't know that.
  - Q. Now, you said that there are similarities -- you said these similarities between Mexico and Colombia in the black market peso exchange, and are there similarities in the non-drug trafficking currency exchanges?
- 18 A. I don't get involved with non-narcotics currency exchanges.
- Q. So your expertise is confined to narcotics trafficking, money exchanges in narcotics trafficking?
  - A. My expertise is in the black market peso exchange, which involves both the financial and the narcotics end of the spectrum.
  - Q. But you don't know about the financial end independent of narcotics trafficking; is that what you're saying?

DiGregorio- cross

- 1 A. I don't know about the financial end.
- 2 | Q. In Mexico.
  - A. Outside of narcotics trafficking is this?
- 4 | Q. Yes.

3

- 5 | A. No, I don't.
- Q. I just wanted to clarify something. You said there is no import duties in Mexico?
- A. No. I said free trade. There's free trade with Mexico.

  That doesn't mean goods when they're shipped and the sale of

  goods end up with no taxes. I'm just comparing it with taxes

  and tariffs. There are additional taxes and tariffs. There is

  no -- is there free trade with Mexico? Unlike Colombia, where

  you pay for what you're importing into the country; I was

  trying to keep that separate.
  - Q. There are tariffs on some imports into Mexico, correct?
- 16 A. Yes, some imports.
- Q. For example, perfumes manufactured in France, there would be a tariff on that, correct?
- 19 A. If it came from France, that I'm not sure about.

(Continued on next page)

21

20

15

22

23

24

25

DiGregorio - cross

I would like to speak to you about MR. WHITE: 1 Government Exhibit 1001. 2 THE COURT: I think that's 1002. 3 MR. WHITE: Let's talk about 1002. 4 5 BY MR. WHITE: I want to focus on the right-hand side of that exhibit, 6 where the U.S. exporter sells good to the importer. Now just 7 assume the U.S. exporter ships goods to the importer in Mexico 8 then sends an invoice to the importer for a certain amount of 9 money to be paid in U.S. dollars. What are the different 10 methods that that importer could use to pay that invoice? 11 12 Wire transfers from his own country. How would a wire transfer work? How would that occur? 13 What would the importer do to make that wire transfer to the 14 exporter in the United States? 15 Number 1, he could take, if the sale is for pesos, he could 16 deposit an unlimited amount of pesos into his business bank 17 account and request the bank to do a wire transfer into the 18 country that is due. 19 THE COURT: In what currency? 20 THE WITNESS: In exchange to U.S. dollars. 21 He could go to an established bank and operate within the 22

- Q. He could go to an established bank and operate within the regular banking system --
- A. Correct.

23

24

25

Q. -- and have that bank take his pesos and then wire U.S.

DiGregorio - cross

1 dollars to the American exporter?

- A. Yes. What actually, I am not an international banker, but what happens is foreign banks have what's called corresponding bank accounts here in the United States, basically it's the bank's bank account or checking account here in the United States, and the pesos would be deposited into the Mexican bank. The payment would come from their dollar accounts, be it in certain countries the dollar is the accepted currency, Uruguay as an example, but in this case it would come from their dollar account from a corresponding bank.
- Q. If the importer did that, he would now be showing the transaction and his business to both the bank and to any government regulators and taxing authorities in Mexico, is that correct?
- A. I guess if they were looking at his accounts he would be showing it, sure, just like every other business in the world does.
- Q. You have heard of cash businesses?
- A. Yes, of course.
- Q. They don't show all their income; they don't need to show all their income to banks or taxing authorities?

THE COURT: I think there is a problem with that question. I am pretty confident that there are cash businesses that absolutely show every penny and some that don't. So let's not get into the realm of speculating.

24

25

DiGregorio - cross

I agree, your Honor. 1 MR. WHITE: 2 BY MR. WHITE: There are some that don't, correct? 3 There are some that don't --4 Α. There are cash economies that completely bypass the taxing 5 authorities and the regular banking channels all over the 6 7 world, correct? I am assuming there are people that accept cash that break 8 the law, yes. 9 They break the law by not declaring their income, paying 10 their taxes in the country that they operate in, correct? 11 Sure, yes, if they don't pay their taxes and their country 12 or their state requires you paying taxes and you are able to 13 bypass it without recording it, it's a criminal offense, at 14 least in this country. 15 If it's in Mexico you assume it's a criminal offense in 16 Mexico to do it, is that what you are saying? 17 MR. BRAGG: Objection. 18 THE COURT: Sustained. 19 I am talking about Government Exhibit 1002, a Mexican 20 importer, a Mexican importer paying an exporter in the United 21 If he operates through the banking system, he will 22 have to show his income, he will have to show the transaction, 23

he will have to pay a tariff on the product he is importing, he

will have to pay a bank fee and he may have to pay the currency

DiGregorio - cross

exchange rate that's higher than he can get in the parallel market; isn't that true?

THE COURT: Objection sustained. If he had wheels he

BY MR. WHITE:

might be a bicycle. Let's go on.

Q. What other methods could the importer use to pay the American exporter if he wanted to avoid the banking system and wanted to avoid scrutiny by the government, Mexican government? A. There are several ways that they do that. They could buy cash that currently is in the United States. You have to keep in mind there are Colombian money brokers operating out of Mexico. There is a debt that the Mexicans owe the Colombians for drugs they have given them. Whether the Mexicans own the drugs and pay up front or whether the Mexicans distribute and then pay for the drugs, there is debt that's owed back to the Colombians, cocaine is not grown in Mexico, so that they ask the Colombians for instructions.

So the same way that I described the Colombian system on the first diagram, that same system operates using Mexicans. So it's Mexicans that collect the money and the Mexicans that smurf the money into bank accounts and deliver it to businesses. It's the same system. The Colombian brokers and Mexican traffickers are operating in each other's countries, making the system work.

Q. That's a variation of the black market pesos exchange you

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

23

24

25

DiGregorio - cross

1 | explained in Government Exhibit 1002?

- A. It's not a variation; it is the same. It's just Government Exhibit 2 is just to show what's happening in Mexico, but as I said in my original explanation, it's the Colombians who deliver the drugs to the Mexican drug dealers, so instead of the Colombians doing it all themselves, they hand off to the Mexicans who now assume the responsibility for the drugs and the money that has been sent up from Colombia.
- Q. Could the Mexican importer pay the invoices through a Mexican casa de cambio?
- 11 A. Yes, he can.
- 12 Q. Mexican casas de cambio are regulated, are they not?
- 13 A. Yes, they are.
- 14 | Q. They are regulated by the Mexican regulatory authorities?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. They have recordkeeping requirements?
- 17 A. If they decide to do it, yes.
- Q. If a properly law-abiding casa de cambio was in compliance with the regulations, it has recordkeeping obligations and has to maintain certain cash reserves, is that right?
- 21 A. If you are lucky to find one that does that, yes, you are 22 right.
  - Q. What would the importer do, physically do with his pesos to use a casa de cambio to pay, a compliant casa de cambio to pay the invoice that's due in the United States in American

DiGregorio - cross

1 | dollars?

A. He would bring his pesos, remember, a casa de cambio is also an exchange house, he would bring his pesos to a casa de cambio and request that the casa de cambio pay his debt. So the business would receive a wire transfer in the form of dollars in the United States. He would receive that payment. It would be no different, if it's a legitimate casa de cambio, they would have, which is becoming harder and harder to find, corresponding bank accounts here in the United States.

So people in the theory of the scope, people who want to send money to Mexico would go to a casa de cambio and give them dollars to be paid in pesos in Mexico. People in Mexico wanting to pay a debt in the United States would do just the opposite. They would bring in pesos and request payment in dollars here in the United States. No different if we sent \$50 to our son or daughter in California. The dollar is never true. In the casa de cambio, the dollars don't go over the border. The dollars are deposited here, the pesos there.

Again, they have corresponding bank accounts, and many of our major banks nowadays have thrown the casas de cambio out and will not allow them to maintain an account here because they are so corrupt. So many of the casas are now becoming their own internal banks which allows them to open up a bank account here. Once again, pesos in U.S. bank account to pay the debt in dollars.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

## DiGregorio - cross

- Q. What do you mean when you say they are becoming their own internal banks?
  - A. The banks here in the United States are allowing them to maintain bank accounts as a bank simply because banks have much more control, the governments have much more laws and there is more control over a bank than there is over a foreign casa de cambio.
    - Q. If an American exporter received payment from a compliant casa de cambio in Mexico, you would not maintain that that was a money laundering scheme, would you?
  - A. Casa de cambio --
  - Q. A compliant casa de cambio in Mexico?
- 13 A. A compliant in the sense of complying with the laws is what
  14 you are asking?
- 15 | O. Yes.
- 16 A. Yes, but the problem with casas de cambio --
- Q. I didn't ask you what the problem was; you already told us.
  You have answered question. Could the importer in Mexico also
  pay an invoice from the American exporter by using a casa de
  cambio in United States on the border, an American regulated
- 21 | casa de cambio?
- 22 A. Yes, he can.
- 23 | Q. How would that transaction work?
- A. He would bring his pesos over the border. I am assuming that's what you are asking me, correct?

1.7

## DiGregorio - cross

- 1 | Q. I am asking you --
- A. You are asking me how would he pay. What currency is he holding?
  - Q. He is holding pesos; he is a Mexican importer.
  - A. So he would carry his pesos over the border, go to an exchange house where he probably would pay a heck of lot more than by not doing it in Mexico, OK, but the point being, he would bring his pesos over, paying his pesos, and ask that the casa de cambio pay his debt.
  - Q. If that's what occurred, that would not be part of any money laundering scheme?
  - A. It most certainly could be part of money laundering. A money laundering scheme is not necessarily the movement of the money, it's the source of the money. There is a difference. If those pesos were legitimately earned, as I explained with the coffee grower, and those are the pesos that he is delivering to the casa, yes, it would be in my opinion a legitimate transaction.

THE COURT: Let's hold it right there. Members of the jury, I will tell you what is or is not legal, what is or is not legal money laundering or illegal money laundering, not the witness and not the lawyers. So you will disregard that assessment of the law.

- BY MR. WHITE:
  - Q. If a Mexican importer sells product to his customers paid

II 19E4DAT4

DiGregorio - cross

| 1  | in pesos and delivers those pesos across the border to an     |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2  | American casa de cambio and exchanges it for currency, United |
| 3  | States dollars, he could pay his invoice that way?            |
| 4  | A. He could pay his invoice that way, that's correct.         |
| 5  | Q. Couldn't he also deposit the pesos in Mexico into the      |
| 6  | Mexican account of the American casa de cambio and have the   |
| 7  | American casa de cambio wire the funds to the American        |
| 8  | exporter?                                                     |
| 9  | A. Yes.                                                       |
| 10 | THE COURT: Do you have much more, Mr. White?                  |
| 11 | MR. WHITE: No.                                                |
| 12 | THE COURT: Give me an idea.                                   |
| 13 | MR. WHITE: Ten minutes.                                       |
| 14 | THE COURT: Let's break for lunch here.                        |
| 15 | 2:00 folks.                                                   |
| 16 | (Lunch recess)                                                |
| 17 | (Continued on next page)                                      |
| 18 |                                                               |
| 19 |                                                               |
| 20 |                                                               |
| 21 |                                                               |
| 22 |                                                               |
| 23 |                                                               |
| 24 |                                                               |
|    | 16                                                            |

DiGregorio - cross

1

2 3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### AFTERNOON SESSION

(2:15 p.m.)

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Welcome back everybody. The defendant and jurors all are present. I apologize to you for the late start here after I remonstrated with you yesterday morning. I wish I could tell you this is the only case I am responsible for, but there are another 300 or something, and something had to be tended to in one of the others. Let's continue.

FRANK DIGREGORIO, resumed.

CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. WHITE:

Detective DiGregorio, before lunch we were reviewing the different ways a Mexican importer could pay an invoice for goods received from an American exporter and we talked about through his bank account, his established bank account. He can also do it through a pesos broker that you described in terms of the black market peso exchange.

THE COURT: Mr. White, you will get a chance to sum up later; just ask a question.

BY MR. WHITE:

- The last thing we were talking about is he can do it through a casa de cambio located in the United States. Could you describe how that process would work?
- A casa de cambio is nothing more than an exchange house.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DiGregorio - cross

- He can go in there with his pesos and ask them to exchange them for dollars, pay the fee, whatever the casa de cambio is charging, and pay his bill, and the casa de cambio in turn would pay his bill.
- Q. How would the casa de cambio pay his bill; would they pay it by check to the exporter by wire transfer?
- A. It's what he wants. Certain items are more money than others. It's the way he wants his bill paid.
  - Q. Who is he?
- A. The importer, the importer that is paying the bill, that is requesting the service.
- Q. He could request of the casa de cambio to pay it by wire, by check, pay it by cash, United States dollars?
- 14 A. Correct.
  - Q. Where would the United States dollars, the currency come from that the casa de cambio, the American casa de cambio had on account to pay that?
  - A. It could have come from Mexico by somebody carrying it over the border and cashing it in at the casa de cambio, it could have come from a casa de cambio's bank account; numerous place it could have come from.
  - Q. If the casa de cambio paid the invoice by check to the exporter, that would be a check drawn on the casa de cambio's own bank account?
  - A. That's correct.

DiGregorio - cross

- Q. Would the exchange rate of the pesos for the United States dollars be the same between the importer's bank pesos broker, Mexican casa de cambio and American casa de cambio, would it be the same exchange rate of pesos to United States dollars?

  A. There is a lot of things that come into play on the exchange rate.
- Q. Would it be the same; would they each have the same exchange rate?
- A. It could be or it might not be.
- Q. What would some of the factors be that regulated -- it's a question of supply and demand, is it not?
- A. Yes, it is, but it's also a question of cost, what it would cost you to buy a certain denom -- if a casa de cambio has cash on hand that it has gotten from a courier who had brought it to him, he doesn't have to buy it. If he doesn't have cash on hand, he has to buy it from his bank, so in that case there is a cost associated with buying those dollars to bring them back to his business. All of this has to take effect so it depends on the scenario.
- Q. So the exchange range fluctuates; they can fluctuate day to day and according to surrounding circumstances?
- A. And the individual casa charges its own rate. It doesn't mean that every casa de cambio charges the exact same rate.
- Q. Some can charge more than others, some less than others?
- 25 A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

DiGregorio - cross

- 1 | Q. Have you been to Laredo, Texas?
  - A. Not Laredo, no, Texas but not Laredo.
  - Q. Are you familiar with the cross-border trade along the United States-Mexican border?

THE COURT: I think that's sufficiently vague that we might try something more specific. It's like asking are you knowledgeable about the American economy.

### BY MR. WHITE:

Q. Are you aware of the degree of commerce between cities on the Mexican-American border and their twin cities across the Mexican-American border?

THE COURT: Sustained as to form.

#### BY MR. WHITE:

Q. Do you know if Laredo, Texas, do you know if it has a thriving economy, a poor economy, whether they conduct much commerce with Mexico; do you have any knowledge of that?

THE COURT: Sustained as to form; this is under the heading of compared to what.

### BY MR. WHITE:

- Q. Compared to Colombia; Colombia doesn't have a common border with the United States, correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
  - Q. There is no cross-border trade between the United States and Colombia obviously, right?
  - A. Correct.

```
19e4dat4a
```

# DiGregorio - cross

That's not the same as between Mexico and the southwest 1 United States to your knowledge, correct? 2 Not the same as Colombia? 3 Yes. 4 Q. Yes, that's correct. 5 Α. Are you aware of the number and concentration of casas de 6 Q. cambio along the border between the United States and Mexico? 7 Absolutely not, no. 8 Α. Nor would you be of the Mexican casas de cambio? 9 10 Α. Absolutely not, no. MR. WHITE: I have nothing further. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. Any redirect? 12 MR. BRAGG: No, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Witness excused. Thank you. 14 (Witness excused) 15 THE COURT: Next witness. 16 MR. SKINNER: The government calls Hilario 17 Martinez-Garcia. 18 (Through interpreter) 19 HILARIO MARTINEZ-GARCIA, 20 called as a witness by the Government, 21 22 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 24 BY MR. SKINNER:

Where are you from Mr. Martinez?

25

- 1 | A. I am from Mexico.
- 2 | Q. Where in Mexico are you from?
- 3 A. Nuevo Laredo Tamaulipas.
- 4 | Q. Where is that?
- 5 A. It's located on the border with Laredo, Texas.
- 6 | Q. How old are you?
- 7 | A. 61 years old.
- 8 | Q. What level of education did you reach?
- 9 | A. 12 to primary school.
- 10 | Q. How old were you when you left school?
- 11 | A. 12 years old.
- 12 | Q. After you left school what did you start doing?
- 13 | A. I started working.
- 14 | O. In the 1970s and 1980s what industries did you work in?
- 15 | A. In an import/export office.
- 16 | Q. What was the name of that office?
- 17 | A. Agencia Advanal Omar Santos.
- 18 | Q. Where was that import/export agency located?
- 19 | A. In Nuevo Laredo Tamaulipas.
- 20 | Q. That's a city in Mexico?
- 21 A. In Mexico.
- 22 Q. What did that company import and export?
- 23 | A. Transformers for the electrical industry, video planners,
- 24 | and laminate for windshields in cars.
- 25 | Q. Did your work change at all in the 1990s?

|     | 19e | 4dat4a Martinez-Garcia - direct                       |
|-----|-----|-------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | Α.  | That's correct, yes, sir.                             |
| 2   | Q.  | How so?                                               |
| 3 . | A.  | I opened up my own company.                           |
| 4   | Q.  | What did your company do?                             |
| 5   | A.  | General transportation of cargo.                      |
| 6   | Q.  | How did you transport cargo?                          |
| 7   | A.  | In trucks or in trailers.                             |
| 8   | Q.  | Where did you transport cargo from?                   |
| 9   | A.  | From the United States to different cities in Mexico. |
| 10  | Q.  | When did you open up that transportation business?    |
| 11  | A.  | In 1990.                                              |
| 12  |     | (Continued on next page)                              |
| 13  |     |                                                       |
| 14  |     |                                                       |
| 15  |     |                                                       |
| 16  |     |                                                       |
| 17  |     |                                                       |
| 18  |     |                                                       |
| 19  |     |                                                       |
| 20  |     |                                                       |
| 21  |     |                                                       |
| 22  |     |                                                       |
| 23  |     |                                                       |
| 24  |     |                                                       |

Martinez-Garcia - direct

1 | 19eddat5

- 2 | Q. Did there come a time when you closed that business?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- $4 \parallel Q$ . When was that?
- 5 | A. Around 1996.
- 6 | Q. And why did you close the business in 1996?
- 7 | A. Because the peso lost its worth, the value of the peso went
- 8 down. I had no more clients because the clients themselves
- 9 | shut down their businesses.
- 10 | Q. After closing the company in in or about 1996, what did you
- 11 | do for work?
- 12 | A. As a mechanic, as a painter, doing everything.
- 13 | Q. Let me direct your attention to the year 2007. What were
- 14 | you doing for work in 2007?
- 15 | A. I was selling insurance for cars, car insurance, out on the
- 16 | street.
- 17 | Q. When you say "the street," where were you? What city were
- 18 you working in?
- 19 | A. In Nueva Laredo.
- 20 | Q. Again, that's in Mexico?
- 21 A. In Mexico.
- 22 | Q. Were you doing this for yourself or were you working for
- 23 || someone else?
- 24 | A. I was working for someone else.
- 25 || Q. Who were you working for?

- 1 A. For Mr. Fausto Garza.
- 2 | Q. When did you first start working for Mr. Fausto Garza?
- 3 | A. In 2008.
- 4 | Q. And for how long did you work for Mr. Garza?
- 5 A. For about four years.
- 6 | Q. So did you start in -- I'm not sure I understood. In 2007
- 7 | or 2008 did you start working for Mr. Garza?
- 8 | A. Sir, in 2007.
- 9 | Q. When did you stop working for Mr. Garza?
- 10 | A. In January of 2011.
- 11 | Q. And why did you stop working for Mr. Garza in January 2011?
- 12 | A. Because I was arrested in Laredo, Texas while crossing the
- 13 border.
- 14 | Q. Do you know the date you were arrested?
- 15 A. January 18, 2011.
- 16 Q. Have you been in jail ever since your arrest on
- 17 January 18th of 2011?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 | Q. We'll get to the details in a moment, but in general terms,
- 20 | for what were you arrested?
- 21 A. For money laundering.
- 22 | Q. What were you doing at the time of your arrest?
- 23 A. I was transporting \$50,000 in cash.
- Q. And where were you bringing the money from?
- 25 A. From the office in Nueva Laredo, Mexico.

2

3

5

- 1 | Q. Was that Fausto Garza's office?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Where did you intend to bring the money to?
- 4 A. To Laredo, Texas.
  - Q. Was this in United States currency, this \$50,000?
- 6 | A. Yes, sir.
- 7 | Q. Now, have you carried United States currency over the
- 8 | border in connection with your work for Mr. Garza before that?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10  $\parallel$  Q. At the time of your arrest in January 2011, for roughly how
- 11 long have you been carrying money over the border for Fausto
- 12 || Garcia?
- 13 A. About a year-and-a-half, two years.
- 14 Q. Now, following your arrest on January 18th of 2011, were
- 15 you charged with a crime?
- 16 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 17 Q. What crime were you charged with?
- 18 A. Money laundering.
- 19 Q. After your arrest, did there come a time when you were
- 20 transported to a jail in New York City?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 | Q. When was that?
- 23 A. On February 22nd, 2011.
- Q. After your arrest in January, did there come a time when
- 25 | you decided to cooperate with the government?

4

9

- 1 | A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you make that decision to cooperate with the government before or after you came to New York City?
  - A. After coming to the City of New York.
- Q. After deciding to cooperate, did there come a time when you signed a contract, known as a cooperation agreement, with the government?
- 8 A. That's correct.
  - Q. When did you sign this cooperation agreement?
- 10 | A. July 8th of 2011.
- Q. Now, prior to signing this cooperation agreement on

  July 8th of 2011, did you meet with prosecutors and agents who

  worked for the federal government of the United States?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- Q. More or less, how many times did you meet with prosecutors and agents from the time you came to New York until the time you signed the agreement in July of 2011?
- 18 A. About four or five times.
- Q. All right. Now, in general terms, what did you tell the prosecutors and agents about in these meetings prior to signing the agreement?
- A. Well, the kind of work that I did, about the dollars that I crossed over into Laredo, Texas, and about my other associates.
- Q. By type of work that you did, did you tell the government about the money laundering crimes that you had been engaged in?

- 1 | A. That's correct.
- 2 | Q. When you say you provided information about other people,
- 3 | did you provide information about other people with whom you
- 4 | had committed these crimes?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 | Q. The cooperation agreement that you signed on July 8, 2011,
- 7 | did it require you to plead guilty to a crime?
- 8 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 9 Q. To what crime did the agreement require you to plead
- 10 guilty?
- 11 A. To money laundering.
- 12 | Q. Did you plead guilty to a money laundering crime?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. When did you plead guilty to money laundering?
- 15 | A. July 8th of 2011.
- 16 | Q. The same date that you signed the cooperation agreement?
- 17 | A. That's correct.
- 18 | Q. Mr. Martinez, what's the highest possible sentence that you
- 19 | face -- well, withdrawn.
- 20 Mr. Martinez, have you been sentenced yet for the
- 21 money laundering crime you pled guilty to?
- 22 A. No, sir.
- 23 Q. When are you going to be sentenced?
- 24 A. In the month of November but I don't have a date yet.
- 25 | Q. Who is going to sentence you?

Martinez-Garcia - direct

- A judge, Judge Victor Marrero. 1
- And what's the highest possible sentence you face as a 2 result of having pled guilty to money laundering? 3
- 20 years. 4 Α.

8

12

14

15

16

24

25

- You said a moment ago that the cooperation agreement 5 required you to plead guilty, correct? 6
- That's correct. 7 Α.
  - Does that agreement require you to do anything else? Q.
- 9 That's correct.
- What else are you required to do under the terms of that 10 agreement? 11
- That I tell only the truth, that I commit no further crimes, and that I continue cooperating with the government. 13
  - And within the scope of continued cooperation with the government, would that include testifying at a trial such as this?
- That's correct. 17
- So are you testifying here today because of the cooperation 18 agreement that you entered into? 19
- That's correct. 20 Α.
- Now, if you satisfy your obligations under the cooperation 21 agreement, what is your understanding of what the government is 22 going to do? 23
  - Well, the government is going to send a letter to the judge explaining my cooperation and my life, and they're going to

- 1 | tell the judge all the good and all the bad.
- $2 \parallel Q$ . And as far as all the bad, would that include telling the
- 3 | judge what the government knows about the past crimes that
- 4 | you've committed?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And by the good, would that include whatever assistance you
- 7 | had provided to the government?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. What, if anything, do you expect to happen if the
- 10 | government writes this letter to the judge who is going to
- 11 | sentence you?
- 12 | A. Well, that my sentence will be taken under consideration by
- 13 | the judge.
- 14 Q. Do you hope that you might receive a lower sentence than
- 15 | you might receive if the government doesn't send a letter?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 | Q. Do you know for sure whether the government is going to
- 18 | send this letter?
- 19 | A. No, sir.
- 20 | Q. Even if the government sends a letter, do you know for
- 21 | certain whether Judge Marrero will reduce your sentence?
- 22 | A. No, sir.
- 23 | Q. Has anyone from the government made any promises to you
- 24 | about what your sentence will be?
- 25 A. No, sir, no one.

Martinez-Garcia - direct

- Has your attorney made you any promises about what your 1 2 sentence will be?
  - No, sir. Α.

3

5

11

- Anyone at all made you any promises about what sentence you 4 will receive?
- No, sir. 6 Α.
- Who is going to decide your sentence? 7
- His Honor, the judge. 8 Α.
- Is it possible that even if the government sends this 9 letter that you described, you still may get up 'til 20 years 10 in prison?
- That's correct. 12 Α.
- Does your sentence in any way turn on how this case against 13
- Mr. Datta turns out? 14
- No, sir. 15 Α.
- Now, you testified that it is your understanding that if 16 you satisfy your end of the bargain under the agreement the 17 government will send this letter, correct? 18
- I did not hear the question. Excuse me. 19
- Did you testify a moment ago that it is your understanding 20 that if you satisfied your end of the agreement, the 21
- cooperation agreement, the government is going to send a letter 22 to Judge Marrero? 23
- That's correct. Α. 24
  - What is your understanding about what will happen if you do

- 1 | not live up to your obligations under the agreement?
- 2 | A. I will get no -- I will receive no benefit.
- Q. So would it be fair to say that you'll be sentenced without
- 4 | the benefit of the letter from the government?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 | Q. And it would be the same as if you had never cooperated for
- 7 | the government, if you had just pled guilty to the crime?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. One of the things the agreement requires you to do is to
- 10 | tell the truth, is that right?
- 11 | A. That's correct.
- 12 | Q. So what happens if you lie?
- 13 A. The contract is ripped up.
- 14  $\parallel$  Q. Since signing this cooperation agreement on July 8th of
- 15 this year, have you met further times with prosecutors and
- 16 agents working for the government?
- 17 | A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. About how many times have you met with prosecutors and
- agents since signing the agreement on July 8th of 2011?
- 20 | A. About three or four times.
- 21 | Q. Now, you testified earlier that at the time of your arrest
- 22 | in January, you were working for a man named Fausto Garza,
- 23 | correct?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 | Q. How long have you known Fausto Garza?

2

- 1 A. About 20/25 years, give or take.
  - Q. How did you first meet him?
- A. Well, I worked in Agencia Advanal, the import/export agency
- 4 | that belonged to his father-in-law.
- Q. Was that the agency you told us about earlier named Omar 6 Santos?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 | Q. Was Omar Santos Mr. Garza's father-in-law?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. All right. Now, you testified a little earlier that you
  11 started working for Mr. Garza in or about 2007, is that right?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- Q. When you first started working for Mr. Garza, what were you doing?
- 15 A. I was selling car insurance out on the street.
- Q. Right. Did there come a time when you started doing something different for Mr. Garza?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19  $\parallel$  Q. When was this?
- 20 | A. In 2008 -- in 2008.
- 21 | Q. And what were you doing?
- 22 | A. I was crossing American currency in cash over the border 23 | into Laredo, Texas.
- Q. Did Mr. Garza have some kind of business involved with the transportation of cash over the border?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 | Q. What kind of business was this?
- 3 | A. He had a casa de cambio.
- 4 | Q. Where was this casa de cambio located?
- 5 A. In Nueva Laredo, Mexico.
- 6 | Q. And a casa de cambio, is that what we in the United States
- 7 | might call a money exchange business?
- 8 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 9 | Q. Did anyone else work at this money exchange business?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 | Q. Who else worked there?
- 12 | A. Jesus Garza-Rodriguez, Jose Trinidad de la Rosa, and
- 13 | Hilario Martinez, myself.
- 14 | O. Did Mr. Fausto Garza also work there?
- 15 | A. Well, yes. He's the owner.
- 16 | Q. Were there four of you in total?
- 17 | A. That's correct.
- 18 | O. What did Jose Trinidad do at the business?
- 19  $\parallel$  A. He was the accountant.
- 20 | Q. And what about Jesus Garza?
- 21 | A. He also transported money over the border.
- 22 | Q. And your job was to transport money over the border, is
- 23 | that correct?
- 24 A. That's correct.
  - 5  $\parallel$  Q. When you first started carrying money over the border in

Martinez-Garcia - direct

- 1 2008, about how much would you carry at a time?
- 2 | A. 2, 3, \$4,000.
- Q. And what would you do with the money once you crossed?
- 4 A. I would go and deliver it at perfume stores.
  - Q. And where were these perfume stores located?
- 6 A. In Laredo, Texas.
- Q. And the money that you carried over, was that always in cash or was it ever in some other form?
- 9 A. No, it was always in cash.
- Q. Was it always in United States currency or was it sometimes
- 11 | in other currencies?
- 12 | A. No, always in dollars.
- 13 | Q. Now, why were you delivering United States dollars in cash
- 14 to perfume stores on the U.S. side of the border for Fausto
- 15 || Garza?

- 16 A. Well, we paid for invoices for Fausto's clients who had
- 17 purchased perfumes.
- 18 | Q. And who were Fausto's clients?
- 19 A. The people who purchased perfume in Laredo, Texas.
- 20 Q. Where were these people located?
- 21 A. In different places in Mexico.
- 22 | Q. And did they own perfume businesses?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 | Q. Now, why was Mr. Garza paying the invoices for these people
- 25 at the perfume stores in Laredo, Texas?

#### Martinez-Garcia - direct

- 1 | A. Well, Fausto Garza would sell dollars to his clients.
- 2 | Q. That would be the perfume store owners, is that right?
  - A. That's correct.
- 4 | Q. And what, if anything, would Mr. Garza receive in exchange
- 5 | from the perfume store owners?
- 6 | A. He received back the money in Mexican currency.
- 7 | Q. And those are pesos?
- 8 A. In pesos.
- 9 Q. So Mr. Garza would receive pesos and then you would deliver
- 10 | dollars in cash to the perfume stores in Texas, is that
- 11 | correct?

- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 | Q. All right. After giving the money to the perfume stores in
- 14 | Laredo, Texas, did you take the perfume from them?
- 15 | A. No, sir.
- 16 Q. What would happen to the perfume after you paid the
- 17 | invoices with U.S. dollars?
- 18 A. I don't know. We don't know. We never saw what happened.
- 19 Q. Do you know the names of any of Fausto Garza's customers
- 20 | that he sold dollars to?
- 21 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 22 | Q. What are some of his customers' names?
- 23 | A. The ones that I remember are Jose Luis Contreras, Jose
- 24 | Antonio Franco, Jose Luis Gonzalez, Gerardo Gonzalez, and
- 25 others whose names I do not remember.

Martinez-Garcia - direct

- Q. All right. Now, did there come a time when you started carrying larger amounts of United States currency over the border from Mexico?
- 4 A. That's correct.
  - Q. And when was that, more or less?
  - A. Mid-2009.

5

6

8

17

18

19

25

7 | Q. So June or July of 2009?

money over the border.

- A. June of 2009.
- 9 Q. Now, prior to June of 2009, did you have a conversation
  10 with Fausto Garza about moving larger sums of money over the
  11 border?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 | Q. And what did Mr. Garza tell you in this conversation?
- 14 A. Well, he said that we were going to get busy, that we would
  15 have more customers, more volume, more crossing of money, more
  16 purchases, and that we would be crossing larger quantities of
  - Q. OK. What, if anything, did he tell you about where he was going to get U.S. dollars?
- 20 A. Well, he told me that he was going to get dollars at a very cheap price, that the rate was going to be very low, and that these would be narco dollars.
- Q. Did he use the term "narco dollars"?
- 24 | A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. And what is a narco dollar?

- 1 | A. Well, I believe that it's proceeds from the sale of drugs.
- Q. What, if anything, did you say to Mr. Garza after he told you that he wanted to get narco dollars that he wanted you to
- 4 | carry over the border?
- 5 A. I said to him that it was very dangerous, and I said to him
- aren't you scared since you've already been in jail for that
- 7 | same crime.
- Q. Had Mr. Garza, to your knowledge, been in jail for a
- 9 similar crime before that, before June of 2009?
- 10 | A. That's correct.
- 11  $\parallel$  Q. And what was he in jail for, to the best of your knowledge?
- 12 A. For money laundering.
- 13 | Q. And where was he in jail for money laundering?
- 14 A. In a jail in the United States.
- Q. So when you said to Mr. Garza aren't you worried because
- 16 | you got in trouble for this before, what, if anything, did he
- 17 | say in response to you?
- 18 A. He said to me, Look, don't be afraid. This is Mexico. If
- 19 | you get arrested, I'll get you out of jail, and if the money is
- 20 seized, I'll pay to get it back.
- 21 | Q. Now, you've been in jail ever since January 11th of --
- 22 | January 18th of 2011, correct?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 | Q. To the best of your knowledge, had Mr. Garza done anything
- 25 | to try and get you out?

#### Martinez-Garcia - direct

- 1 | A. No.
- 2 | Q. Have you gotten any money from Mr. Garza?
- 3 | A. No.
- 4 | Q. When you had this conversation with Mr. Garza prior to June
- of 2009, in addition to asking you to carry the money over, did
- 6 | he ask you to do anything else for him?
- 7 A. Exactly, yes.
  - Q. What else did he ask you to do?
- 9 A. He said that I had to renew or activate my business
- 10 | permission.

- 11 | Q. All right. Who was this business permission from?
- 12 A. From Mexico, from the Treasury Department.
- 13 Q. So prior to June of 2009, did you have some type of
- 14 | business permission from the Mexican Treasury Department?
- 15 | A. That's correct.
- 16 | Q. When did you first get it?
- 17 | A. In 1990.
- 18 Q. And why did you get it in 1990?
- 19 A. That's when I began my business of trailers and trucking.
- 20 Q. And did you say a moment ago that Mr. Garza had asked you
- 21 | to renew it?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- Q. So did there come a time when it was suspended or lapsed in
- 24 | some way?
- 25 A. Yes. It was suspended; it was not activated.

Martinez-Garcia - direct

- 1 | Q. All right. And when did it become inactive?
- 2 | A. In 1998.
- Q. All right. So this would have been after you closed your transportation business?
- 5 | A. That's correct.
- Q. Did Mr. Garza say anything about why he wanted you to reactivate your permission from the Mexican government?
- A. Yes. It's the only way to be able to open up checking
  accounts in banks in Laredo, Texas -- in Nueva Laredo, Mexico.
  - Q. So it was needed to open bank accounts in Mexico?
- 11 | A. That's correct.

10

- Q. Did you need it for anything in connection with carrying larger sums of money over the border?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 | Q. Why did you need it to carry money over the border?
- 16 A. Well, it was important to register at the customs in Laredo, Texas.
- 18 Q. Was it required by customs in order to transport large sums
  19 of money over the border?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- Q. Was there any reason why Mr. Garza couldn't get this permission from the Mexican Treasury himself?
- A. Yes, there is a reason, because he can't have a business in Mexico.
  - Q. Why not?

2

3

- 1 A. Because he's an American citizen.
  - Q. And where are you a citizen of?
    - A. I'm in Mexico.
- 4 | Q. So did Mr. Garza during this conversation prior to June of
- 5 | 2009, did he offer you anything in exchange for renewing this
- 6 permission and for agreeing to carry larger sums of money over
- 7 | the border?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 | Q. What did he offer you?
- 10  $\parallel$  A. That he offered to pay me \$400 a week.
- 11 | Q. Now, you had been working for him prior to that, correct?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 | Q. And you'd already been carrying smaller sums of money over
- 14 | the border for him, correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. So prior to this conversation with Mr. Garza, how much had
- 17 | he been paying you a week?
- 18 | A. \$150 a week.
- 19 Q. Now, after you had this conversation with Mr. Garza, did
- 20 | you in fact renew this permission from the Mexican Treasury
- 21 | that you've described to us?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. And how did you do that?
- 24 A. I went. I presented myself. I showed up at the Treasury
- 25 || Department to reactivate my permission.

3

5

6

15

Martinez-Garcia - direct

- And after you reactivated your permission, did you in fact 1 start carrying larger sums of United States currency over the 2 border for Mr. Garza?
- That's correct. 4 Α.
  - Did you do this right up until the time of your arrest in January of 2011?
- That's correct. 7 Α.
- Was it always United States currency that you carried over 8
- the border? 9
- Yes, sir. 10 Α.

sums?

- How often did you bring money over the border? 11
- 12 Five days a week. Α.
- This was -- you were doing this five days a All right. 13 week after June of 2009, once you started carrying the larger 14
- That's correct. 16
- And when you say "larger sums," how much would you 17 typically carry over the border? 18
- It was -- it varied -- 30,000, 40,000, \$60,000. 19 Α.
- What's the most you ever carried over at any one time? 20 Q.
- \$250,000. 21 Α.
- What would you do with the United States dollars once got 22 23 over the border?
- Well, I declared them at customs in Laredo, Texas. 24 Α.
- And after declaring it, where did you bring the money? 25

- 1 A. We delivered them to perfume stores.
- Q. So were you basically doing the same thing you were doing
- 3 | before but now just with larger sums of money?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Paying the invoices at the perfume stores for Fausto
- 6 Garza's Mexican perfume clients, is that right?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. All right. Now, when you started transporting larger sums
- 9 of money over the border, typically when you did it, where
- 10 | would you first receive the money?
- 11 A. In Nueva Laredo.
- 12 | Q. And where in Nueva Laredo?
- 13 A. In Mr. Fausto Garza's office.
- 14 Q. Did you ever get it from a bank?
- 15 | A. No, sir.
- 16 | Q. And Mr. Fausto Garza's office, was this in a commercial
- 17 | building?
- 18 A. No. It was a private house.
- 19 Q. Were there any signs indicating that there was a money
- 20 exchange business going on in the house?
- 21 | A. No, sir.
- 22 | Q. How far from the United States/Mexico border was the house
- 23 || where Fausto Garza conducted his business?
- 24 A. More or less, 20 blocks.
- 25  $\parallel$  Q. Now, when you transported the money over the boarder, after

Martinez-Garcia - direct

- you received the money at Fausto Garza's house, how would you get from the house to the border crossing?
- A. Sometimes I was taken in a vehicle and sometimes I drove the vehicle myself.
  - Q. But you usually drove from the house to the border?
  - A. That's correct, sir.
- 7 | Q. Now, how did you carry the money?
- 8 A. In my socks and in the pockets of my pants and my jacket.
- 9 Q. You would carry ten, sometimes hundreds of thousands of 10 dollars at a time. You could fit all of this money in your
- 11 | clothing?

5

- 12 A. That's correct.
- Q. Why were you carrying all the money in your socks and hidden in your clothes?
- 15 A. For safety reasons.
- Q. All right. Did you take any other precautions other than hiding the money in your clothes?
- 18 | A. No, sir.
- 19 Q. Did you ever carry a weapon?
- 20 | A. No, sir.
- Q. What about, were you ever accompanied to the border by anybody else who carried a weapon?
- 23 | A. No, sir.
- Q. So you would just transport the cash from the house to the border and the security precaution was to hide the money in

- 1 | your clothes?
- 2 A. Yes. I took it to the border, yes.
- 3 | Q. Now, you testified a moment ago that when you got to the
- 4 border, you would meet with border crossing officials, is that
- 5 || correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 | Q. And when you say you met with border crossing officials,
- 8 | were these officials from the Mexican government or from the
- 9 U.S. government?
- 10 | A. From Laredo, Texas, United States.
- 11 | Q. Now, what would happen when you met with these U.S.
- 12 | officials when you were carrying all of this cash over the
- 13 | border?
- 14 A. Well, I would arrive and show them my visa, my statement of
- 15  $\parallel$  the amount of dollars, and then they would send me over to the
- office so that the money could be counted.
- 17 | Q. All right. So you told them that you were carrying the
- 18 money over, is that right?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 | Q. Did you ever lie to them about how much money you were
- 21 | carrying over?
- 22 | A. No, sir.
- 23 Q. Did you ever cross the border and conceal the money, not
- 24 | tell them about it?
- 25 | A. No, sir.

3

9

10

20

25

- Q. Now, when you told them about the money, was there any paperwork that you needed to complete?
  - A. Yes. It's a statement of foreign currency.
- Q. All right. What kind of information were you required to include on this statement of foreign currency?
- A. Where I put my name on it, my address, the city that I was coming from, and the business where I was going to bring the dollars.
  - Q. Would you have to put on the form how much money you were carrying over?
- 11 | A. That's correct.
- Q. Would you have to indicate on the form how much money you were bringing to a business on the U.S. side of the border?
- 14 | A. That's correct.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. After you completed the form, did you receive a copy of it?
- 16 A. No. They don't give you a copy.
- Q. Now, did the U.S. border crossing officials, when you were crossing the border with these large sums of money, did they ever ask you what kind of business you worked in?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
- 21 Q. And what did you tell them?
- 22  $\parallel$  A. That I had a business that purchased perfumes.
- 23  $\parallel$  Q. So you told them you were in the perfume business yourself?
- 24 A. That's correct.
  - Q. Did you ever tell them that you worked in fact for a money

- 1 | exchange business?
- 2 | A. No, sir.
- Q. All right. Now, you didn't actually work for a perfume
- 4 | business, did you?
- 5 A. No, I didn't.
- Q. So why did you falsely claim to these border crossing
- 7 | officials that you worked in a perfume business?
- A. Because that's what Fausto told me to do. Those were the instructions I received.
- Q. Now, you testified that once you got across the border, you
- 11 | would typically deliver money to perfume stores; is that right?
- 12 A. That's right.
- 13 | Q. What were the names of the perfume stores that you
- 14 delivered cash to?
- 15 A. Well, Broadway Perfumes, TM Perfumes, LTO Perfumes, Bombay,
- 16 | Broadway Perfumes, and the warehouse of La Versailles.
- Q. Now, how did you know how much money to bring to which
- 18 || store?
- 19 A. Because the accountant gave me receipts that told me what
- 20 amounts of money at which store.
- 21 | Q. So you had an actual slip of paper with the name of the
- 22 store and the amount of money to go to that store?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 | Q. Just remind us, who is the accountant?
- 25 A. Jose Trinidad de la Rosa.

3

4

8

- Q. So after you crossed the border, declared the money, would you deliver all the cash yourself?
  - A. Sometimes I delivered the money myself personally and sometimes all four of us did it.
- Q. And by the "four of us," do you mean yourself, Mr. Garza,
- 6 Mr. Jesus Garza, and Mr. Jose Trinidad de la Rosa?
- 7 A. That's correct.
  - Q. Would the other three also carry money over the border?
- 9 A. No, they did not. Only I did.
- 10 | Q. Why were you the only one who carried the money over the 11 | border?
- 12 A. Because I was the one who was authorized to do it. I had
  13 the permit.
- 14 | Q. And that was the permit from where?
- 15 A. From the Department of Treasury in Mexico.
- Q. So if you crossed and you got some help from the other three, would they just cross at the same time with you?
- 18 A. No. But they would cross over maybe 2 or \$3,000, that's 19 all.
- 20 Q. What would you do? Would you meet them on the other side?
- 21 | A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. And then divide up the money that you had carried over?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 || Q. Now, a moment ago --
- THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Skinner. We are going to

```
Martinez-Garcia - direct
      19e4dat4a
      break here for about ten.
1
               MR. SKINNER: OK, your Honor.
2
               THE CLERK: All rise.
.3
                (Recess)
4
                (Continued on next page)
5
 6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

## Martinez-Garcia - direct

1 | (Jury present)

THE COURT: The record will reflect that the jurors and the defendant all are present.

Please continue, Mr. Skinner.

MR. SKINNER: Thank you, your Honor.

#### BY MR. SKINNER:

- Q. Mr. Martinez, before we took a break you testified you typically delivered cash to a handful of different stores in Laredo, Texas, correct?
- 10 | A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. Was there any one perfume store you delivered money to more
- 12 | than others?
- 13 | A. That's correct.
- 14 | O. Which was that?
- 15 | A. The warehouse of La Versailles.
- 16 | Q. When you delivered to La Versailles where would you go?
- 17 A. To the warehouse.
- 18 | Q. Where was the warehouse located?
- 19 A. In Laredo, Texas.
- 20 | Q. Do you know the address?
- 21 | A. 1401 Lincoln.
- 22 Q. How far from the border was the warehouse located?
- 23 | A. More or less four blocks.
- 24  $\parallel$  Q. When you got to the warehouse what would you do with the
- 25 | money?

3

4

- 1 | A. I would deliver the money and the receipts.
- 2 | Q. Was there anyone that you typically delivered the money to?
  - A. Well no one person in particular; there were three ladies there.
- 5 | O. Do you know the names of those three women?
- 6 A. Mrs. Cynthia Garcia, Mrs. Yolanda, and Mrs. Nina.
- 7 | Q. Were you ever introduced to Cynthia, Yolanda and Nina?
- 8 A. Fausto did.
- 9 Q. Mr. Fausto Garza introduced you to these three ladies?
- 10 A. Yes; it's when I began working and he introduced them to me
  11 there.
- 12 | Q. What name did he use when he introduced you for you?
- 13 A. Fausto Garza.
- Q. Did he introduce you as Fausto Garza or did he introduce
- 15 you as someone else?
- 16  $\parallel$  A. Here is Mr. Hilario Martinez is the one who is going to
- 17 | keep bringing the money here instead of me.
- 18 | Q. When you delivered the money would Cynthia and Yolanda and
- 19 | Nina use your name Hilario?
- 20 | A. Hilario, yes.
- 21 | Q. When you handed the money to one of these women, what would
- 22 usually happen?
- 23 A. They would count it and they would sign the receipt for me.
- Q. When you say the receipt what receipt are you referring to?
- 25 A. The one or ones which the accountant gave me.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Martinez-Garcia - direct

- 1 | Q. Was there any receipt that they gave you?
  - A. Exactly; they made up a different receipt.
    - Q. Would you have to sign that receipt?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. You would sign the receipt that they gave to you and they would sign the receipt that you had received from the accountant, is that right?
  - A. That's correct.

MR. SKINNER: At this point I would like to read a stipulation. Government Exhibits 209 and 210 were seized by law enforcement agents during a search of a warehouse operated by La Versailles Perfumes Inc. The warehouse was located at 1401 Lincoln Street, Laredo, Texas. The seizure occurred on January 18, 2011. It is stipulated and agreed that this stipulation, Government Exhibits 209 and 210 may be received in evidence at trial. The government offers this stipulation which is marked Government Exhibit 812 as well as Government Exhibits 209 and 210.

THE COURT: Received, all three.

(Government Exhibits 209, 210, 812 received in evidence)

MR. SKINNER: Can I get Government Exhibit 209 on the screen for the witness.

BY MR. SKINNER:

Q. Mr. Martinez, can you see on the screen in front of you,

```
19E4DAT6
```

Martinez-Garcia - direct

- 1 | the book that I have got on the machine back here?
- 2 A. That's correct.
  - Q. Do you recognize this book?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.

3

5

8

10

11

- Q. What how do you recognize it?
- A. That's the book that contained the receipts that I had to sign.
  - Q. Where do you recall seeing this book?
- 9 A. In the warehouse's office.
  - MR. SKINNER: Has this been published to the jury, your Honor?
- 12 | THE COURT: It has.
- MR. SKINNER: I am going to turn to one page in this book.
- 15 BY MR. SKINNER:
- Q. Do you see, I will draw a circle around it, the receipt I have just drawn a circle around?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- Q. Just for the record, this is a receipt at the top of the page, the page doesn't have a page number; the receipt says number 112605 in the upper right-hand corner, is that correct?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. Do you recognize this receipt?
- 24 | A. Yes, sir.

25

Q. How do you recognize it?

## Martinez-Garcia - direct

- 1 A. It's the handwriting of the accountant.
- Q. This was the receipt that the accountant would give you
- 3 when you carried the money over?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- Q. Do you see where I have just drawn a little dot at the top
- 7 THE INTERPRETER: I am sorry?
- 8 | Q. Do you see where I just drew a dot up at the top?
- 9 | A. Yes.

there?

- 10 | Q. What does that say right there?
- 11 | A. What dot?
- 12 | Q. Let me try again. Do you see in red?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- Q. What does that say to the left of the red dot?
- 15 | A. It says December 3, 2010.
- 16 | Q. Right below that can you read that?
- 17 A. Jose Luis Contreras.
- 18 Q. Do you know who Jose Luis Contreras is?
- 19 A. That's Fausto's client.
- Q. Making another little red dot further down, do you see that
- 21 | one?
- 22 | A. Yes, sir.
- 23 | Q. What does that one say or next to that what does that say?
- 24 A. La Versailles, \$67,917.
- 25 | Q. That's the number that's to the right where it says La

- 1 | Versailles?
- 2 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. So this receipt was given to you by the accountant?
- 4 | A. That's correct.
- 5 | Q. This is how you would know how much money to deliver to La
- 6 | Versailles on the date indicated on the receipt?
- 7 | A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. What would you do with this receipt when you got to La
- 9 | Versailles and visited either Ms. Cynthia, Ms. Yolanda or
- 10 | Ms. Nina?
- 11 | A. I would hand them the money and they would count it.
- 12 | Q. Would you also give them a receipt?
- 13  $\parallel$  A. I would give them the receipt, they would sign off on it
- 14 | and I would sign their receipt.
- 15  $\parallel$  Q. Would you keep a copy of the receipt?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 | Q. This where I have just circled in red where they would
- 18 | sign?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 | Q. If we look underneath, do you recognize this what looks to
- be another receipt but it's in yellow; do you recognize that?
- 22 | A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. Do you see the signature that I just circled?
- 24 A. That's my signature, sir.
- 25 Q. How would this process work -- withdrawn.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

#### Martinez-Garcia - direct

What if anything would the women to whom you delivered the money ask you to do with regard to this type of receipt?

- They would just ask me to sign it.
- Would they give you a copy of it or would you take the original?
- I would take their original and I would leave them with my original.
  - What would you do with the original that you took? Q.
  - I would give it to the accountant.
- Let me turn to another page in Government Exhibit 209. 10
- This receipt number 112615 that I have just drawn a box around, 11
- is that another one of the receipts from your office? 12
- Yes, sir. 13 Α.
- Is there any indication on here about for whom the money 14 was being delivered? 15
- To La Versailles. 16 Α.
- From whom? 17 Q.
- Mr. Jose Luis Contreras. Α. 18
- 19 In what amount? Q.
- \$80,000. 20 Α.

22

- If we look underneath, draw your attention down to the 21 bottom receipt marked 485712 that I am drawing a box around
- now; do you recognize your signature anywhere on that receipt? 23
- That's my signature; that's a receipt that I signed. 24 Α.
  - Is your signature in the lower right where I just drew the

Martinez-Garcia - direct

1 | circle?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Is this another one of the receipts from La Versailles that
- 4 | you would sign when you dropped off the money?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. Just looking at one more, drawing your attention to the
- 7 receipt marked 112638 that I have drawn another box around, do
- 8 | you recognize that?
- 9 | A. Yes, sir.
- 10 | Q. Does this appear to be another receipt from the accountant
- 11 at the business that you worked for?
- 12 | A. That's correct.
- 13 | Q. Where I have just drawn a little red dot, what's the name
- 14 | next to that?
- 15 | A. Jose Luis Contreras.
- 16 Q. That's one of Mr. Garza's customers, correct?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- 18 | Q. What's the amount on this receipt?
- 19 | A. \$100,000.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. Look at the yellow receipt I have drawn another box around;
- 21 | is this another one of the receipts that you would sign for La
- 22 | Versailles?
- 23 A. It's correct. That's correct.
- Q. This was the one marked 48575, the last number is a little
- 25 | hard to make out; did I read the first 5 numbers correctly?

- 1 | A. That's correct.
- 2 | Q. Is it your signature in the lower right where I just
- 3 | circled?
- 4 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I am going to put Government Exhibit 210 on the viewer. Do
- 6 | you recognize this book?
- 7 | A. Yes, sir.
- 8 | Q. Where have you seen this book before?
- 9 A. With the women at la bodega.
- 10 | Q. Turn to this yellow receipt I drew a rectangle around; do
- 11 | you see that?
- 12 | A. Yes, sir.
- 13 | Q. This is receipt 127809?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 | Q. This is your signature on the lower right-hand corner where
- 16 | I just circled?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Am I correct this is another receipt that you signed
- 19 | following the delivery of cash to La Versailles?
- 20 | A. Yes, sir.
- 21 | Q. Mr. Martinez, when you dropped off money to La Versailles,
- 22 | did you ever use any other names other than your own?
- 23 | A. No, sir.
- 24 | Q. Did you ever present identification indicating that you
- 25 were someone else?

## Martinez-Garcia - direct

- 1 | A. No, sir.
- 2 | Q. Did you ever identify yourself as Jose Luis Contreras?
- 3 | A. No, sir.

- 4 | Q. From June 2009 until the time of your arrest in January of
- 5 | this year, was the money exchange business owned by Fausto
- 6 | Garza registered with any kind of Mexican government agency?
- 7 | A. Well, the business was in my name.
  - Q. Who was the business registered with?
- 9 A. In whose name, no.
- 10 | Q. With whom was it registered?
- 11 A. With the Department of Treasury in Mexico.
- 12 Q. But it was registered in the Department of Treasury in the
- 13 | name Hilario Martinez-Garcia?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 | Q. Did you own the money change business?
- 16 | A. Yes, sir.
- 17 | O. You owned it or did someone else own it?
- 18 A. Well, it was in my name but the owner was Fausto Garza.
- 19 | Q. You were an employee there?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 | Q. Why wasn't the business registered in the name of the owner
- 22 | Fausto Garza?
- 23 | A. Because he didn't have authorization and he was not allowed
- 24 | to have a business.
- 25 | Q. Mr. Martinez, when you were arrested you were carrying

- 1 United States currency, is that correct?
- 2 A. In cash, that's correct.
- 3 | Q. How much were you carrying?
- 4 | A. \$50,000.
- 5 | Q. What happened to that money?
- 6 A. It was seized.
- 7 | Q. Let me turn your attention to the United States currency
- 8 | Mr. Fausto Garza started purchasing after June 2009. Were you
- 9 ever around the business when the money was delivered?
- 10 | A. Several times I counted the money.
- 11 | Q. Did you see the money when it first came in?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. How was the money packaged when it first arrived at
- 14 Mr. Garza's business?
- 15 | A. It was tied with rubberbands.
- 16 Q. Did it ever come in paper from a bank indicating the amount
- 17 | that was in the package?
- 18 | A. No, sir.
- 19 | Q. Was it ever shrink-wrapped in plastic?
- 20 | A. No, sir.
- 21 | Q. Did the money appear to you to be new or old?
- 22 | A. Old money.
- 23 | Q. Do you know where the money came from?
- 24 A. From different cities in Mexico.
- 25 | Q. What other cities in Mexico did the money come from?

5

8

9

10

- A. It came from Monterey, from San Luis Potosi, Mexico City, and Ciudad Valles San Luis Portosi.
- Q. How far away are these cities in Mexico from Nuevo Laredo,
  Mexico?
  - A. 3, 6, and 12 hours.
- Q. Do you know how the money got from these cities in Mexico to Mr. Garza's business in Nuevo Laredo?
  - A. It came by car or by bus.
  - Q. Who brought it?
  - A. People that were sent by the gentleman.
- 11 | Q. Did you ever talk to any of these people?
- 12 A. Well, I would say hello to them but they never answered;
  13 they just didn't talk.
- 14 | Q. How would they carry the money?
- 15 A. In their socks and in their pockets in their pants.
- Q. Was the fact that the money was transported from these cities 2 hours away by men via car and bus, was this
- 18 significant to you in any way?
- A. Well, it was something suspicious because right now in

  Mexico there is a lot of carjacking, truckjacking, kidnappings.
- Q. Did any of the people who delivered money to Fausto to your knowledge ever have any problem with carjacking or kidnapping
- 23 or anything like that?
- 24 A. No, they would come without any problem.
- Q. Did the fact that they were able to come without any

1 | problem

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

problem indicate anything to you?

MR. ROSS: Objection to this line of questioning, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sustained.

#### BY MR. SKINNER:

- Q. Mr. Martinez, you testified that Fausto Garza told you back in June 2009, that he was going to be buying cheap narco dollars, is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- 10 | Q. What do you mean by cheap?

THE COURT: He didn't use the term, it's what the other man meant by cheap and he wouldn't know so let's move on. BY MR. SKINNER:

- Q. Do you from working at Mr. Garza's business have any idea of the exchange rate that Mr. Garza was able to purchase these dollars at?
- A. Well, we would check every day the official rate and we came to the conclusion that for more pesos they would give you more dollars.
- Q. Did you have any idea of the number of pesos that Mr. Garza was sending out and how many dollars he was getting back in?
- 22 | A. That's correct.
- Q. From this information, what if anything could you figure
  out about the exchange rate that Mr. Garza was paying for those
  U.S. dollars?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

# Martinez-Garcia - direct

- 1 | A. He was paying a very low rate, a very low rate.
  - Q. Was it a rate that was lower than the official exchange rate that you checked every morning?
  - A. That's correct.

MR. SKINNER: One moment, your Honor.

No further questions.

THE COURT: Thank you. Cross-examination.

## CROSS EXAMINATION

## BY MR. WHITE:

- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Martinez.
- 11 A. Good afternoon, sir.
- 12 | Q. You were arrested on January 18, 2011, correct?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 | Q. What were you doing when you were arrested?
- 15 | A. I was transporting \$50,000 in cash.
- 16 | Q. Where were you arrested?
  - A. At the border in Laredo, Texas.
- Q. Was that part of the border along the international bridge crossing from Nuevo Laredo into Laredo?
- 20 A. That's correct, sir.
- Q. How many bridges are there that cross from Nuevo Laredo
- 22 | into Laredo?
- 23  $\parallel$  A. If you count the railroad bridge, there are five.
- Q. Is the name of the bridge you were arrested on called the international bridge?

## Martinez-Garcia - cross

- 1  $\parallel$  A. We call it bridge number 1.
- 2  $\parallel$  Q. Does bridge number 1 have pedestrian traffic on it as well
- 3 || as automobile traffic?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- Q. Where on that bridge or at what point were you arrested?
- 6 | A. At the point when it's Laredo, Texas.
- 7 | Q. Had you gone through the American border station there
- 8 | before you were arrested?
- 9 A. Yes, sir; it was precisely at the point where I was
- 10 | presenting my documents.
- 11 | Q. You had \$50,000 on you?
- 12 | A. Yes, sir.
- 13 | Q. You also had on you the receipt that your accountant had
- 14 given you to get signed?
- 15 | A. That's correct.
- Q. The receipt that you had with you, did it have any writing
- 17 || on it?
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- 19 | Q. Who wrote on it?
- 20 A. The accountant.
- 21 | Q. What did he write on it?
- 22 | A. It said Jose Luis Contreras, \$50,000, for La Versailles.
- 23 | Q. Did you fill out some form at that point?
- 24 A. The form had already been filled out.
- 25 | Q. Who filled it out?

#### Martinez-Garcia - cross

- 1 A. We, I mean I filled it out at the office.
- Q. I am talking about the form you submitted to the border people at the border.
- A. Yes, you submit the form together with the money so they
  can count it.
  - Q. You wrote out that form and submitted it?
- 7 | A. Yes, sir.

6

- Q. Did you put on that form that the money, the \$50,000 was going to La Versailles?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- Q. Were you allowed to then pass through before you were arrested or were you arrested right then?
- 13 A. Right then and there, sir.
- 14 | Q. Who arrested you?
- 15 A. Federal agents.
- 16 Q. How many federal agents?
- 17 A. Well, there were about three or four of them.
- 18 Q. Did they question you?
- 19 A. Yes, I was questioned, yes.
- Q. Where were you; where were you standing when you were questioned?
- 22 A. With the customs inspector.
- Q. Were you in an office or outside an office or where?
- 24 A. Inside an office.
  - Q. Do you know agent Richard Reinhardt sitting here at the

19E4DAT6

- 1 | table in the middle; do you know him?
- 2 | A. Excuse me?
- 3 | Q. Do you know agent Reinhardt, do you recognize him, do you
- 4 know him?
- 5 | A. In the middle?
- 6 | Q. Yes.
- 7 | A. Yes, sir.
- 8  $\mid\mid$  Q. Was he one of the agents who arrested you and questioned
- 9 || you?
- 10 | A. Yes, sir.
- 11 | Q. Do you know another agent named Jose Correa?
- 12 | A. No, sir.
- 13 | Q. In addition to agent Reinhardt, there were other agents who
- 14 | were questioning you?
- 15 | A. Yes, sir.
- 16 | Q. Did you tell them the truth?
- 17 A. Exactly.
- 18 | Q. When they first questioned you there at the border you told
- 19 | them the truth?
- 20 | A. I told them that I was going to pay an invoice for
- 21 | perfumes.
- 22 | Q. You told them that you yourself were in the perfume
- 23 | business, didn't you?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- 25 | Q. Was that true or false?

#### 19E4DAT6

- 1 | A. It was false, sir.
- 2 | Q. They asked you questions about different perfumes and you
- 3 || couldn't answer it, correct?
- 4 | A. That's correct.
- 5 | Q. You told them that you stored perfume at an address in
- 6 | Mexico, didn't you?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Was that true?
- 9 | A. No, sir.
- 10 | Q. You told them the money you were carrying today was going
- 11 | to La Versailles, correct?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 | Q. Was that true?
- 14 | A. Yes, sir.
- 15 | Q. The agents then gave you your rights; they advised you of
- 16 your rights, do you remember that?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. You agreed to speak with them?
- 19 | A. Yes, sir.
- 20 | Q. And now you admitted that you really weren't in the perfume
- 21 | business, correct?
- 22 A. Exactly.
- 23 | Q. You told them you bring money across the bridge to
- different businesses, to businesses in Laredo?
- 25 A. That's correct.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

21

25

- You told them that you don't carry any money for anyone else than Jose Luis Contreras; you told them that, correct?
- I said for several of Fausto's clients. Α.
- On the first day, on the first day when you were arrested, 4 did you tell these agents that you do not carry cash for anyone 5 else other than Jose Luis Contreras; did you tell them that?
  - No, I said that the money belonged to Jose Luis Contreras.
    - You did not say that you don't carry money for anyone else but Jose Luis Contreras, is that right?
- No, sir. 10 Α.
- You were placed under arrest, is that correct? 11
- That's correct. 12 Α.
- And you were brought to New York City on February 22, 2011? 13
- That's correct. 14 Α.
- After you arrived in New York City, were you assigned a 15 lawyer to represent you? 16
- That's correct. 17 Α.
- Did you make a decision after you were assigned a lawyer 18 and after you arrived in New York that you wanted to become a 19 cooperating witness? 20
  - That's correct. Α.
- After you made that decision did you meet with the 22 government a number of times? 23
- That's correct. Α. 2.4
  - Were you given a cooperation agreement immediately?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. The contract that you signed, the cooperation agreement, wasn't signed until July 8, 2011, is that correct?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- Q. What was happening between February 22, 2011 and July 8,
- 6 2011, between the time you decided to cooperate and the time
- 7 | the government gave you a cooperation agreement; what was
- 8 | happening during that period?
- 9 A. Well, I was talking to my attorney and I told him that I
  10 had to think about it and then I decided to do it on July 8.
- Q. Did you meet with the government between February 22, 2011 and July 8, 2011?
- 13 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You had a number of meetings where they asked you questions and you gave them answers, correct?
- 16 | A. That's correct.
- Q. The reason you were doing this is that you were hoping that the government would offer you a cooperation agreement after you provided the information to them, correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. And you understood, did you not, in those meetings that it was important to tell the government the truth or you wouldn't get a cooperation agreement; is that correct?
- A. Well, my attorney from the very beginning told me that I had to tell the truth.

19E4DAT6

3

6

- Q. And you knew that you wouldn't get a cooperation agreement unless you told the truth to the government, correct?
  - A. Excuse me, sir, I did not understand your question.
- Q. You knew that it was important to tell them the truth in these meetings so you would get the cooperation agreement?
  - A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. You met with the government in March 2011, do you recall 8 that?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 | Q. You met again with them in April 2011, correct?
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You would meet with agents and you would meet with prosecutors, correct?
- 14 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. At a meeting in April, 2011, one of the subjects, am I

  correct, one of the subjects was did you know if this money was

  from narcotics trafficking; wasn't that one of the subjects at

  a meeting in April?
- 19 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You wanted to give them a truthful answer to that question, correct?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you tell them that you never had a conversation with anyone about where the money came from but you suspected it was drug money; did you tell them that?

|    | , 19E4DAT6 Martinez-Garcia - cross                   |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1  | A. I don't remember, sir.                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2  | MR. WHITE: Your Honor, I would like to refresh the   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3  | witness's memory with a passage from his report.     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | THE COURT: We have an issue there.                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | Do you read English, sir?                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | THE WITNESS: No, sir.                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | THE COURT: Members of the jury, we are going to send |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | you home now. See you at 9:30 in the morning.        |  |  |  |  |  |
| .9 | Everybody else, stay where you are.                  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | (Jury leaves courtroom)                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | (Continued on next page)                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |                                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |                                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |                                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |                                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |                                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |                                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |                                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |                                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |                                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |                                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |                                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |                                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |                                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |                                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
|    |                                                      |  |  |  |  |  |

19E4DAT6

Martinez-Garcia - cross

Put your exhibit on the viewer. 1 2 Now what is it? MR. WHITE: This is Government Exhibit 3506F at page 3 If I could ask the --4 I just ask you wait a minute so I can THE COURT: 5 catch up with you. 6 (Pause) 7 I am now caught up with you. THE COURT: 8 If I could ask the interpreter to read to 9 MR. WHITE: the witness the first three lines on that page, so starting 10 with Martinez and ending with drug money. 11 THE INTERPRETER: I am trying to see it. 12 THE COURT: I will hand you my copy. 13 I have it, your Honor. THE INTERPRETER: 14 Should I read. 15 THE COURT: Translate the two full sentences right at 16 the start of the page to the witness. 17 (Pause) 18 THE COURT: Do you have a question, Mr. White? 19 BY MR. WHITE: 20 Having heard that sentence read to you, Mr. Martinez, does 21 it refresh your recollection that you told the prosecutor and 22 the agents that most of the money brought over went to La 23 Versailles, but you never had a conversation with anyone where 24

the money came from?

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## Martinez-Garcia - cross

THE COURT: Compound; break it up and focus only on the point we are talking about. Don't confuse the issue. MR. WHITE: I am with you, judge. BY MR. WHITE: Does it refresh your recollection, Mr. Martinez, that you told the government in April in a meeting with them that you

never had a conversation with anyone where the money came from but suspected it was drug money? Does that refresh your recollection that's what you told them?

Yes, correct, sir.

Correct meaning it refreshes your recollection that that's what you said?

What sentence?

THE COURT: Mr. Martinez, having had the interpreter translate for you what she just read to you, do you now remember telling the government last April that you never had a conversation with anyone about where the money came from?

MR. SKINNER: Your Honor.

THE COURT: Answer the question.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Did you then tell the government last April that you never had a conversation with anyone about where the money came from?

THE WITNESS: Well, I said that with Fausto, that Fausto Garza was the one who told me.

# Martinez-Garcia

| 1  | THE COURT: And you're saying that you said that to           |  |  |  |  |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | the government last April; is that what you're telling us?   |  |  |  |  |
| 3  | THE WITNESS: I don't remember, sir.                          |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | THE COURT: All right. I think we'll dispense with            |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | the witness for the moment for the day and then we'll talk   |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | about what to do about this. OK?                             |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | All right. We'll see you tomorrow morning,                   |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | Mr. Martinez.                                                |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | (Witness excused)                                            |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, may we go?                      |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | THE COURT: You may go.                                       |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | THE INTERPRETER: Good night.                                 |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | (Interpreters not present)                                   |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | THE COURT: OK. I guess my thought although                   |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | obviously I'll hear counsel is that the portion of this that |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | consisted of everything other than reading the text of the   |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | memorandum to the witness you can just read to the jury      |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | tomorrow, and we'll tell them that he so testified outside   |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | their presence.                                              |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | Does anybody have a better idea?                             |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | MR. WHITE: These two sentences you are referring to,         |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | your Honor?                                                  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | THE COURT: Well, he didn't utter the two sentences.          |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | I'm saying the 3500 material, the 302 or whatever it is, DEA |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | form, that's not in evidence so you can't read that in       |  |  |  |  |

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

evidence. So so much of what occurred here, as the translator reading those sentences to him, or you reading the sentences to him and then being translated -- I frankly don't remember if both happened -- that part doesn't get read back to the jury, but the rest of it gets read back, the part where the sense of which was, you know, having had translated for you X, is your memory refreshed, what did you tell the government then, did you tell the government X then, the questions along those lines, that's what I was thinking.

MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, I have one concern, when your Honor asked the defendant about the phrase in the sentence, that you inserted an important word there that is not in the report. You inserted had he ever had a conversation with anyone "about" where the money came from, whereas the report says had he ever had a conversation with anyone where the money came from. I know it may sound overly lawyerly, but from having been there, I can say that it is actually significant, because we were asking Mr. Martinez over and over again who gave Fausto the money, where did it come from, because we can't wanted to find drug dealers and we kept asking him that, and he kept saying I don't know the people. And, frankly, we didn't ask the right question until later, which was did Fausto ever tell you anything about where the money was coming from, and it was at that point that he said, yeah, he told me he was buying cheap narco dollars.

THE COURT: Look, obviously, if I interpolated a word that ought not to have been interpolated, that's regrettable. On the other hand, it's imminently fixable because the question can be put to him again in the presence of the jury without the interpolated word. So I think that's the way to handle that.

MR. SKINNER: I don't disagree, your Honor. I mean, I have some concerns that -- I don't have a better suggestion off the top of my head, but I am concerned that trying to refresh this particular witness' recollection with a report, well, let's just say it's difficult because he is not the most sophisticated witness, and I'm frankly not confident that he is even understanding the questions at this point that are being put to him about refreshing recollection and what's going on here. But I don't have a better suggestion.

THE COURT: You take your witnesses as you find them.

MR. SKINNER: Look, this is what you do. This is how
you refresh a witness' recollection.

I think ordinarily if he spoke English, he would be given the report. He would be said, read the report, does this help you remember. So I don't think what the report says should be read to the jury. I think it should be translated to him and he should be asked does that help you remember.

THE COURT: I don't think that anybody has suggested that the report be read to the jury.

MR. SKINNER: All right. Then I don't have a -- then

I think we should do what we would do with any other witness, but since he doesn't speak English, it be translated for him and we'll see what happens.

THE COURT: Of course. But he gave substantive testimony here in the last five minutes after the two sentences of the report were translated to him which I think the jury is entitled to hear.

I take it that would be your position, Mr. White, right? Or not? I mean, if you both agree it shouldn't be read to the jury, that's fine, and you can question him tomorrow.

MR. WHITE: I'm not sure what his answer meant. He was answering the question does it refresh your memory, and he really didn't answer that question; he answered a different question, you know, what --

THE COURT: So maybe he wasn't responsive.

So I propose this: Why don't you both make sure you get the transcript of the last ten minutes, or whatever it is, and see whether you agree on what, if anything, should be read to the jury and exactly how you want to proceed tomorrow. And if you can't, then, of course, I will decide. OK?

MR. SKINNER: Very well, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thanks. Good. Thank you.

What's coming next after this witness?

MR. SKINNER: After this witness, it is the government's intention to call Ankur Gupta, who is another one

of the cooperating witnesses. The next witness after that would be Jose Correa, one of the undercover officers, and, frankly, we're not sure of the next witness after that. We will be deciding that when we get downstairs.

THE COURT: OK. Thanks, folks.

MR. SKINNER: Thank you, your Honor.

MR. WHITE: Thank you, your Honor.

(Adjourned to 9:30 a.m., Thursday, September 15, 2011)

| 1        | INDEX OF EXAMINATION      |    |
|----------|---------------------------|----|
| 2        | Examination of: Page      |    |
| 3        | MARIO RECINOS             |    |
| 4        | Direct                    |    |
| 5        | Cross By Mr. Ross         |    |
| 6        | Redirect By Mr. Bragg     |    |
| 7        | FRANK DiGREGORIO          |    |
| 8        | Direct By Mr. Bragg       |    |
| 9        | Cross By Mr. White        |    |
| .0       | FRANK DIGREGORIO 201      |    |
| .1       | Cross By Mr. White 201    |    |
| .2       | HILARIO MARTINEZ-GARCIA   |    |
| .3       | Direct By Mr. Skinner 205 |    |
| .4       | Cross By Mr. White 247    |    |
| L5       | GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS       |    |
| L6       | Exhibit No. Receiv        | ed |
| L7       | 1001                      |    |
| L8       | 1002                      |    |
| L9       | 209, 210, 812             |    |
|          |                           |    |
| 20       |                           |    |
| 20       |                           |    |
|          |                           |    |
| 21       |                           |    |
| 21<br>22 |                           |    |

|    |                           | 107477                                                        | 21                              |  |  |
|----|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
|    |                           | 19F4DAT1                                                      |                                 |  |  |
| 1  |                           | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT<br>SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK |                                 |  |  |
| 2  |                           | X                                                             |                                 |  |  |
| 3  |                           | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,                                     | New York, N.Y.                  |  |  |
| 4  |                           | v.                                                            | (S1) 11 Cr. 102 (LAK)           |  |  |
| 5  |                           | VIKRAM DATTA,                                                 |                                 |  |  |
| 6  |                           | Defendant.                                                    |                                 |  |  |
| 7  |                           | x                                                             |                                 |  |  |
| 8  |                           |                                                               | Contembor 15 2011               |  |  |
| 9  |                           |                                                               | September 15, 2011<br>9:40 a.m. |  |  |
| 10 |                           | D - 5                                                         | • ,                             |  |  |
| 11 |                           | Before:                                                       | · 7. NT                         |  |  |
| 12 |                           | HON. LEWIS A. KAPI                                            |                                 |  |  |
| 13 |                           |                                                               | District Judge                  |  |  |
| 14 |                           | APPEARANCES                                                   |                                 |  |  |
| 15 |                           | PREET BHARARA                                                 |                                 |  |  |
| 16 |                           | United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York  |                                 |  |  |
| 17 |                           | BY: PETER M. SKINNER ALVIN L. BRAGG, JR.                      |                                 |  |  |
| 18 |                           | Assistant United States Attorneys                             | •                               |  |  |
| 19 |                           | ROBBINS, TUNKEY, ROSS, AMSEL, RABEN & WAXMAN PA               |                                 |  |  |
| 20 | -                         | Attorneys for Defendant<br>BY: ALAN S. ROSS                   |                                 |  |  |
| 21 |                           | WHITE & WHITE                                                 |                                 |  |  |
| 22 |                           | Attorneys for Defendant<br>BY: DIARMUID WHITE                 |                                 |  |  |
| 23 |                           | - also present -                                              |                                 |  |  |
| 24 |                           | Vanessa Quinones, Government paralegal                        |                                 |  |  |
| 25 | SA Richard Reinhardt, IRS |                                                               |                                 |  |  |
|    |                           |                                                               |                                 |  |  |

1 (Trial resumed; jury not present)

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, do you want to iron out what to do with the issue from the end of the day before we bring the jury in.

THE COURT: Yes, thank you. What's the proposal.

MR. SKINNER: As we discussed with defense counsel, the parties' proposal would be that the court simply instruct the jury that after they left yesterday, a report was translated to the defendant in an effort to refresh his recollection as to the content of an April 2011 conversation with the government, and it failed to refresh his recollection.

THE COURT: Agree, Mr. Ross?

MR. ROSS: Yes, your Honor.

MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, I believe I misspoke. I said defendant; I meant the witness.

(Jury enters courtroom)

(Continued on next page)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

22

24

THE COURT: Good morning, everybody. The record will reflect that the jurors and the defendant all are present.

Members of the jury, you remember there was a something going on in cross-examination when you left. After you departed, a report was translated for the witness, an English language report was translated into Spanish in an effort to refresh his recollection with respect to a conversation he said he had with the government in April 2001.

The parties have stipulated that this failed to refresh the witness' recollection. You must accept that that happened. You were not here. The parties agree it happened.

Continue with the cross-examination, Mr. White.

(Through interpreter)

HILARIO MARTINEZ-GARCIA, resumed.

15 CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. WHITE:

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Martinez.
- 18 A. Good morning.
- 19 Q. Were you born in Nuevo Laredo?
- 20 A. No, in Lampazo Nuevo Leon.
- 21 O. You later resided in Nuevo Laredo?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- 23 | Q. In total how many years have you lived in Nuevo Laredo?
  - A. Around 40 years, sir.
- 25 | Q. In those 40 years, you have crossed over the border to

Martinez - Garcia - cross

- 1 | Laredo many, many times I suppose?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. Too many to number, true?
- 4 A. Many times.
- 5 Q. Have you ever shopped in Laredo?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 | Q. When you shopped in Laredo would you use United States
- 8 dollars?
- 9 A. Sometimes dollars; sometimes Mexican pesos.
- 10  $\mathbb{Q}$ . When you used dollars where would you get the dollars?
- 11  $\blacksquare$ A. In the casa de cambio.
- 12 Q. What casa de cambio?
- 13  $\blacksquare$ A. Well there is like 20 of them right near the bridge in
- 14 Nuevo Laredo.
- 15 Q. In Nuevo Laredo?
- 16 A. In Nuevo Laredo.
- 17 Q. Are there any in Laredo?
- 18 A. In Laredo, Texas?
- 19 Q. Yes.
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 | Q. How many are in Laredo?
- 22 A. Around 20.
- 23 Q. Right near the bridge?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. But you yourself, you would get the dollars in Nuevo

Martinez - Garcia - cross

- 1 ||Laredo, not Laredo?
- 2 A. That's correct, sir.
- 3 | Q. Did all the casas de cambio have the same exchange rate?
- 4 MR. SKINNER: I object; I think we are getting a
- 5 little far beyond the scope of the direct testimony.
  - THE COURT: Sustained.
  - Q. You testified that Fausto, Fausto Garza operated a casa de cambio, correct?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Was it really a casa de cambio, a genuine casa de cambio?
- 11 THE COURT: I guess you would have to define that,
- 12 wouldn't you.

6

7

8

- MR. WHITE: I am going to ask him to define it.
- 14 THE COURT: The question is objectionable in its
- 15 present form.
- MR. SKINNER: We object.
- THE COURT: That's a pretty safe one, isn't it.
- 18 BY MR. WHITE:
- Q. The casa de cambio that you say Fausto Garza conducted, it
- 20 was in your name correct?
- 21 A. The commercial name is Divisas Garza but the registration
- is in my name.
- 23 Q. The registration, was that a registration to conduct a casa
- 24 de cambio?
- 25 A. It's similar to a casa de cambio.

```
Martinez - Garcia - cross
      19F4DAT1
     O. It's similar but different?
1
     A. It's different.
2
     Q. How is it different?
3
         It's a support for other business; it's a support for let's
4
     say selling foreign currency.
5
          It was not specifically to operate a casa de cambio?
6
      Α.
          No, sir.
7
               THE COURT: Come to the sidebar, counsel.
 8
               (Continued on next page)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Martinez - Garcia - cross

1 (At the sidebar)

THE COURT: What's going on here, Mr. White?

MR. WHITE: The government's expert testified there were pesos brokers and there were also casas de cambio that were regulated and compliant with regulations and had requirements and cash reserves and recordkeeping, you know, our thesis is that this was not a real casa de cambio, they pretended to be and acted and held themselves out to be a casa de cambio.

THE COURT: Assuming that to be true, so what?

MR. WHITE: That defendant's company when accepting payments from them believed them to be a casa de cambio when in fact they were not, they were a phony casa de cambio.

THE COURT: That's relevant how?

MR. WHITE: Because as the expert testified, payments coming from a bona fide casa de cambio would not necessarily involve narcotics traffics that went through the black market pesos exchange. These are people who operate outside the regulations of a casa de cambio.

THE COURT: Who are people who operate outside?

MR. WHITE: People like Fausto Garza, somebody who just takes money and holds himself out to be a casa de cambio, takes money say from drug dealers, holds himself out to be a casa de cambio, but it's totally unregulated, there is no oversight, then passes that money on to someone else holding

Martinez - Garcia - cross

himself out to be a casa de cambio.

THE COURT: My concern here is that you are taking testimony here that presupposes that this guy is a cross between the head of bank examination for the Republic of Mexico and Rodgin Cohen. The questions are really calling on him to speculate as to what the Mexican law is and all sorts of things. It seems to me he is not qualified to testify to any of it. The government has not objected, but I have a concern that the jury is going to be led astray on the basis of garbage in garbage out.

MR. WHITE: I think I presented our thesis and it's a valid one.

THE COURT: Yes, but the question of whether the evidence you are trying to present has any relevance bearing or any proper bearing on it is what I am concerned with.

MR. WHITE: I told you the relevance.

THE COURT: You are not getting the question. Let us suppose the question here were whether a plaintiff died of cancer or some other malady and whether if it was cancer it was caused by the defendant's pharmaceutical and you had this witness on the stand and you were asking him whether it was a real drug, whether it was really cancer. I am sure he would be happy to oblige you with whatever answer pops into his head, but it doesn't make him a cancer specialist, a toxicologist, or whatever.

Martinez - Garcia - cross

You talk about terms like a genuine casa de cambio.

My lay understanding of what casa de cambio is is a place where people change money. Maybe that's what he means. Maybe there is a type of business organization in Mexico that under Mexican law is a casa de cambio and that there are other places that also change money. Given my assumption, nobody knows what the question is it a genuine casa de cambio means, because it might mean a company organized under Mexican law to be a casa de cambio, it might mean someplace, whether registered or not, that changes money.

You could spin this out into an infinite number of variations, the result of which that, granting the theory you are trying to produce, which I am not ruling on, but granting all of that, gets you a record that is incoherent. That's my concern. This guy is not an expert on Mexican regulation. If you want to ask him whether this business, Garza's business filed reports, whether --

MR. WHITE: I was just going to do that.

THE COURT: You are welcome to do that, but let's get away from this notion of using terms that seem likely to be terms of art or even if not terms of art, terms capable of a lot of different meetings and loading up questions with those kinds of things and this guy answers and who knows what it is.

MR. WHITE: OK.

THE COURT: See my point?

Martinez - Garcia - cross

MR. WHITE: I see your point. We expect to have as a witness next week Fausto Garza himself who actually worked in a casa de cambio in Laredo and was much more experienced and a little more sophisticated than this witness. He may be a better witness to ask these questions.

THE COURT: I will deal with him when he comes.

MR. WHITE: I am giving you a heads-up.

THE COURT: I know; I appreciate it.

MR. WHITE: I do want to ask this witness one question before I go into some others about whether the certificate that he reactivated, what kind of business was he operating when he first --

THE COURT: All right, but you got to the question what certificate. People do business in New York under certificates all the time. You go down, you file a d/b/a certificate with the county clerk, subject to whatever the restrictions are on names, you can be the YXZ Company, now you have a certificate. Does that mean you are entitled to operate a commercial bank? No. There are a whole different set of requirements for that. Just to talk about a certificate or a registration, it tells us nothing. Maybe it's a certificate of incorporation he is talking about, maybe it's a d/b/a, maybe it's something that says he has a right to have a telephone.

MR. WHITE: He testified on direct about certificates, that he reactivated a certificate he had when he was in some

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Martinez - Garcia - cross

1 other business.

THE COURT: It could be as simple as a d/b/a, it could be some authority to do a trucking business; who knows.

MR. WHITE: I have the certificate.

THE COURT: It's not in the record. He didn't say what it was; he may not know what it is.

MR. WHITE: I can offer it in evidence right now through him.

THE COURT: If you think it's relevant and if there is no objection, if I overrule the objection, go ahead. To talk vaguely about a certificate, what does it mean. It doesn't mean anything.

MR. WHITE: I am trying to get this witness to acknowledge that this operation, they called it a casa de cambio but it wasn't registered, it wasn't licensed.

THE COURT: There is no evidence in the record that to operate a casa de cambio under Mexican law, you need a license.

MR. WHITE: Can I ask him that question, if he knows.

THE COURT: Sure. Why don't you ask him whether Obama's healthcare plan is constitutional.

MR. WHITE: If he knows.

THE COURT: If he thinks Obama's healthcare plan is constitutional does that make it competent evidence.

MR. WHITE: Judge Newman said analogy is just to show how things are different.

Martinez - Garcia - cross

THE COURT: There is no appellate judge I have more respect for than Judge Newman and he is right. You have to have a foundation of knowledge. I don't see an expert on Mexican law here. I am not sure I see an expert on getting money across the border here.

MR. WHITE: He lived in Nuevo Laredo for 41 years. He was acting as a casa de cambio. He is a competent witness to ask do you know whether a casa de cambio has to be licensed; that's a fair question.

MR. SKINNER: I don't think, I agree, I don't think, whatever his answer is, yes or no, I don't think it indicates whether or not a casa de cambio in fact needs to be licensed.

MR. WHITE: It would be some evidence of somebody who has lived in Nuevo Laredo and acted as a casa de cambio.

MR. SKINNER: He acted as a 150-a-week-carry-money-over-the-border-I-just-found-you-on-the-street-selling-insurance gofer.

THE COURT: No, Mr. White, no. If you want to get an expert on this all this, God bless, assuming it's relevant, really.

MR. WHITE: I object.

(Continued on next page)

Martinez - Garcia - cross

1 (In open court)

BY MR. WHITE:

2

3

4

5

9

- Q. Mr. Martinez, is the money exchange business that you worked with Fausto Garza at, did it keep records, did it keep records of the money it was receiving and who was being paid?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. Did you ever see any government official come and look at those records?
  - A. You mean the government in Laredo, Texas?
- 10 Q. In Nueyo Laredo, Mexico?
- 11 A. No, sir.
- 12 Q. What kind of building did this business operate out of it?
- 13 A. It was a private house.
- Q. Was the casas de cambio that you went to to exchange money
- when you went into Laredo, did they operate out of private
- 16 | houses?

17

25

MR. SKINNER: Objection?

18 THE COURT: Ground?

19 MR. SKINNER: Irrelevant.

20 THE COURT: Overruled.

- 21 A. Well, at that time when I bought dollars I wasn't working 22 with Fausto, if that's the question that you are asking me.
- Q. The question is when you did buy dollars at casas de cambio in Nuevo Laredo were those casas de cambio located in houses?
  - A. In offices in casas de cambio.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

22

23

24

25

Martinez - Garcia - cross

- 1 Q. They had offices not houses?
  - A. Close to the bridge, they were offices.

THE COURT: Could you for identification on the question please, Mr. Martinez. The question you are being asked is whether any of the offices that these other casas de cambio had were located in homes, houses.

THE WITNESS: Exactly, yes, sir.

THE COURT: I see. Was the casa de cambio that Mr. Garza was running in his house; did he have an office in his house where he did that business?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: OK. Let's move on.

BY MR. WHITE:

- Q. Was that Mr. Garza's house; was it his house, did he live there?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- 17 Q. The house was just used for that business, correct?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. The offices that you mentioned before on the border casas
  de cambio, those were not in houses were they; they were office
  buildings, offices?

THE COURT: Which of those several questions,

Mr. White, would you like to have answered? You are welcome to
all of them, but one at a time.

Q. The other casas de cambio where you during your life

- 1 | exchanged pesos for dollars, those were in offices not houses,
- 2 true?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 Q. You testified yesterday that sometime in the middle of
- 5 2009, Fausto Garza started receiving a lot more U.S. dollars;
- 6 do you recall that?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. You yourself would help count that money when it came in;
- 9 | is that true?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11  $\|Q$ . You testified that the money would be in all denominations?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. It would often be wrapped in rubberbands?
- 14 A. The whole time.
- 15 Q. You would take this money and you would count it out?
- 16 A. The four of us.
- 17 Q. When you did it, what did you do with that money after you
- 18 | counted it; what did you do?
- 19 A. We would give it to Fausto.
- 20 Q. Did you break the money down into different denominations?
- 21 A. The 100s, the 20s, the 10s, separated and wrapped in
- 22 rubberbands.
- 23 Q. You would give it to Fausto?
- 24 A. To both the accountant and Fausto.
- Q. When you delivered this money to perfume businesses in

```
19F4DAT1
```

Martinez - Garcia - cross

- 1 Laredo, was it in \$100 bills?
  - A. Yes, sir, \$100 bills.
- 3 | Q. When you went to La Versailles Fragrances with the \$100
- 4 bills, you gave them to the ladies there you said?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. Did you tell them that you believed that this was narco
- 7 dollars?

2

- 8 A. No, sir.
- 9 Q. Why not?
- 10 A. No, we never said that; they had no reason to know that.
- 11 Q. You didn't want them to know that, did you?
- 12 A. No. Those were instructions from Fausto; deliver the money
- 13 and that's it.
- 14 | Q. If they asked you if it was narco dollars, what would you
- 15 have said?
- 16 MR. SKINNER: Objection.
- 17 | THE COURT: Sustained.
- Q. One more question about the day you were arrested crossing
- 19 the border.
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. You had with you that day the accountant's receipt, the
- 22 | receipt you got from the accountant to get signed when you
- 23 | delivered the money, correct?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. When you got arrest arrested the \$50,000 got taken from

```
19F4DAT1
```

Martinez - Garcia - cross

- 1 you, correct?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- Q. Did that receipt get taken from you too?
- 4 A. I never found out what happened to the receipt.
- 5 Q. Was it taken from you?
- 6 A. Well, it was inside the bills.
- 7 Q. The receipt was with the bills?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. It was all taken when you were arrested?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 MR. WHITE: Nothing further, your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: Thank you. Any redirect?
- 13 MR. SKINNER: Briefly, your Honor.
- 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR. SKINNER:
- 16 Q. You testified a moment ago that when you delivered money to
- 17 the women at La Versailles you delivered \$100 bills to them; do
- 18 you remember that?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. Did you also deliver \$50 bills to them?
- 21 A. Rarely.
- 22 Q. Did you also deliver \$20 bills to them on occasion?
- 23 A. Rarely.
- MR. SKINNER: No further questions.
- THE COURT: Thank you anything. Else, Mr. White?

```
Martinez-Garcia - redirect
      19F4DAT1
                          No, your Honor.
               MR. WHITE:
1
               THE COURT: Thank you. The witness is excused.
2
               (Witness excused)
3
               MR. BRAGG: The government calls Ankur Gupta, your
4
5
      Honor.
       ANKUR GUPTA,
6
           called as a witness by the Government,
7
           having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
8
      DIRECT EXAMINATION
9
      BY MR. BRAGG:
10
          How old are you?
11
      Q.
      Α.
          30.
12
         Where were you born?
      Q.
13
         New York.
14
      A.
          Where did you grow up?
15
          Edison, New Jersey.
      Α.
16
          What is your educational background?
17
          I went to high school at JP Stevens, Edison, New Jersey,
18
      graduated in 1999; I went to NYU, graduated in 2003.
19
          Did you have a major concentration at NYU?
20
      Q.
21
      Α.
          Yes.
22
      Q.
          What was it?
          In finance.
23
      Α.
          What type of degree did you receive from NYU?
24
      Q.
```

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Bachelor's.

25

Α.

# Ankur Gupta - direct

- 1 Q. Where do you work now?
- 2 A. Nandansons.
- 3 | Q. What does Nandansons do?
- 4 A. We are in the import/export and wholesale of branded
- 5 fragrances.
- 7 A. Brand name perfumes.
- 8 | Q. Who owns Nandansons?
- 9 A. Our family.
- 10 Q. When you say our family who are you referring to?
- 11 A. My mother and my father, myself and my two brothers.
- 12 Q. Does Nandansons operate a wholesale business?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Does it operate a retail business?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Did it at one point raid retail business?
- 17 **||**A. Yes.
- 18 O. What's your current role in Nandansons?
- 19  $\blacksquare$ A. I am in charge of buying and selling, trading.
- 20 Q. When you say buying and selling, trading, what are you
- 21 | referring to?
- 22 A. I deal with customers and vendors in the purchase and sale
- 23 of fragrances.
- 24 Q. How long have you had that role?
- 25 About ten years.

Ankur Gupta - direct

- 1 Q. How long have you worked at Nandansons overall?
- 2 A. At least 13 years.
- Q. 13 years ago you would have been 17; what type of role did
- 4 | you have at that time?
- 5 A. At that time we had a resale store in Manhattan so I would
- 6 go during summer breaks or weekends and be working in my
- 7 family's store.
- 8 Q. Is the store still located in Manhattan?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Where is it located?
- 11 A. We don't have a store anymore; we moved out of New York in
- 12 2004.
- 13  $\mathbb{Q}$ . If you don't have a store where do you operate out of?
- 14 A. We have an office warehouse facility in Edison, New Jersey.
- 15 Q. Why do you no longer have a store?
- 16 A. We moved out of the retail into wholesale and international
- 17 distribution.
- 18 Q. When did you do that?
- 19 A. 2004.
- 20 Q. When did Nandansons move from Manhattan to New Jersey?
- 21 A. 2004.
- 22 Q. Do you know a person named Vikram Datta?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 O. Was he a customer of Nandansons?
- 25 A. Yes.

Ankur Gupta - direct

- 1 Q. Do you see him in the courtroom today?
  - A. Yes.

2

- MR. ROSS: We stipulate to the identification if the government wishes.
- THE COURT: It is stipulated that the witness would identify Mr. Datta, the defendant.
- 7 BY MR. BRAGG:
- 8 Q. Approximately when did Mr. Datta become a customer of
- 9 Nandansons?
- 10 A. Early 2000.
- 11 Q. Around that time what was the volume of his purchases from
- 12 | Nandansons?
- 13 A. Smaller purchases, maybe 15, \$20,000.
- 14 Q. How frequent were those purchases?
- 15 A. Not frequently, a few times a year.
- 16 | Q. Did there come a time Mr. Datta started placing larger
- 17 | orders with Nandansons?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Around when was that?
- 20 A. 2005.
- 21 | O. What was the volume of the orders around 2005?
- 22 A. It would be 30 to \$50,000 and the frequency was increasing.
- 23 **||**Q. I'm sorry?
- 24 A. 30 to \$50,000 and the frequency was increasing.
- 25 Q. Are you currently awaiting sentencing for a money

Ankur Gupta - direct

- 1 | laundering crime?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Did you plead guilty to that crime?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Did you plead guilty because you are in fact guilty?
- 6 A. Yes, he did.
- 7 Q. Did you use the company Nandansons to engage in that crime?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Approximately how much money did you launder?
- 10 A. About \$5 million.
- 11 Q. Did Nandansons also do lawful business?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13  $\mathbb{Q}$ . In the late 2000s approximately how much money per year in
- 14 sales did Nandansons do?
- 15 A. 50 to 60 million.
- 16 | Q. Was your father also involved in your money laundering
- 17 ||crime?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Did your father plead quilty to a money laundering crime?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 22 A. We collected cash from some Hispanic customers off-premises
- 23  $\parallel$ and we would sell them fragrances.
- 24 Q. What do you mean by off-premises?
- 25 A. We would generally meet the delivery person at an off-site

- 1 location, either a Burger King or some type of public parking
- 2 lot close to our office but not at our office.
- 3 Q. After you received money from them what did you do?
- 4 A. We would receive an order and we would ship them product.
- 5 Q. Who is going to decide your sentence?
- 6 A. A judge.
- 7 | Q. What's the maximum sentence you face for your charge?
- 8 A. 20 years.
- 9 Q. Did your father enter into a cooperation agreement with the
- 10 government?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Did you enter into a cooperation agreement with the
- 13 government?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Showing you 3504C marked for identification. Do you
- 16 recognize what you see, the first page of the document?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. What is it?
- 19 A. It's a cooperation agreement.
- 20 ||Q. Did you review it with the assistance of an attorney?
- 21 **|**A. Yes.
- 22 Q. After that did you sign it?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. In addition to laundering money did you also tell the
- 25 government that you failed to report to the taxing authorities

- 1 the money that you had laundered?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Did you also tell the government that you avoided filing
- 4 certain reports that are required for large cash transactions?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Under this agreement are you required to file prior to your
- 7 sentencing accurate tax returns?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Prior to your sentencing are you also required to enter
- 10 | into an agreement with the Internal Revenue Service to pay past
- 11 taxes and applicable penalties?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. As part of the agreement did you also agree to forfeit
- 14 approximately \$5.7 million to the United States?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Did you agree to forfeit approximately \$4.5 million that
- 17 has already been seized?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. As part of the agreement are you required to pay the
- 20 outstanding balance of approximately \$1.2 million at least two
- 21 weeks prior to your sentencing?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23  $\|Q$ . What did you agree to do under the agreement you signed
- 24 | with the government?
- 25 A. To cooperate with the government on their ongoing

- 1 investigations.
- 2 Q. At the direction of law enforcement did you set up
- 3 transactions which were to be money laundering transactions
- 4 | with people you previously engaged in laundering with?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Approximately how many of those transactions did you set up
- 7 at the direction of law enforcement?
- 8 A. 5 to 10.
- 9 Q. Did you also agree that as part of the investigation, the
- 10 government could wiretap your phone in order to record
- 11 | conversations setting up those transactions?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. While you have been cooperating have you been permitted to
- 14 continue to conduct the lawful business of Nandansons?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. What is your understanding why you have been permitted to
- 17 | continue to conduct lawful business?
- 18 MR. ROSS: Objection; relevance.
- 19 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 20 Q. Turning back to the agreement, does it set out that you are
- 21 required to testify truthfully if you were called upon to
- 22 | testify?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Does the agreement require you to do anything when you
- 25 testify in court other than to tell the truth?

- 1 A. No.
- Q. Why did you enter into this agreement?
- 3 A. To have the best possible outcome for myself.
- Q. When you say the best possible outcome, what are you
- 5 referring to?
- 6 A. The government also agrees to provide a letter stating all
- of the cooperation tasks that I have done, and that would be
- 8 submitted to the judge for review.
- 9 Q. What is your understanding of the information that would be
- 10 | in that letter?
- 11 A. They would indicate all the tasks that we have done to
- 12 cooperate with the government's investigations.
- 13 Q. Is it your understanding that letter would also include
- 14 information about your crime as well?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Just because the court receives such a letter does the
- 17 | judge have to sentence you to less prison time?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. What is the most amount of time the judge could impose upon
- 20 you if the letter is sent?
- 21 A. 20 years.
- 22 Q. As far as you understand does the result in this case here
- 23 | have any effect whether the government would send such a letter
- 24 to the judge in your case?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. If the government were ever to determine you testified
- 2 | falsely here, what effect if any would have that on your
- 3 | agreement?
- 4 A. It would make it null and void.
- 5 Q. Would the government send the letter to the judge you just
- 6 testified about?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. With you get any benefit for the cooperation you provided
- 9 up to this point?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Pursuant to your cooperation agreement did you participate
- 12 in an undercover investigation concerning Mr. Datta?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. How would you describe your relationship with Mr. Datta at
- 15 ∥the time of the beginning of your involvement in the undercover
- 16 investigation?
- 17 A. We had a friendly business relationship.
- 18 Q. When was your first interaction with the defendant in
- 19 connection with the undercover investigation?
- 20  $\|A$ . We had a meeting with him at our office in October 2009.
- 21 Q. Did you schedule that meeting or did he schedule it?
- 22 | A. He scheduled it.
- 23 Q. When did he contact you about scheduling a meeting?
- 24 A. Either that day or the day before.
- 25 Q. What did you do after the defendant contacted you?

- 1 A. I made a call to agent Anthony Maddalone advising him that
- 2 he was in town.
- 3 Q. Did agent Maddalone or another agent equip you with a
- 4 recording device?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Did agents give you any guidance as to what to do during
- 7 this meeting?
- 8 A. They asked us to do our normal course of business and they
- 9 also asked us to get more background information on Vikram and
- 10 how he conducts his business with customers.
- 11 Q. Did the meeting in fact occur?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Where did it occur?
- 14 | A. It occurred in our office in our conference room.
- 15 Q. Who was present for the meeting?
- 16 A. Myself, my father and Mr. Datta.
- 17 Q. How long was the meeting?
- 18 A. It was about 2 hours.
- 19 Q. As a general matter prior to the last 20 minutes of the
- 20 | conversation what did you, your father and Mr. Datta talk
- 21 about?
- 22 A. We did some buying and selling of fragrances and general
- 23 business conditions.
- 24 ||Q. If I could ask you to look at what you have in front of
- 25 | you, 102T.

```
19F4DAT1
                               Ankur Gupta - direct
               MR. BRAGG: May I approach, your Honor.
1
               THE COURT:
                           You may. Mr. Bragg, there is not a copy
2
      of this in my file.
3
               MR. BRAGG: We have an additional copy.
4
5
               If I could have one moment.
6
               (Pause)
     BY MR. BRAGG:
7
         Do you have 102T in front of you?
8
     A.
         Yes.
9
         Have you seen it before?
10
      Q.
     A.
         Yes.
11
         How do you know that?
12
      Q.
          I signed it on the top of the page.
13
      Q. Does 102T contain transcriptions of parts of the
14
      conversation of your meeting with Mr. Datta in October 2009?
15
      Α.
        Yes.
16
               MR. BRAGG: Turn to page 4, line 43, time-stamped
17
      1:42:50, and follow along.
18
               (Audiotape played)
19
          Who is that speaking?
20
      Q.
21
      Α.
          That was me speaking.
               (Audiotape played)
22
          Line 22 to 23, whose voice is that?
23
24
      Α.
          Mr. Datta.
```

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

(Audiotape played)

25

```
Ankur Gupta - direct
      19F4DAT1
          Mr. Gupta, do you speak Hindi?
1
      Q.
      Α.
2
          Yes.
          Is that language that's in bold on the transcript here,
3
      Q.
      language from the Hindi language?
4
      A. Yes.
5
               MR. BRAGG: Turn to page 9, line 33, time-stamped
 6
7
      1:49:45.
                (Audiotape played)
8
          Is your father's name Ajay Gupta?
9
      Q.
      Α.
10
          Yes.
          The attributions in the transcript of Ajay Gupta are
11
      Q.
      accurate based on your review?
12
13
      Α.
          Yes.
               MR. BRAGG: Page 11, line 17, time-stamped 1:51:52.
14
15
                (Audiotape played)
                (Continued on next page)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Ankur Gupta - direct

- MR. BRAGG: You could stop it there, Ms. Quinones.
- 2 BY MR. BRAGG:
- Q. How much longer after this point in the conversation did
- 4 you speak with Mr. Datta that day?
- 5 A. A few minutes.
- 6 Q. And what did you talk about for the remainder of the
- 7 | conversation?
- 8 A. Nothing. It was basically he had to leave.
- 9 Q. OK. Now, you testified previously in the beginning part of
- 10 the conversation, the other part of the conversation, you were
- 11 | talking about sales, is that right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And after this meeting did you fill orders that the
- 14 defendant placed that day for perfume?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Prior to the undercover investigation, did you speak
- 17 regularly on the phone with Mr. Datta?
- 18 **A**. Yes.
- 19 Q. What did you talk about?
- 20 A. General business conditions and also the buying and selling
- 21 of fragrances.
- 22 Q. After this meeting in October, did you continue to speak
- regularly with the defendant on the phone?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. To your knowledge, were some of those calls recorded?

Ankur Gupta - direct

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. How?
- 3 A. By the recorded sound given to me by the government.
- Q. And did law enforcement give you any guidance as to what to
- 5 say on those calls?
- 6 A. They said to keep the business going as it normally would
- 7 | under normal course of business and if we can continue to
- 8 | further collect background information on Mr. Datta or his
- 9 | business or his customers.
- 10 Q. When was the next time that you saw Mr. Datta in person?
- 11 A. At a trade show in March.
- 12 0. What is a trade show?
- 13 A. A trade show is a convention that we have in Las Vegas
- where it's a general merchandise show where all the exhibitors,
- 15 | they exhibit different products and we meet in one facility. A
- 16 | lot of customers from around the U.S. as well as
- 17 internationally attend that show.
- 18 Q. Prior to the trade show, did you talk to the agents about
- 19 the undercover investigation?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. What did you talk about as it applied to Mr. Datta?
- 22 A. They would like that I introduce some agents to him
- 23 directly.
- 24 Q. Who did you -- withdrawn.
- 25 Did you introduce someone to Mr. Datta?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 **Q**. When?
- 3 A. At the trade show.
- 4 | Q. Where at the trade show?
- A. At our booth, at our exhibition place in the trade show.
- 6 Q. When you say "our," are you referring to Nandansons?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Who did you introduce to Mr. Datta?
- 9 A. Two agents, Agent Roberto and Agent Jose.
- 10 | Q. Was anyone else there?
- 11 A. My father.
- 12 Q. Did you talk to Jose prior to you introducing Jose to
- 13 Mr. Datta?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 ||Q. What did you two talk about?
- 16 A. He was telling us that he didn't feel we were cooperating
- 17 to our full capacity so they want us to be more aggressive in
- 18 I fulfilling the task they requested.
- 19 Q. When you introduced Jose and Roberto to Mr. Datta, who did
- 20 you represent them to be?
- 21 A. Customers from the Baja California site.
- 22 Q. Did you indicate in what form they paid as customers?
- 23 A. We indicated they paid in cash.
- Q. After that trade show in March, when was the next time you
- 25 saw Mr. Datta?

Ankur Gupta - direct

- 1 A. In the August trade show.
- 2 Q. Where was the August trade show?
- 3 A. In Las Vegas.
- 4 | Q. Prior to you seeing Mr. Datta at that trade show, did you
- 5 Italk to agents?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Did they give you any instruction as to what to do at the
- 8 trade show concerning Mr. Datta?
- 9 A. Just reintroduce Agent Roberto.
- 10 Q. Did you do that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Was anyone else present for that introduction?
- 13 A. There was another agent, Agent Mario was there as well.
- 14 0. Where did that introduction take place?
- 15 A. At our booth.
- 16 Q. Prior to that introduction, had you spoken to Mr. Datta on
- 17 | the phone?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. When?
- 20 A. Regularly. Every month or every three weeks we would speak
- 21 and do an order.
- 22 Q. In the day or so leading up to the introduction in August,
- did you speak to Mr. Datta?
- 24 A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat that?
- 25 Q. Sure. Let me repeat it and rephrase it.

Ankur Gupta - direct

Prior to the introduction in August, in the hours or days lead up to that, did you speak to Mr. Datta?

A. Yes.

3

- 4 Q. Did you speak to him on the phone or in person?
- 5 A. Both.
- 6 0. Can you walk us through the sequence of your calls with
- 7 Mr. Datta and interaction?
- 8 A. With the agents as well?
- 9 Q. Well, start with prior to -- there came a point in time
- 10 when you reintroduced Roberto and introduced Mario to
- 11 Mr. Datta, right?
- 12 A. Right.
- Q. Prior to that point this time, when was the last time you
- 14 | interacted with Mr. Datta?
- 15 A. It was minutes before. I was speaking with him and the
- agents were making their rounds but they weren't actually
- there. So I had to call Mr. Datta and request him to come back
- 18 to our place so that he can meet with the agents at our place,
- once the agents were there.
- 20 Q. All right. When the agents and Mr. Datta arrived, how did
- 21 you -- what did you say to Mr. Datta?
- 22 A. I introduced the customers again -- I introduced the agents
- as customers again.
- 24 Q. As customers of whom?
- 25 A. Nandansons.

Ankur Gupta - direct 19fddat2 After that interaction, did you talk to Mr. Datta --1 2 withdrawn. After that introduction, what happened? - 3 A. After that introduction, the agents took Mr. Datta outside 4 the trade show to speak briefly, and then about five to ten 5 minutes later Mr. Datta came back to our booth and he said that 6 the customers, they're talking very large numbers, that he 7 didn't feel that he would be capable to service them. 8 that point in time I mentioned to him just do business as you 9 normally would; they might be just trying to show you large 10 numbers to try to impress you. 11 MR. BRAGG: May I have one moment, your Honor? 12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 (Pause) 14 No further questions at this time, your 15 MR. BRAGG: 16 Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. 17 Cross-examination. 18 Thank you, your Honor. May I have just one MR. ROSS: 19 I am finishing a note. 2.0 moment? THE COURT: Yes. 21 22 (Pause) CROSS-EXAMINATION 23

BY MR. ROSS:

24

25

Q. Good morning, Mr. Gupta.

- 1 A. Good morning.
- Q. Mr. Gupta, you're a fairly well educated man. You went to
- 3 NYU. You got a degree in finance. Is that right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And if I understood you correctly, you have been working
- for not only all of your adult life but even before you became
- 7 | an adult?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And the relationship between you and Mr. Datta was that for
- 10 the most part you sold him -- that is, "you" being Nandanson --
- 11 | sold him perfumes?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And did it occur, albeit it only once in awhile, that
- 14 Mr. Datta would obtain perfumes at such a good price that he
- 15 | could sell them to you cheaper than you could buy them?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Now, you said that you had a regular ongoing conversation
- with Mr. Datta; every couple of weeks he would order?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And is that how the ordering took place? Was it done any
- 21 other way? In other words, was it always on the phone?
- 22 A. On the phone or by e-mail.
- Q. OK. And is it the way it goes that Mr. Datta would run
- through various names of perfumes, branded perfumes, and you
- would give him your price?

- 1 A. Yes, or vice versa. I would give him our list of what we
- 2 have in stock and I will quote him the price and he would check
- 3 lif he needs that.
- 4 | Q. OK. Was it your experience that Mr. Datta is a tough
- 5 | customer?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And he drove a hard price?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And he would haggle with you over 25 cents on a bottle of
- 10 perfume?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Now, I'd like to go back for a moment to where your
- 13 testimony started here today and that was with your own
- 14 problems.
- 15 A. OK.
- 16 | Q. Your own problem is that you were a money launderer?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 | Q. And your father, a money launderer, is that right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And when you were a money launderer, how long had you done
- 21 that? For how many years were you laundering money?
- 22 A. For a number of years.
- 23 | O. How about back to 2004?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Even beyond that?

Ankur Gupta - cross

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And did it happen that the way you became a money launderer
- 3 was that you had met some people in the late '90's from South
- 4 America; is that how it started?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And after you met those people from South America, they had
- 7 | a lot of cash, presumably from drugs, that they wanted to drop
- 8 off to you and to your father, is that right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 O. And what you described as off-site receipt of these
- drugs -- I'm sorry, of these drug proceeds were places, you
- mentioned, Burger King, Rite Aid parking lots, is that right?
- 13 **|** A. Yes.
- 14 Q. What year was it that you got rid of the retail store?
- 15 A. 2004.
- 16 Q. OK. So even while you had the retail store, you were
- 17 | receiving money drops, is that right?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 | O. OK. So that started in 2004?
- 20 A. The money drops on off-site locations, yes.
- 21 | O. OK. Is that because you used to receive money at your
- 22 store until 2004?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. And when you closed the store and opened your warehouse and
- office in Edison, New Jersey, you didn't want these people

Ankur Gupta - cross

- 1 | coming into your shop, so to speak?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. That's because they were sort of sinister, weren't they?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. I mean, you were -- you didn't know their names?
- 6 A. I did not know their names.
- 7 Q. They are clearly not involved in the perfume business, from
- 8 your observation?
- 9 A. The delivery people, yes, they're not involved in the
- 10 | fragrance business.
- 11 Q. They would meet you and just hand you a bag or a box of
- 12 money?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. You wouldn't count it and give them a receipt?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 O. You didn't ask them for identification?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. You didn't deposit the money into the bank?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. You kept no records of any of these transactions?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Obviously there were no currency transaction reports or
- 23 8300 forms filed?
- 24 A. None.
- 25 Q. You would put the money in safe deposit boxes, safes, hide

Ankur Gupta - cross

- 1 | it; is that right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Your dad was doing the same thing?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And when you were filing your income tax returns, you
- 6 didn't declare any of the income?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And did I understand correctly that you, at the same time
- 9 that you were taking money from these people in these parking
- 10 lots, you were also doing 60 to \$70 million in perfume sales
- 11 that were totally legitimate?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. On your tax returns, I heard Mr. Bragg's question and I
- 14 | think you said part of your obligation is that you're going to
- 15 have to file amended tax returns, pay your taxes, your
- 16 | interest, your penalties, is that right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Have you done that?
- 19 A. Yes. We've hired a reputable firm in New Jersey, Rotenberg
- 20 Meril, and they're taking care of all the filings in question.
- 21 We have a payment plan with the IRS, and we're on schedule to
- 22 pay all our back taxes by 2012.
- 23 | O. Have you actually filed the returns?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. But you haven't paid the money yet; you have a schedule?

- A. We've already paid two-thirds of it. We have a third
- 2 remaining.
- Q. OK. Now, when you would make arrangements for money to be
- 4 dropped to you in these parking lots and other areas, you would
- first speak with one of several people who were directed that
- 6 the money come to you, is that right?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. And those are not people in the perfume business?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Those are people actually that you believed to be involved
- 11 | in the drug business?
- 12 A. Some type of illicit activity.
- 13 Q. OK. And those people would call you.
- 14 And what name were you known by to them?
- 15 A. Alonzo.
- 16 Q. Alonzo?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Not Ankur?
- 19 A. Not Ankur.
- 20 Q. So you didn't use your own name?
- 21 A. I did not.
- 22 Q. In addition to speaking Hindi, you also speak Spanish?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And these people were Hispanic that were calling you?
- 25 A. Correct.

Ankur Gupta - cross

- 1 Q. And was at least one of them calling you from Colombia?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And you would speak to him, and did he know that your real
- 4 name was not Alonzo, if you could tell us?
- 5 A. I don't know.
- 6 O. Because you always represented yourself to be Alonzo?
- 7 **|** A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And the reason you used a phony name is because you knew
- 9 you were committing a crime?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Did your dad have a phony name, too?
- 12 **|** A. No.
- 13 Q. That's because he never really spoke to those people, you
- 14 were the point guy?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16  $\|Q$ . OK. And you said that this offense that you were involved
- 17 | in under the name Alonzo involved \$5,725,000, is that right?
- 18 A. More or less, yes.
- 19 | Q. And to be sure that we all understand, Mr. Datta over here,
- 20 Vikram Datta, had absolutely nothing to do with any of the
- 21 ||criminal activity you and your dad were involved in?
- 22 A. Nothing.
- 23 Q. None at all?
- 24 A. None at all.
- Q. Now, when you were doing this activity since 2004, you and

Ankur Gupta - cross

- 1 | your dad kept it a secret, didn't you?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. And because if I understood your family business, you got
- 4 your mom, you got a couple of siblings involved in addition to
- 5 your dad, right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And you didn't let them know?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 ||Q. You didn't let the workers know?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. When I say "workers," you had like 14 people working in
- 12 your warehouse, isn't that so?
- 13 **|** A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And you had a bunch of office personnel?
- 15 A. The office, we generally manage ourself but we have one
- 16 person in the office, yes.
- 17 Q. OK. But you managed to keep that from even the one person
- 18 | in the office?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 ||Q. So you and your dad managed to keep it a secret between the
- 21 I two of you that you were receiving hundreds of thousands of
- 22 dollars of drug money at a time and not putting it on the
- 23 | books?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. So you had -- ultimately, though, you would actually ship

Ankur Gupta - cross

- 1 perfume to somebody somewhere?
- 2 A. Correct.
- Q. So there was no internal accounting of your goods being
- 4 shipped?
- 5 A. There was.
- Q. But the money that you received for the goods never made it
- 7 onto the books?
- 8 A. It was. We did an adjustment. I made adjustments to my
- 9 own books for that.
- 10 Q. You sort of phonied up books, though?
- 11 A. In this particular case, yes.
- 12 Q. Yeah. To somehow cover up the fact that you were taking
- drug money and in essence putting it in your pocket?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. OK. How many years had you dealing with Mr. Datta?
- 16 A. Over ten years.
- 17 Q. And correct me if I'm wrong, but didn't the relationship
- 18 between you an Mr. Datta -- you said he started off small in
- 19 what you described as 20 to \$30,000 or so; is that about right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And a couple of times a year he'd buy?
- 22 A. Right.
- 23 Q. And when you first started out with him, did you extend him
- 24 | credit?
- 25 A. Yes, on a limited basis.

Ankur Gupta - cross

- 1 | Q. On a limited basis?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. What does that mean?
- 4 A. Small line of credit.
- 5 O. OK. And is it true that over the years the practice has
- 6 been that Mr. Datta would pay Nandansons with postdated checks?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. So to understand how that worked, Mr. Datta would call you
- 9 | up and haggle with you on the phone and make an order?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 | Q. Then Mr. Datta would send you a -- well, strike that.
- 12 You would send him an invoice?
- 13 A. Pro forma invoice, yes.
- 14 Q. You're taking notes, I presume, when you speak to him?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. On how many bottles of this, how many bottles of that, and
- 17 | the price?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. Then you prepare a pro forma invoice, and you would fax it
- 20 | to his business, or you don't?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | O. And then he would send you a postdated check?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. And how postdated was it? What's the window here?
- 25 A. Initially it started off as 45 days but then it became 60

- 1 days.
- Q. OK. So he issues a check to you payable 60 days later in
- 3 payment of the invoice?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. And you all would hold the check until you would deposit it
- 6 in the bank on the 60th or 61st day?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. In fact, it happened a couple of times that his checks
- 9 bounced.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. But he made them good right away?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. As a matter of fact, he made them good with a wire transfer
- 14 | right away?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. But never in all these years did he deliver a box of cash
- 17 to you?
- 18 A. Never.
- 19  $\|Q$ . Never in all these years did he deliver a bag of cash to
- 20 you?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Never in all these years did he have money delivered to you
- 23 by some person whom you didn't know, one of these suspicious
- 24 | people, and have you send goods to him?
- 25 A. No.

Ankur Gupta - cross

- 1 Q. Never?
- 2 A. Never.
- 3 Q. One of the bounced checks, in fact, very close in time to
- when you got arrested, was north of a hundred thousand dollars,
- 5 | wasn't it?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7  $\square$ Q. And he made that good?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. All right. Let's talk about your arrest.
- You got arrested on September 10, 2009?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And your dad, too?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And you agreed to cooperate with the government
- 15 | immediately?
- 16 A. Per advice of our counsel, yes.
- 17 Q. And you recognized right away you were in serious trouble?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 O. You now understand the parameters of that when you say 20
- 20 years?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. And is it true, however, that after you began to cooperate
- 23 the day -- the very day of your arrest, that you weren't
- 24 | completely honest with the agents whom you were dealing with?
- 25 A. It's possible on the initial steps they might have

Ankur Gupta - cross

- 1 misunderstood some of our remarks but it is possible.
- 2 Q. They might have misunderstood your remarks?
- 3 A. Yes, because they were asking questions right off the bat,
- 4 | which we didn't have all the answers for. We were still -- I
- 5 was still processing what was happening at that time.
- 6 Q. Did they misunderstand you when you denied being involved
- 7 | in money laundering?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. You did that, didn't you?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. OK. So to be sure, you get arrested, you recognize you are
- 12 In serious trouble, you agree to cooperate, and on the very day
- 13 that you do that, the first thing you did was lie?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. The second thing you did was lie?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Where is it in the chain of things that happened on
- 18 | September 10th that you said I -- I didn't launder money?
- 19  $\|A$ . It was right in the initial stages, when they were in the
- 20 process of arresting us.
- 21 Q. They were actually driving you, weren't they, in the back
- 22 of a car on their way to locate the cash that you and your dad
- 23 | had hidden?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. So the fellow you were talking to, at least one of them, is

- 1 a federal agent, right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Have you been charged with making a false statement to a
- 4 | federal agent?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. The next day, on September 11th, you signed a proffer
- 7 agreement with the government under the advice of your counsel,
- 8 is that right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And you were hoping that that was going to turn into a
- 11 | cooperation agreement that would result in your hopefully
- getting a lesser sentence than the 20 years you're facing?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And you then began the process of sitting down with
- 15 government agents and interviewing with them about what you
- 16 knew about these people that you were laundering money with,
- who were drug dealers and whatnot; is that right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And you met with them on September 17th of 2009?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. September 29th, 2009?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. October 1st, 2009?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. October 8th, 2009?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And federal agents seized four-and-a-half million dollars
- 3 In cash from various places that you and your dad had it
- 4 | hidden?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. For example, you had \$2,700,000-plus in a safe deposit box
- 7 | at Indus American Bank?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. You another 900-something-thousand at Wachovia?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. When I say "Wachovia," in a safe deposit box?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And when you were receiving this money, by the way, in
- 14 these boxes and bags from people you didn't know, they weren't
- 15 always big bills, were they?
- 16 A. No. We requested for large bills.
- 17 **∥**Q. But you didn't always get large bills, did you?
- 18 A. No, we did not always get large bills.
- 19 | Q. And the way that you turned them into large enough bills
- 20 that you could hide them in a safe deposit box was by taking
- 21 them to a casino?
- 22 A. A portion of it, yes.
- 23 | Q. And so you would go in with 20s, let's say, and come out
- 24 | with 100s?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And your dad also had, you know, a half-a-million dollars
- 2 in a safe deposit box at TD Bank, is that right?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And who is Nutan Gupta?
- 5 A. My mother.
- 6 Q. Your mother?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. \$69,400 was seized from your mother in cash?
- 9 **|**|A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And this was cash that you all had received from these drug
- 11 money droppers, is that right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Is your mother being prosecuted?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 | Q. After you were arrested, you were released on \$1 million
- 16 | bail?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And you are still on \$1 million bail?
- 19 **|** A. Yes.
- 20 ||Q. Now, in these -- and I went through four dates with you --
- 21 September 17, September 29, October 1, October 8, the interview
- 22 | with the government -- in those various interviews with the
- 23 government, is it true that the way things went was tell us
- 24 | first about your own crime; that is, what you and your dad did?
- 25 A. Correct.

Ankur Gupta - cross

- Q. Then, after they got done talking to you about the criminal
- 2 acts you were involved with, the next thing they got to was
- 3 tell us who your customers are?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. And tell us where they are?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. And among the things you told them as to who your customers
- 8 were and where they were is that the four big markets for
- 9 perfume are New York, Los Angeles, Miami and Laredo, Texas?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And that's true?
- 12 A. That is true.
- 13 Q. And you gave them the names of a number of your customers
- who operate in Laredo, Texas and have stores there?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And you sell to a bunch of them, don't you?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 |Q. How many different stores do you think you sell to in
- 19 Laredo, Texas?
- 20 A. At least ten.
- 21 | Q. And those -- some of those are Sunny's Perfume?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. They are a bigger customer than was La Versailles?
- 24 A. It depends on the year.
- 25 Q. Well, they had been in some years certainly?

Ankur Gupta - cross

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. TM Perfumes?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. MD Destination -- Distributors?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Perfume Club South?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Broadway?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. All of those Laredo, Texas?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And more, more than I have the names?
- 13 A. And more, mm-hmm.
- 14 Q. Then you -- well, strike that.
- Among the list of perfume stores in Laredo, Texas that
- 16 you said were your customers, one was La Versailles?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. So it was you who had first mentioned La Versailles or
- 19 Vikram Datta's name to the government?
- 20 MR. BRAGG: Objection.
- 21 THE COURT: Ground? How would he know, right?
- MR. BRAGG: Yes.
- 23 THE COURT: Sustained.
- Q. It wasn't the government who asked you about La Versailles
- or Vikram Datta, it was you who mentioned the name, correct?

Ankur Gupta - cross

- 1 A. I don't recall exactly but there was a conversation back
- 2 and forth regarding La Versailles.
- 3 Q. OK. And so La Versailles first appears, though, on your
- 4 list of stores of customers, correct?
- 5 A. Yes, mm-hmm.
- 6 Q. Then you hear from Vikram Datta, as you often did, that he
- 7 was coming to New York?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 0. And that was not uncommon?
- 10 A. No, not uncommon.
- 11 Q. It may not have been frequent but not uncommon, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. It was at least once a year; is that fair enough?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Sometimes twice, even three times a year?
- 16 A. At least once a year, yes.
- 17 Q. But at least once a year he would come to New York, and
- 18 lit's a buying trip, in essence, is that right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And he would meet with you?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And you would discuss, as you said, business, different
- 23 perfumes and prices?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. And that's what you understood was going to happen when he

- 1 | said he was going to come visit with you in October?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. So the date -- how much in advance of his coming did he
- 4 Itell you he was coming?
- 5 A. It was the day before.
- Q. So the day before he actually came -- and the transcript
- 7 and tape we heard parts of was October 13, 2009?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. So he would have called you on October 12, 2009?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. OK. And if I understood what you said, in response -- not
- 12 "in response," but after he called you, because his was one of
- 13 the companies that you had told the agents you did business
- with, you called Agent Maddalone?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. To tell him hey, this fellow Vikram Datta is going to come
- 17 to my office tomorrow?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Now, you already had in place a wiretap on your telephone?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. So presumably the phone call on October 12th would have
- 22 | been recorded?
- 23 A. It's possible.
- Q. But in response to your phone call to Agent Maddalone, he
- gave you some recording equipment to record the conversation

```
19fddat2
```

Ankur Gupta - cross

- 1 that you and apparently your dad had with Mr. Datta on
- 2 October 13th?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And the instructions were just keep it normal and get as
- 5 much information, background information, about Mr. Datta?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 | Q. There was no instruction given that you should propose to
- 8 Mr. Datta that he commit a crime?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. There was no restriction given to you on what you shouldn't
- 11 say?
- 12 A. Not that I remember.
- 13 Q. OK.
- 14 THE COURT: Mr. Ross, are you near done or --
- 15 MR. ROSS: No, sir.
- 16 | THE COURT: All right. We will take a break now,
- 17 | folks.
- 18 THE CLERK: All rise.
- 19 (Recess)
- 20 (Jury not present)
- 21 THE COURT; How much longer, Mr. Ross?
- MR. ROSS: I would think 45 minutes, your Honor, or
- 23 so.
- 24 THE CLERK: Jury entering.
- 25 Jury present)

- THE CLERK: Please be seated, everyone.
- THE COURT: The jurors and the defendant all are
- 3 present. Let's continue.
- 4 MR. ROSS: Thank you, your Honor.
- 5 BY MR. ROSS:
- 6 Q. Mr. Gupta, on the recording that we listened to of
- 7 October 13th, there is mention of a fellow named Octavio. You
- 8 knew who that was, did you not?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Octavio -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- was perhaps the
- 11 | biggest perfume consumer in Mexico?
- 12 A. Per our knowledge, yes.
- 13 Q. And he wasn't -- Octavio was not just Mr. Datta's client or
- 14 customer, a lot of the stores in Laredo sold to him?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And you also knew another of Mr. Datta's perfume customers,
- 17 a fellow named Polo?
- 18 A. Personally, no.
- 19 Q. Well, you knew of a person named Polo to be a wholesale
- 20 perfume customer?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. OK. Now, Mr. Datta, when he came to see you on
- 23 | October 13th, he also had brought you your new baby's gift?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. You had just had a child?

19fddat2

Ankur Gupta - cross

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2  $\blacksquare$ Q. And he came, congratulated you, brought a gift?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. During the course of this meeting, there is an
- 5 interruption; Mr. Datta's phone would ring periodically?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. And so he was busy with other appointments, based on what
- 8 you could hear, in the New Jersey/New York area?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 | Q. So he only had a limited amount of time to spend with you?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 0. So, backing up for just a moment, you never gave credit to
- 13 any of these drug dealing customers of yours that did the
- 14 \$5,725,000 worth of money laundering?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 | Q. And Mr. Datta is meeting with you, and there is a
- 17 discussion. And your dad asks, on this transcript, a very
- 18 straightforward question: Is it drug money from Mexico?
- 19 And Mr. Datta replied, I don't know.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Do you recall that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Was that part of any kind of plan with the agents in
- 24 advance of this meeting?
- 25 A. No. It was just -- they instructed us get general

- 1 | background information on him and his customers.
- Q. Did you recognize at the time that asking that kind of question might be helpful to the government?
- 4 MR. BRAGG: Objection.
- 5 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 6 Q. Sir?
- 7 A. This was one of the first events that we had that we were
- 8 recording for the government, and we were kind of surprised by
- 9 his previous remark that he received \$1.3 million in cash. We
- never handled that kind of money in one shot. So a lot of this
- 11 spurred from that, the follow-up questions and the --
- 12 Q. OK.
- 13 A. That's how the conversation went.
- 14 Q. So I guess the backup to the question I asked: It really
- wasn't something you thought of, it was just what flowed from
- 16 the conversation as it laid out?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18  $\mathbb{Q}$ . OK. And the conversation is what it is.
- 19 You knew that this fellow Octavio that we had
- 20 | mentioned before had numerous stores in Mexico?
- 21 A. I didn't know that. I know that he was a large customer
- 22 for the Mexican market.
- 23 O. You mentioned Tepito. Are you familiar with Tepito?
- A. We heard about it generally. That's the core market in
- Mexico.

19fddat2

Ankur Gupta - cross

- And that's just -- we're talking about hundreds of 1 Q. perfume vendors; is that your understanding? 2
- 3 Α. Yes.
- And those are customers of Mr. Datta's? 4 Q.
- 5 Α. Yes.
- And customers of some of these other stores in Laredo, 6
- 7 Texas?
- 8 Α. Correct.
- And Tepito, just to be clear, those are stores that are in 9 Mexico City. The Tepito market is a suburb of Mexico City? 10
- Α. 11 Yes.

15

16

22

23

25

- And when Mr. Datta responds, you know, why customers are 12 using dollars, he explained that Mexicans buy dollars because 13 the currency is changing were his words; do you recall that? 14
  - This is something on the tape you are THE COURT: inquiring about?
- Yes, sir. 17 MR. ROSS:
- THE COURT: Sustained. 18
- The words that Mr. Datta used on the tape about currency is 19 changing is something that you are familiar with; you are 20 familiar with the fact that your international customers ebb 21
- Objection. MR. BRAGG:

and flow with the currency exchanging?

- Sustained. THE COURT: 24
  - Is it the case that you have international customers?

| 19 | f | d | d | a | t | 2 |
|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Is it the case that your international customers buy more
- 3 perfume when the exchange rate is better?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5  $\blacksquare$ Q. And is that common knowledge in your industry?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. So as much as you learned in this October 13th first
- 8 recording with Mr. Datta is that he has some customers who pay
- 9 cash, he requires a passport, he requires a customs
- declaration, people in Mexico are buying dollars because of the
- 11 | currency exchange rates, and he does not know that the cash
- 12 he's receiving is drug money, correct?
- MR. BRAGG: Objection.
- 14 THE COURT: Sustained.
- If you would like to give your summation, we could
- 16 wrap the case up quickly but it's not going to happen now, I
- 17 think.
- 18 MR. ROSS: Thank you.
- 19 (Continued on next page)
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

Ankur Gupta - cross

- 1 BY MR. ROSS:
- 2 | Q. Now, you made reference to going to a trade show in March
- 3 In Las Vegas and having a discussion with an agent who
- 4 | apparently discussed with you his or their view about the level
- 5 | of cooperation they were getting from you, right?
- 6 **|**A. Yes.
- 7 Q. You understood even before that conversation that in order
- 8 for you to get a better result in the outcome of your own
- 9 | criminal case, that you needed to get some results for the
- 10 | agents, didn't you?
- 11 A. Can you rephrase that please.
- 12 Q. You knew that for your cooperation to result in your
- 13 getting some kind of reduced sentence, it had to have some
- 14 result?
- 15 THE COURT: Sustained as to form.
- 16 Q. You knew that you had to assist the agents in making cases
- 17 undercover, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. That was an assignment you were given?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. After October 13, a month later or so, in November, do you
- recall calling Mr. Datta several times on November 23, trying
- 23 | to reach him?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. It was you calling him, am I correct?

Ankur Gupta - cross

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. You were unable to reach him on November 23?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. But you were able to reach him on November 24?
- 5 A. Right.
- 6 Q. On November 24, the call includes your saying to Mr. Datta
- 7 that you have a wholesale customer in Mexico who wants to buy
- 8 from you in Newark but pay for it in Laredo; do you recall
- 9 | that?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You asked Mr. Datta if he had a way that he would take it,
- 12 that is the money, and send it to you?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. That conversation, was that directed by the agents?
- 15 **|** A. Yes.
- 16 0. In other words, that sort of looks like the very crime that
- 17 you committed, receiving money one place, right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 10. So was that the effort that was being made, you should try
- 20 to get Mr. Datta to commit the same crime?
- 21 A. No. The purpose was to see what his reaction would be.
- 22 | Q. His reaction you found out was that he declined to do it?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 0. Moreover, not only did he decline to do it, he told you
- 25 that he had other people who had come to him with small bills

Ankur Gupta - cross

- and a lot of cash who had made similar proposals and he threw
- 2 | them out?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. He was waiting for another guy that he knew was coming and
- 5 he was going to throw him out too?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Did Mr. Datta say to you, you give me a bank transfer
- 8 because I don't want any problems?
- 9 A. I am sorry?
- 10 Q. Did Mr. Datta say to you, you give me a bank transfer
- 11 because I don't want no problems, as opposed to the cash you
- were proposing?
- 13 A. I don't know which context this is referring to because we
- 14 | would never give him a wire.
- 15 Q. In the scenario you had proposed to him that you had a
- 16 customer who wanted to do this and his response not only was
- 17 | that he didn't want to do it, he was proposing to way do it is
- by wire transfer; do you recall that?
- 19 A. I don't recall that, because if it's a wire transfer, the
- 20 customer can do it directly with us; we don't need Vikram for
- 21 that.
- 22 Q. Look at the screen in front of you, see where I am pointing
- here? Does that refresh your memory that Mr. Datta said that
- 24 to you?
- 25 A. Yes, but he was referring to his own customer, he wasn't

- 1 referring to me.
- 2 Q. Just generically?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. A customer?
- 5 **|**A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Continuing though in response to this proposal, Mr. Datta
- 7 | says to you that he doesn't want any problems, right?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. You question him, you don't want any problems, and
- 10 Mr. Datta said to you, I do not want, I do not need to make
- 11 this money; is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. After Mr. Datta said that to you on November 24, 2009, did
- 14 you tell the agents that?
- 15 A. It was in a recording device, yes.
- 16 Q. Did you discuss with the agents, hey listen, I did what you
- 17 told me to do and he wouldn't take the money?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Did you tell them that right away or is that only on March
- 20 1, a month later?
- 21 A. No, right away or within a week, whatever it was. It was
- 22 Thanksgiving time; I don't know if the agents were there at
- 23 that time.
- Q. A couple months later on January 19, 2010, do you recall
- 25 having yet another conversation with Mr. Datta that was again a

Ankur Gupta - cross

- 1 purchasing conversation?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. It went the same way, if you recall, as all the others; you
- 4 | would give him a price, he would haggle, he would decide
- 5 | whether he would order or not?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 | Q. At the end of the conversation, do you recall making
- 8 another proposition to him of illegal conduct?
- 9 A. At the direction of the agents, yes.
- 10 Q. You again propose that cash be dropped in his San Ysidro,
- 11 California store that you just learned about and goods
- 12 delivered elsewhere?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Again Mr. Datta said no?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Did you tell the agents in January that Mr. Datta said no
- 17 again?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. So now let's move to March 1. When you arrived at the show
- 20 You said you had a booth. Give us some idea, are we talking
- 21 about 100s of vendors at these shows?
- 22 A. At least 2,000 vendors.
- 23 | Q. Way more than that. Is this multiple floors?
- 24 A. Yes, the show is two floors.
- 25 Q. You had a conversation you said with an agent who talked to

- 1 you about some disappointment in the level of your cooperation,
- 2 | is that right?
- 3 A. It wasn't disappointment in the level of our cooperation.
- 4 He wasn't satisfied; he felt we had potential to do more.
- 5 Q. Who was that agent?
- 6 A. Agent Jose Correa.
- 7 Q. That was going to be the agent that you were going to
- 8 introduce or have this fellow Roberto, is Roberto also an
- 9 agent?
- 10 A. I believe so.
- 11 Q. You were going to introduce Roberto and Jose?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And on March 1, are the agents waiting to meet Mr. Datta;
- 14 was that the plan?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. You said the agents were walking around?
- 17 A. Walking around the show, yes.
- 18  $\| Q$ . Were they engaging people in conversations to your
- 19 | knowledge?
- 20 A. I don't know.
- 21 Q. Were you making introductions?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. You would introduce the undercover agents to other people
- in the perfume business?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 | Q. And they would have conversations with them?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Would they have conversations with them trying to find out
- 4 | if they would take cash money?
- A. I don't know; once I introduced them I was out of the
- 6 conversation.
- 7 | Q. The conversation that you had with this agent, correct me
- 8 | if I am wrong, but he told you that his group, the group that
- he works with, they go after things and they don't stop or slow
- down if something happens; did he tell you that?
- 11 A. I believe so.
- 12 Q. Did he tell you that what's going to happen if they don't
- 13 get results is that the lawyers are going to talk and you may
- 14 not get any help at your sentencing; did he tell you that?
- 15 A. Can you repeat that.
- 16 Q. Did he tell you that if you don't get any results then the
- 17 | lawyers, prosecutor, your lawyer, are going to talk; they may
- 18 | or may not be helping you at your sentencing?
- 19 A. He said, I recall that he said that if, I recall him saying
- 20 | that the attorneys would need to speak, but it had nothing to
- 21 do with bearing on what we can provide further.
- 22 Q. Did he tell you in these words you have to find someone?
- 23 A. I don't recall.
- 24 Q. Let me go through all of these. Do you recall being told,
- 25 If you guys don't come up with something, the lawyers will have

- 1 to speak?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Do you recall that he told you that we are going to have to
- 4 | be more aggressive?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. And tell you you need to be more aggressive?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Did he tell you I don't care what you tell him?
- 9 A. I don't recall.
- 10 Q. Do you recall him telling you, whatever it takes, generate
- 11 something?
- 12 A. Something to that effect.
- 13 Q. Do you recall him telling you specifically regarding Vikram
- Datta, like we said last night, you have to push that angle,
- 15 you have to push it?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Do you recall him telling you regarding Vikram Datta, make
- 18 sure you make him want to work with us?
- 19 A. He mentioned that, but we didn't have any control over
- 20 that. Our job was to do the introduction. At that point we
- 21 were delayed in making the introduction because Mr. Vikram was
- 22 | not at the show.
- Q. Do you recall him telling you, you've got to set it up?
- 24 A. That was the introduction, the meeting.
- Q. Do you recall that you told the agent in response to these

Ankur Gupta - cross

- 1 comments that you, meaning we, you and your father, did
- 2 everything we were supposed to do and he wouldn't take our
- 3 money?

4

- A. I don't remember. In what reference?
- 5 | Q. In response to the agent telling you, we need to get some
- 6 results; at the end of the day this is for your benefit.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. You said to him, we did everything you told us to do in
- 9 that last series of phone calls and he wouldn't take our money;
- 10 | is that correct?
- 11 A. I don't follow where he said he wouldn't take our money; it
- was never our money that was in question.
- 13 Q. You don't recall saying that to him?
- 14 A. He wouldn't take our money?
- 15 Q. Yes, that he, Vikram Datta, wouldn't take the money that
- 16 you were proposing on one occasion he would take in Laredo, on
- 17 another occasion that he take in California?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Do you recall agent telling you, so let's start with
- Vikram, let's really try to get aggressive and get these guys
- 21 on board?
- 22 A. Yes, he said that.
- 23 Q. Did the agent instruct you to introduce him and Roberto to
- Vikram Datta as very good customers, cash customers, to plant
- 25 the seed?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. To be clear, this was not really a pleasant conversation
- 3 you were having with him, was it?
- 4  $\blacksquare$ A. No, but the agent was just doing his job and he was
- 5 motivating us to be more productive.
- 6 Q. He actually started the conversation with you by saying I
- 7 am going to try to say this as nicely as I can?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Then went into saying things that really weren't so nice?
- 10 A. He was aggressive but he was doing his job.
- 11 Q. Then it was after that that you placed a phone call to
- 12 Vikram Datta; that was I presume on your cellphone?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 0. You were able to reach Mr. Datta?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And what you told Mr. Datta to get him to come to your
- 17 booth was that you have two customers in your booth and they
- are looking for some lines that I don't have and I know you
- 19 have; do you recall that?
- 20 A. That was a call to somebody else; that wasn't to Mr. Datta.
- Q. OK. You were trying to introduce Roberto and Jose to
- 22 somebody else?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. All of this at the same perfume show?
- 25 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Ankur Gupta - cross

MR. ROSS: Your Honor, I am going to need to refresh the witness' memory by playing a recording outside the presence of the jury. I can complete and perhaps at the break we can do that.

THE COURT: Complete whatever else you have.

MR. ROSS: Thank you, your Honor.

## BY MR. ROSS:

- Q. In your direct testimony you said that prior to the August
- 9 9 show, this is the next show, we were just talking about
- 10 March, now we are talking about 5 months later, August?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. In between the March meeting and show and the August
- meeting and show, had you talked to Mr. Datta and had he
- continued to order perfume from Nandansons?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Everything went on as normal?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. In addition to the two efforts to have Mr. Datta engage in
- 19 money laundering on November 24 and January 19, did you try any
- 20 other proposals to engage him in money laundering between those
- 21 two events?
- 22 A. I am sorry, rephrase that.
- 23 Q. Other than the two we have already talked about, actually
- 24 they preceded March, so between the March show in Las Vegas and
- 25 the August show in Las Vegas, did you call Mr. Datta and make

- 1 | other proposals to him to launder money?
- 2 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Prior, however, to the August 9 meeting in Las Vegas, you
- 4 | introduced agent Mario?
- 5 A. I introduced agent Roberto again and agent Mario was there
- 6 simultaneously.
- 7 | Q. Prior to that introduction then, reintroduction of agent
- 8 Roberto, you said in response to the government's questions
- 9 that you spoke with Mr. Datta on the phone and in person, is
- 10 | that right?
- 11 A. On the phone? At the trade show, yes, at the trade show on
- 12 the phone and in person, yes.
- 13 Q. You saw him at the trade show the day before, August 8?
- 14 A. Mr. Datta, I don't believe so. I believe I saw him, the
- 15 agents wanted to meet with him. I didn't see him. Then he
- 16 came to our booth. Then that time the agents were not there.
- 17 So, then he left, the agents came, and then I called Mr. Datta
- 18 I that the customers were back so can he come back.
- 19 Q. And you had already seen Roberto, the agent you call him,
- 20 | earlier in the day?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Had you seen him the day before?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Was your dad there the day before?
- 25 A. Yes.

Ankur Gupta - cross

- 1 Q. All of you were there on August 8?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. You don't recall seeing Mr. Datta immediately?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. You took to heart the admonition and the urging of the
- 6 agent when he spoke to you on March 1 about your cooperation,
- 7 did you not?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Because you knew it was important and it's important to the
- 10 outcome of your criminal case?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. You got more aggressive, did you not?
- 13 A. That's a matter of perspective. I felt that I was always
- 14 aggressive but it wasn't achieving the results, so they felt we
- 15 had potential to do more.
- 16  $\mathbb{Q}$ . By the way, the people that were there at the time of this
- 17 talk about about your cooperation, agent Jose, yourself, your
- dad and Roberto, right?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Anybody else present?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. You called Roberto an agent; is that your understanding,
- 23 that he is an agent?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. As opposed to a cooperating witness of some sort?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. With regard to your taxes, your plea agreement does not
- give you immunity from prosecution for tax evasion, does it?
  - 4 A. I am sorry, repeat that.
  - 5 | Q. Your plea agreement in your money laundering case does not
  - 6 give you immunity from prosecution for tax evasion?
  - 7 A. That's correct.
  - 8 Q. But in fact you filed false tax returns from 2004 to 2008,
  - 9 did you not?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. You materially misrepresented in there all of this income
- 12 | that you and your dad had taken and not reported?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. You have not been prosecuted for that, have you?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. You are hoping that you do not ever get prosecuted for it?
- 17 A. I am sorry?
- 18 Q. You are hoping that you never get prosecuted for that?
- 19 A. We have amended all of those issues and we are correcting
- 20 them now.
- 21 Q. This is a different issue; this is a criminal prosecution
- for tax evasion. You are not concerned you are going to be
- charge criminally with tax evasion or having misrepresented
- 24 | your income from 2004 to 2008?
- 25 A. That is a concern.

- 1 | Q. You are hopeful it doesn't happen, am I correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. When you said on direct examination that the outcome of
- 4 this case will have no effect on the government's letter to
- 5 your sentencing judge ultimately, that really is not true, is
- 6 ||it?
- 7 A. That is true.
- 8 Q. You think that you don't get more of a reduction if the
- 9 government's letter says that Ankur Gupta helped us in an
- 10 investigation and then testified at trial and we, the
- 11 | government, got a conviction; you don't believe that?
- MR. BRAGG: Objection.
- 13 THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
- 14 O. You do hope that by pleasing the government in this
- 15 proceeding, and any others, that they will not charge you with
- 16 tax evasion?
- 17 MR. BRAGG: Objection.
- 18 THE COURT: What's the objection.
- 19 MR. BRAGG: Characterization, your Honor.
- 20 THE COURT: Rephrase it.
- 21 Q. You are hopeful that through your testimony in this case,
- 22 | and others if you are testifying, that the government will not
- 23 prosecute you for tax evasion; is that true?
- 24 A. No. Our understanding is that we have to testify
- truthfully as per our cooperation agreement; it has no bearing

Ankur Gupta - cross

- 1 on any other issue.
- 2 Q. The government has the right but not the obligation to file
- 3 | a motion in your case or letter in your case to request a
- 4 reduction or consideration of a reduction of your sentence, is
- 5 | that right?
- 6 A. I am sorry, repeat that.
- 7 Q. The government is the one who will file a letter with your
- 8 sentencing judge asking the judge to consider your cooperation
- 9 to reduce your sentence?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And who is it that decides if the government is going to
- 12 | file that letter?
- 13 A. The government.
- 14 Q. The prosecutors?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. These prosecutors?
- 17 A. These or from their office.
- 18 Q. Who decides what the recommendation is going to be at your
- 19 sentencing hearing to your sentencing judge; is that again the
- 20 prosecutors?
- 21 A. Yes.
- MR. BRAGG: Objection.
- THE COURT: The objection is sustained. The answer is
- stricken. It presupposes there will be a recommendation.
- Q. Do you recall at the end of your conversation with

|    | 19f4dat3 Ankur Gupta - cross                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1  | Mr. Datta on November 24 where you had proposed, this was the  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2  | first time you had proposed that he receive cash in Laredo and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3  | he turned you down, do you recall any of the conversation by   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | telling him that it's fine, if you can't do it, you can't do   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | it?                                                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | A. Yes.                                                        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | MR. ROSS: Thank you. Nothing else.                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | THE COURT: Do you want to take up that matter out of           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | the presence of the jury or not.                               |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | MR. ROSS: Yes.                                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | THE COURT: Members of the jury, step into the jury             |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | room for a minute so we can take care of something.            |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | (Jury leaves courtroom)                                        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | (Continued on next page)                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |                                                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |                                                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |                                                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |                                                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |                                                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |                                                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |                                                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |                                                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |                                                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |                                                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |                                                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Ankur Gupta - cross

MR. ROSS: Your Honor, there is a recording of a March 1 discussion between the witness and the agent, and several of the key issues I would like the jury to hear from this witness, he did not recall. What I am requesting is that the government play for the witness, outside the presence of the jury, this is disk L session 2, it is what I characterized in my opening as a pep talk between the agent and this witness, that includes actually substantially more than I have already asked this witness.

THE COURT: What is it you propose, that we just listen to 5 minutes, 10 minutes, 60 minutes; I don't know.

MR. ROSS: No, your Honor. What I suggest is that we play it out of the court's presence, let the witness listen to it. After he listens to it, I will come back and ask him if it refreshes his recollection in the presence of the jury.

THE COURT: What are the specific points as to which you say he professed a lack of recollection. Give me the references if you can do that.

MR. ROSS: I will, your Honor. Your Honor, the witness did not recall that the agents said to him what's going to happen if we don't get results, we need to start getting some results. I asked him specifically did the agent tell you you have to find someone, those words, and he did not recall.

THE COURT: The specific point is you have to find someone, is that the specific point.

| 1 | 9 | f | 4 | da | t | 3 |
|---|---|---|---|----|---|---|
|   |   |   |   |    |   |   |

Ankur Gupta - cross

MR. ROSS: That is the specific point in that little vignette there. The next one is at 17:09:50. I asked the witness if he recalled the agent saying I don't care what you tell them, whatever it takes, generate something. He did not recall that. Those are really the two.

THE COURT: You have given the government the references to these two?

MR. ROSS: Yes, your Honor. I know it's on disk L. The second one, the latter one, I don't care what you tell them, is at 17:09:50.

THE COURT: The first one.

MR. ROSS: The first one I will have to dig out but it is, the whole conversation, this conversation between the agent and him runs for about 15 minutes.

THE COURT: Please answer my question.

MR. ROSS: Your Honor, I tell the court as closely I can, the last reference was 17:07:12, so it's somewhere between 17:07:12 and 17:09:50. Both are included therefore in about two minutes of this.

THE COURT: Mr. Bragg, does the government agree that those two phrases specifically, quote, you have to find someone, close quote, and, quote, I don't care what you tell them, whatever it takes, generate something, close quote, were used by the agent in the presence of this witness on the occasion on which that tape recording was made?

Ankur Gupta - cross

MR. BRAGG: I don't know if those precise words were,
I would have to listen to the recording, I certainly know
something very close to that was said. I think counsel has
ably made the point, so this would be cumulative. I do believe
something very close to those words were said.

THE COURT: Which counsel made the point it was cumulative?

MR. BRAGG: I believe the testimony as a general matter has already reflected the tenor and substance of the conversation, that these two specific points would be cumulative. That is point one. The second point is, yes, I do believe as I stand here that these remarks were made. I would have to confirm by listening to the tape at those two time stamps, but the substance of it certainly was something that was conveyed.

(Pause)

THE COURT: As to the first, I am looking at the draft transcript at page 68, starting at 67, the substance of that quote, Mr. Ross, you have already got. The witness said, he said I recall that he said that if I recall him saying the attorney needs to speak, but that nothing to do with the bearing what we can provide further.

"Q. Did he tell you that in these words you have to find someone?

"A. I don't recall.

```
19f4dat3
```

Ankur Gupta - cross

- "Q. Let me go through all of these. Do you recall being told if you guys don't come up with something the lawyers will have to speak?
- 4 "A. Yes.
- 5 "Q. Do you recall that he told you that we are going to have to be more -- something?"
- 7 I don't know what the something was.
- 8 MR. ROSS: It's aggressive.
- 9 THE COURT: -- aggressive. OK.
- 10 "A. Yes.
- 11 "Q. And to tell you you need to be more aggressive?
- 12 "A. Yes.
- 13 "Q. Did he tell you I don't care what you tell him?
- 14 "A. I don't recall.
- 15 "Q. Do you recall him telling you whatever it takes, generate something?
- 17 "A. Something to that effect."
- I think you've got it. Next. I guess that is it actually; that covers both of them, doesn't it?
- 20 MR. ROSS: It does.
- 21 THE COURT: It seems to me that it's cumulative and we 22 are not going to take the time. Let's bring the jury back.
- MR. ROSS: Very well.
- (Continued on next page)

25

Ankur Gupta - cross 19f4dat3 (Jury entersleaves courtroom) 1 The record will reflect the presence of THE COURT: 2 jurors and the defendant as they have been here throughout. 3 4 Any further questions? MR. ROSS: No, your Honor, thank you. 5 THE COURT: Any redirect? 6 7 MR. BRAGG: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. You are excused. 8 (Witness excused) 9 Next witness. THE COURT: 10 The government calls Roxana Beltran. MR. BRAGG: 11 12 ROXANA BELTRAN, called as a witness by the Government, 13 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 14 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BRAGG: 16 17 How old are you? Α. 31. 18 How far did you go in school? 19 Q. 20 Α. 12th grade. Where do you work? 21 Q. La Versailles perfume store. 22 A. What does La Versailles do? 23 Q.

A. We sell perfume.

24

25

Q. Where specifically do you work for La Versailles?

- Beltran direct
- 1 A. In San Ysidro, California.
- 2 | Q. What type of facility do you work in?
- 3 A. In the perfume area.
- 4 Q. Do you work in a retail store?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. How long have you worked at La Versailles?
- 7 A. For about a year and three months.
- 8 Q. You started in about May 2010?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Have you worked at the same store the entire time?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Where is the store located?
- 13 A. 4440 San Ysidro Boulevard.
- 14 Q. When you first started what was your position?
- 15 A. Sales representative.
- 16 Q. Did your position change over time?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. What were the various roles you held?
- 19 A. Currently, I am a store manager.
- 20 Q. Did you do anything between sales and being a store
- 21 manager?
- 22 A. Repeat that.
- 23 Q. Did you hold any other positions besides sales and now
- 24 being a store manager?
- 25 A. Yes.

Beltran - direct

- 1 Q. What position?
- 2 A. Just those two positions I held for that time.
- 3 Q. When did you become a store manager?
- 4 A. Three months after, 3 or 4 months after I got hired.
- 5 Q. What was your position in late August 2010?
- 6 A. Manager.
- 7 Q. The store manager position?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. What are your responsibilities as store manager?
- 10 A. Open, close the store, audit registers, report sales to
- 11 bookkeeper, order merchandise.
- 12 Q. Is the San Ysidro store located close to the United
- 13 | States-Mexico border?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. How close?
- 16 A. About a mile and a half.
- 17 Q. Are most of your customers from Mexico?
- 18 | A. 50/50 I believe.
- 19 Q. When you say 50/50?
- 20 A. 50 from Mexico and 50 from the U.S.
- 21 | Q. At your store the time you have been there, many purchases
- 22 || for over \$10,000 did you get involved in?
- 23 A. Just one.
- 24 Q. When was that purchase?
- 25 A. When?

- Beltran direct
- 1 Q. Yes. Not a specific date, the general time period.
- 2 A. In August.
- 3 Q. August 2010?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Do you know an employee at La Versailles named Cynthia?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Do you know her last name?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Have you ever met her in person?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. How do you know her?
- 12 A. I know her by phone.
- 13 Q. What's your understanding what her job is at La Versailles?
- 14 A. She is in charge of the warehouse and she is the person we
- contact to order merchandise or let her know what perfume we
- 16 don't have in the store at the moment.
- 17 Q. Do you know where the warehouse is located?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. How frequently in connection with your job duties are you
- 20 in contact with Cynthia?
- 21 A. One to three times a week.
- 22 Q. Did you have a conversation with Cynthia in late August
- 23 2010 about the order over \$10,000 you just testified about?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Was that conversation on the phone?

Beltran - direct

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Did you call her or did she call you?
- 3 A. I called her.
- 4  $\parallel$ Q. What did you talk with her about on that phone
- 5 | conversation?
- 6 A. That there was a customer that sent an email.
- 7 | Q. What was the email about?
- 8 A. About us filling an order for the customer.
- 9 Q. Did you talk to Cynthia on that call about your store at
- 10 San Ysidro filling the order?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. What did you say to her and what did she say to you?
- 13 A. I told her a customer had sent an email and had called
- 14 wanting a few perfumes and she said to go ahead and help him
- 15 | out with the order. And then I told her that the customer
- 16 wanted just certain perfumes, the full amount, and I told her
- 17 | that he didn't want any different perfumes, just the ones he
- 18 | wanted, the ones he had listed on the list he sent through
- 19 | email.
- 20 | Q. Did you have the ones wanted in your store?
- 21 A. Not that amount.
- 22 | Q. Did you relay that to Cynthia?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. What did she say in response if anything?
- 25 A. For us to sell other stuff that we had at the store besides

Beltran - direct

- 1 the ones he was asking for.
- 2  $\blacksquare$ Q. After that phone call did he speak with Cynthia again about
- 3 this customer?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. When was that?
- 6 A. It was the same day.
- 7 Q. Also on the phone, correct?
- 8 A. Yes, over the phone.
- 9 Q. What did you talk about with Cynthia on that phone
- 10 | conversation?
- 11 A. That I had talked to the customer and let him know that, I
- 12 had asked the customer if he wanted other stuff besides the
- 13 ones on list which he said no. That's when I called Cynthia
- 14 back letting are her know that. I told her she would have to
- 15 take the customer, for her, like, for her to deal with the
- 16 ||customer.
- 17 Q. What was Cynthia's response if anything?
- 18 A. She, that she would talk to him.
- 19 Q. Did you, did she say anything else on that phone call?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 | Q. Did you at any point in the future interact with the
- 22 | customer again?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. When was that in relation to when you spoke with Cynthia on
- 25 the phone the second time?

Beltran - direct

- A. The same time; right after I spoke to Cynthia I called him back and let him know that Cynthia was going to help him out with the order.
- Q. Do you know if Cynthia was able to help him out with the order?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7  $\mathbb{Q}$ . How so?
- A. She talked to him over the phone I believe and she talked to him over the phone and let him know that we were going to fill his order from the warehouse.
- Q. Did you receive perfumes from the warehouse in connection with his order?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. How long after your phone conversation, the second one with Cynthia, did you receive perfume from the warehouse?
- 16 A. 3 or 4 days after.
- Q. What did you do after you received the perfume in your store if anything?
  - A. I actually called the customer to let him know the merchandise was there and that he was able to pick it up.
- 21  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Did the customer come to the store and pick it up?
- 22 A. Yes.

19

20

25

- 23 Q. How did the customer pay?
- 24 A. In cash.
  - Q. Approximately how much was the order for?

Beltran - direct

- 1 A. 38,000.
- 2 | Q. Did he pay you the total amount at that time?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 | Q. Had he paid some portion previously to the store?
- 5 A. Yes.
- THE COURT: Was what he paid you the difference between the 38,000 and what he had paid previously?
- THE WITNESS: He had paid 20 when he first visited the store and then the rest he paid after.
- 10 THE COURT: So on the occasion he came back to pick up
  11 the merchandise, he gave you 18,000 in cash; is that what you
  12 are telling us?
- 13 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 14 BY MR. BRAGG:
- Q. While the customer was in the store, did anyone call the store?
- 17 A. Did what?
- 18 Q. Did anyone call the store while the customer was there?
- 19 A. Mr. Datta.
- 20 Q. Did you speak to Mr. Datta?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. What did he say to you?
- 23 A. He wanted me to get the customer on the phone.
- 24 Q. Did you know how Mr. Datta knew the customer was there?
- 25 A. I believe in the cameras that we have at the store.

Beltran - direct

- 1 Q. Surveillance cameras?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. Did Mr. Datta and the customer -- did you hand the phone to
- 4 | the customer?
- 5 A. I said to him Mr. Datta was on the phone, he would like to
- 6 speak to you. The customer said, tell him I don't have any
- 7 I time right now, I will call him later. Then I said, I told
- 8 Mr. Delta the answer of the customer and Mr. Data said to get
- 9 him on the phone. So, the customer got on the phone and after
- 10 | that I don't know, they just spoke.
- 11 Q. Did you speak back to Mr. Datta after the customer spoke to
- 12 | him?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. When you were on the phone with Mr. Datta did he ask you to
- 15 get identification from the customer?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 O. Did you provide this customer with a receipt of any sort?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 MR. BRAGG: If I have could have on the monitor for
- 20 the witness Exhibit 704 for identification.
- 21 | Q. Do you recognize what has been marked Exhibit 704?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. How do you recognize it?
- 24 A. My writing is on the receipt.
- 25 | O. What is it?

Beltran - direct

- 1 A. It says recivi el resto, which means I received the rest.
- Q. Is this the receipt you are referring to that you handed to
- 3 the customer that day?
- 4 A. Yes.

5

8

- MR. BRAGG: The government offers 704.
- 6 MR. ROSS: No objection.
- 7 THE COURT: Received.
  - (Government Exhibit 704 received in evidence)
- 9 Q. On the right-hand corner it says --
- 10 THE COURT: We can all read it.
- MR. BRAGG: You can take 704 down.
- 12 Q. The money you received, the cash you received from the
- 13 customer that day, what did you do with it?
- 14 A. I received it, I counted it when the customer gave it to
- 15 | me, I gave him change back, and I put it in the safe.
- 16 Q. After you put it in the safe what did you do?
- 17 A. Deposit in the bank account.
- 18 Q. 701 and 702, do you recognize 701?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. How do you recognize it?
- 21 A. The amount.
- 22 Q. What is it?
- 23 A. \$20,000.
- 24 | Q. What is the exhibit as a general matter?
- 25 A. What is what?

```
19f4dat3
```

Beltran - direct

- 1 Q. What is it that you are looking at?
- 2 A. That's the amount.

THE COURT: The deposit slip for the bank.

- THE WITNESS: Yes, Bank of America.
- 5 Q. Look at 702. Is that also a deposit slip?
- 6 A. Yes.

3

4

- 7 O. Do you know what these deposit slips relate to?
- 8 A. To the 40,000.
- 9 Q. How do you know that?
- 10 A. Because this one, the previous one was deposited before,
- 11 the 20.
- 12 Q. How do you know that?
- 13 A. Because another employee deposited this receipt and then I
- 14 deposited the \$20,000 one.
- MR. BRAGG: The government offers 701 and 702.
- MR. ROSS: No objection.
- 17 THE COURT: Received.
- 18 (Government Exhibits 701, 702 received in evidence)
- 19 10. Exhibit 702 which is on the screen, there is some writing
- 20 at the top. Is that your handwriting?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. What does it say?
- 23 A. Attention Yolanda from San Ysidro store.
- 24 0. Who is Yolanda?
- 25 A. She is the bookkeeper.

# 19f4dat3 Beltran - direct

- 1 Q. Do you know where she is located?
- 2 A. No, not exactly the store, no.
- 3 | Q. Did you send this deposit slip to Yolanda?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Was it the store's practice to send bank deposits like this
- 6 to Yolanda?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Did Yolanda ask you to fill out any paperwork in connection
- 9 | with this deposit slip?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Did Cynthia ever ask you to fill out any paperwork in
- 12 connection with the order that the customer placed?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Did Mr. Datta ask you at any time to fill out any paperwork
- in connection with that purchase?
- 16 A. No.
- MR. BRAGG: No further questions.
- THE COURT: Thank you.
- 19 Members of the jury, 2:00.
- 20 (Lunch recess)
- 21 (Continued on next page)

22

23

24

25

Beltran - cross

## AFTERNOON SESSION 1 2 p.m. 2 ROXANA BELTRAN, 3 4 Resumed, and testified further as follows: 5 (Jury present) THE CLERK: Please be seated. 6 THE COURT: All right. The defendant and the jurors 7 all are present. 8 Let's continue. 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. WHITE: 11 Q. Good afternoon, Ms. Beltran. 12 You testified that in August of 2010 you received an 13 e-mail from a customer ordering a large quantity of perfumes, 14 correct? 15 Α. Correct. 16 OK. I'd like to show you what's marked as Defendant's 17 Ο. Exhibit A, for identification, and ask you if you recognize 18 19 that? 20 Α. Correct. Ο. What is that? 21 It's an e-mail that we received from the customer of the 22 perfumes he wanted. 23 MR. WHITE: Your Honor, I offer Defendant's Exhibit A 24 25 in evidence.

Beltran - cross

- 1 MR. BRAGG: No objection, your Honor.
- 2 | THE COURT: Received.
- 3 (Defendant's Exhibit A received in evidence)
- 4 BY MR. WHITE:
- 5 Q. And the e-mail is from is it Jose Correa?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7  $\mathbb{Q}$ . And the e-mail is addressed as Correa Perfumera at
- 8 | Gmail.com?
- 9 THE COURT: I'm assuming literacy on the part of all involved in the trial. We don't have to have responsive
- 11 readings.
- MR. WHITE: I understand, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Thank you.
- 14 Q. Now, this order, was it different from the type of business
- 15 you usually conducted at the San Ysidro store?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. In size, in the volume of business that it was seeking to
- 18 | conduct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. What was the usual sale in the San Ysidro store?
- 21 A. Usual wholesales are 15, 20 hundred, 500, 600.
- 22 Q. That would be the usual wholesale order, you say?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And did you also do retail sales?
- 25 A. Correct.

Beltran - cross

- 1 Q. What would the average retail sale be or the range of
- 2 || retail sales?
- 3 A. Depending on how many perfumes a customer took,
- 4 | 100-something, 120, 130, 140.
- 5 Q. And this I think you testified this order was for almost
- 6 \$40,000 worth of perfume?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 | Q. And up until this time, what was the largest transaction
- 9 you had done?
- 10 A. 16, 1600 maybe.
- 11 Q. So this was an unusual transaction in size, wasn't it?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And did the San Ysidro store have this kind of inventory,
- 14 sufficient inventory to fill an order like this?
- THE COURT: Mr. White, I hate to interrupt but this is
- 16 very repetitious.
- MR. WHITE: Of the direct, your Honor?
- 18 THE COURT: Yes. We just heard this whole thing about
- 19 calling back to Laredo because they didn't have the inventory.
- 20 So why go over it all again?
- 21 MR. WHITE: Just the unusual nature of the
- 22 transaction, your Honor.
- THE COURT: I mean, if there is some other purpose, by
- 24 | all means, but I'm not seeing it.
- 25 BY MR. WHITE:

Beltran - cross

- Q. You testified that you did not ask the customer at any stage for identification, correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. And you didn't prepare, or you neither prepared nor were
- told to prepare any special paperwork regarding this sale,
- 6 | correct?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. You weren't told to prepare any forms to submit to a bank
- or forms to submit to the government, nothing like that?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Had you ever had a transaction where you had to fill out
- 12 | such forms?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Had you had transactions where you had to ask for
- 15 | identification?
- 16 MR. BRAGG: Objection.
- 17 THE COURT: Ground?
- 18 MR. BRAGG: "Had to."
- 19 THE COURT: Sustained.
  - Q. Did you ever have transactions where you asked for
- 21 | identification?
- 22 A. Yes.

20

- 23 Q. And under what circumstances would you ask for
- 24 identification?
- 25 A. When a customer's paying with an international credit card,

Beltran - cross

- 1 we asked for identification.
- 2 Q. You asked for what? Identification?
- 3 A. Identification, yes.
- 4 Q. And why is that?
- 5 A. Because we charge 3 percent more from the total charge of
- 6 | the sale. So when it is international we charge 3 percent more
- 7 and we ask for an ID.
- 8 Q. Showing you Government Exhibit 704 in -- well, if we could
- 9 put up 704, please?
- Do you see on Exhibit 704, Ms. Beltran, there is some
- 11 handwriting going across the page, from the lower left to the
- 12 upper right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. What is that?
- 15 A. That's the customer's signature.
- 16 Q. That was the customer's signature?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So the customer -- and what's the signature on? What is
- 19 | this document?
- 20 A. It's an invoice -- it's a receipt, a receipt of the items
- 21 that were purchased.
- Q. And did you keep a copy of this receipt at the store?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And where was it kept?
- 25 A. In a file.

```
19fddat4
```

Beltran - cross

- Q. How was -- is your filing system set up by customer, by day of the week, or by how?
  - A. By customer.
    - Q. So you set up a file for this customer in your store?
- 5 A. Yes.

3

4

8

- 6 MR. WHITE: No further questions, your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: Thank you. Any redirect?

(Pause)

- 9 MR. BRAGG: No questions, your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You are excused,
- 11 Ms. Beltran.
- 12 Next witness.
- 13 MR. BRAGG: The government calls Jose Correa.
- 14 (Witness excused)
- 15 THE CLERK: Sir, if you can please remain standing and
- 16 raise your right hand for a moment.
- 17 JOSE CORREA,
- called as a witness by the government,
- 19 | having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
- THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state your name
- 21 and spell your last name.
- THE WITNESS: Yes. My name is Jose Correa, last name
- 23 | is C-o-r-r-e-a.
- 24 THE COURT: Counsel.
- 25 DIRECT EXAMINATION

- 1 BY MR. BRAGG:
- 2 Q. Are you working currently, sir?
- 3 A. No. I'm retired.
- 4 | Q. Do you do any sort of work right now?
- 5 A. Yes. I do consulting for an attorney out of Florida.
- 6 Q. What did you do prior to retiring?
- 7 A. I was a detective assigned to the DEA Task Force.
- 8 Q. Where were you assigned there from? What was your home
- 9 office?
- 10 A. The Passaic County Sheriff's Department in New Jersey.
- 11 Q. How long did you work for the Passaic County Sheriff's
- 12 | Department?
- 13 A. I worked for a total of 22 years. 16 of those years was
- 14 with the DEA.
- 15 Q. What was the assignment when you were with the DEA?
- 16 A. I was assigned to a narcotics money laundering task force
- 17 ||group.
- 18 | Q. What did the Task Force do?
- 19 A. We investigated narcotics and money laundering cases.
- 20 Q. Which agencies were members of the Task Force?
- 21 A. There was quite a few of them. There was the Passaic
- 22 County Sheriff's Department, the Hudson County Sheriff's
- Department, the Bayonne Police, Paterson Police, Elizabeth
- Police, a few others, along with agents from the DEA.
- Q. OK. Who was in charge of the Task Force?

- 1 A. Special Agent Chris Urban.
- 2 | Q. Is he a special agent with the Drug Enforcement
- 3 Administration?
- 4 A. Yes, he is.
- 5 Q. Did he have the authority, to your knowledge, to
- 6 investigate money laundering crimes?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And your work on the Task Force, was it under his
- 9 direction?
- 10 A. Yes, it was.
- 11 Q. Did there come a point when you became involved in your
- work at the Task Force in an investigation of a person named
- 13 . || Vikram Datta?
- 14 A. Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. What was your role in the investigation?
- 16 A. I worked as an undercover in the case.
- 17 | Q. Do you see Mr. Datta in the courtroom today?
- MR. ROSS: Your Honor, we would stipulate to the
- 19 identity of Mr. Datta, if the government wishes.
- 20 THE COURT: So stipulated.
- 21 Q. When was your first interaction with Mr. Datta?
- 22 A. I believe it was August of 2010.
- 23 Q. Let me ask you this. Did you meet Mr. Datta one time or
- 24 more than one time?
- 25 A. No, just once.

Correa - direct

- 1 Q. And did you -- did there come a point in time when you went
- 2 to one of his stores?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 O. When was the time when you actually met Mr. Datta?
- 5 A. I met Mr. Datta -- I can't recall the exact date, but I'm
- 6 assuming it was in the summer of 2010. I don't remember.
- 7 Q. OK. Where was it? Do you remember where it was?
- 8 A. Yes. It was in Las Vegas. It was at a convention center
- 9 in one of the hotels there.
- 10 Q. And what were the circumstances under which you met
- 11 Mr. Datta?
- 12 A. The purpose was for us to go out and see if we can generate
- 13 some business with him.
- 14 Q. Well, how did it come to be that you met him?
- 15 A. I was introduced to Mr. Datta by Ankur Gupta.
- 16 Q. Who is Ankur Gupta?
- 17 A. Ankur Gupta is one of the cooperating witnesses in the
- 18 case.
- 19 Q. And when you were introduced by Mr. Gupta, who else was
- 20 present, if anyone?
- 21 A. His father.
- 22 Q. Do you know his father's name?
- 23 A. I think it was Ajay Gupta. I can't remember.
- 24 Q. And were you accompanied by anyone?
- 25 A. I was accompanied about a confident informant, Roberto.

- Q. And when Mr. Gupta introduced you -- withdrawn. Did he also introduce Roberto?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. When Mr. Gupta introduced you and Roberto, who did he
- 5 represent that you were?
- 6 A. He told the defendant that we were customers from Mexico
- 7 and that we were there to see if we can generate some business
- and that we purchased perfume on a cash basis.
- 9 Q. During this conversation, did you tell Mr. Datta how much perfume you wanted to purchase?
- 11 A. Yes. During the conversation we decided that it would be
- between 50 and 70,000 or \$60,000 in cash every time we decided
- 13 to do so.
- 14 Q. What, if anything, was Mr. Datta's response to the amount?
- A. He laughed it off and said it was -- that was peanuts,
- pennies for him.
- Q. During that conversation, did Mr. Datta say anything to you
- about how his customers pay?
- 19 A. Yes. He mentioned that all his customers or the majority
- of his customers pay in cash.
- 21 Q. While you were with Mr. Datta, did he call anyone?
- 22 A. Yes. He made a phone call to one of his workers in the
- 23 Laredo office, Cynthia.
- Q. Did he ask you to speak to Cynthia?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Did you?
- 2 A. Yes, I did.
- 3 | Q. And what did you speak about?
- 4 A. The purpose of the call was just for him to exchange some
- 5 | information. She wanted my fax number so they can send me a
- 6 Copy or a list of the prices on the perfumes that Mr. Gupta was
- 7 selling.
- 8 Q. You don't -- do you recall the date of this meeting, sir?
- 9 A. No, I don't.
- MR. BRAGG: OK. Ms. Quinones, could you call up
- 11 | 101-T. I believe it is actually already in evidence.
- 12 A. Am I looking on this screen here?
- 13 Q. It should come up on your screen, sir.
- What is -- are you familiar with 101-T?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And I know you just have the first page in front of you,
- 17 but is this the first page of a transcript of the introduction
- 18 you just testified about?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Is this your signature, the first one on the upper
- 21 | right-hand corner?
- 22 | A. Yes, it is.
- 23 Q. Does seeing the date here refresh your recollection as to
- 24 | on what date this conversation occurred?
- 25 A. Yes. September 9, 2011.

- 1 Q. Is that the date you signed, September 9, 2011?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. What was the date of this introduction meeting?
- 4 A. I'm assuming it might have been August of 2011.
- 5 Q. Do you see the date field, sir?
- 6 A. Oh, I'm sorry. March 2nd.
- 7 | Q. So after this initial introduction, did there come a point
- 8 in time where you actually purchased perfume from one of
- 9 Mr. Datta's stores?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Approximately how long after this first meeting was that?
- 12 A. Four months later, I would assume, if I can remember
- correctly.
- 14 Q. And what did you do to go about placing the order?
- 15 A. We went to the store, which was in the San Ysidro area of
- 16 California. And I spoke with a young lady behind the counter,
- her name was Laura. And I told her that I was there on behalf
- of -- I was there to purchase some perfume and that I had
- 19 spoken to Mr. Datta in reference to it and he had told me to
- 20 come down to the store to do so.
- 21 Q. And were you able to fill that order that day?
- 22 A. No. I wasn't able to fill the order because I had \$40,000
- 23 | in cash, and the young lady told me that she couldn't fill the
- 24 | order because she didn't have enough perfume in that sore to
- 25 | fill that order. She told me that if I wanted to, I could fill

3

- the order through the Laredo store and have it shipped over to San Ysidro at which point I would pick it up.
  - Q. Did you leave any money at the store that day?
- 4  $\blacksquare$ A. Yes. On that particular day I went to the store, and while
- 5 I was speaking with Laura, I told her that I had \$40,000 in
- 6 cash and I didn't want to hold on to it, would she take at
- 7 | least half of the money so that I can hold on to the rest. And
- 8 she didn't have a problem with that.
- 9 Q. And after that interaction, did you speak again to Cynthia?
- 10 A. Yes. After that transaction I did speak with Cynthia,
- 11 because Cynthia was one who was filling the order for us out of
- 12 the Laredo, Texas store.
- Q. And what did you say to Cynthia and what did she say to
- 14 you?
- 15 A. Basically the conversations that I had with Cynthia was
- 16 basically placing the order and her shipping it to me, but that
- was pretty much the extent of the conversations that I had with
- 18 her throughout that time.
- 19 Q. OK. How long did it take before you were able to actually
- 20 physically take possession of the perfume?
- 21 A. Approximately ten days.
- 22 Q. And did you go back to the San Ysidro store to get the
- 23 perfume?
- A. Yes. There was a date where I went back, which was
- approximately ten days later we went back. I received a phone

|  | 1 | .9 | £ | d | d | a | t | 4 |
|--|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|--|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|

#### Correa - direct

- call that the perfume had arrived at the San Ysidro store. At that point I went back and paid the remainder of the money and took the perfume and shipped it out to -- took it to FedEx and shipped it out.
- Q. Let me just show you 704, already in evidence, your Honor.

  Is this a receipt you received on that second day?
- 7 A. Yes.

8

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. And is that in the middle, is that your signature?
- 9 A. That is correct.
- 10 Q. Actually, I should say that's the signature that you used
  11 as an undercover, correct?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 MR. BRAGG: Now, if we could just show 705, just to 14 the witness, Ms. Quinones.
  - Q. Do you recognize what's been marked for identification as Government Exhibit 705?
  - A. Yes, sir. That's the actual handwritten receipt that was given to me by Lara the first time I went to the store, and the amount was for \$20,000.
    - MR. BRAGG: The government offers Exhibit 705.
    - MR. ROSS: Without objection, your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: Received.
- (Government's Exhibit 705 received in evidence)
- MR. BRAGG: You can take the exhibit down. Thank you.
- 25 Q. While you were at the store picking up the perfume, did you

Correa - direct

- 1 speak with Mr. Datta?
- 2 A. Yes, I did.

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Did he call you or did you call him?
- A. No. He called the store and told one of the girls that he wanted to speak to me.
- Q. Did you actually -- well, then you actually spoke to him, right?
  - A. Yes, I did speak with him.
- 9 Q. During that call, what did he say to you and what did you say to him?
  - A. Basically, the conversation was he was apologizing to me because of what had happened. I couldn't fill the order there so I had to stay in San Diego for quite some time to have that order filled. And I told him that wasn't a problem and he said that it wouldn't happen again.

At that moment he asked me if I was going to be around the following week because he wanted to know -- because he was under the assumption that I traveled to San Diego a lot. So I told him that I may be, and that he wanted to talk to myself and to Mario.

At that point I said to him, listen, would it be a problem if the next time around I give you -- I bring the money to the store, I give the cash to the store, and then place the order through Laredo, Texas, at which point he said there was no problem with that.

Correa - direct

- 1 Q. Which store are you talking about?
- 2 A. I'm talking about I would bring the money to the San Ysidro
- 3 store and then give the money to the people at the San Ysidro
- 4 store and then place the order from Laredo and then Laredo
- would ship the perfume over to San Ysidro and I would receive
- 6 lit there.
- 7 | Q. Did you tell Mr. Datta why you wanted to proceed that way?
- 8 A. Yes. The reason why was because I was receiving a lot of
- 9 money in San Diego and I didn't want to move through other
- 10 states with a large amount of currency on me.
- MR. BRAGG: One moment, your Honor.
- No further questions, your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: Thank you. Cross-examination.
- MR. ROSS: Thank you, your Honor.
- 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 16 BY MR. ROSS:
- 17 Q. Mr. Correa, good afternoon, sir.
- 18 A. Good afternoon, sir.
- 19 Q. Mr. Correa, the exhibit that was put in front of you,
- 20 | 101-T, is a transcript that purports to be a meeting that you
- 21 had at the Las Vegas show with Mr. Datta, correct?
- 22 A. Correct, sir.
- 23 | O. That is the first time you ever met him?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 Q. You were introduced, as I understand it, by these fellows

Correa - cross

- 1 | Ankur and Ajay Gupta along with Roberto?
- 2 A. Correct, sir.
- 3 Q. Roberto is a CI?
- 4 A. He is a confidential informant.
- 5 Q. OK. And you two were wholesalers from Mexico?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. I mean, that was the role you were playing?
- 8 | A. Correct.
- 9 Q. That's the way you were introduced?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. OK. So you met with him. We haven't heard this tape,
- which is why I'm asking you. Did you ever tell him that,
- during the course of this March 2nd meeting, that you worked
- 14 | for the Sinaloa Cartel?
- THE COURT: I'm sorry. Did he ever tell who?
- 16 MR. ROSS: Mr. Datta, your Honor.
- 17 | THE COURT: Are you asking whether he told him during
- 18 the meeting which is on the tape?
- 19 MR. ROSS: Yes, sir.
- THE COURT: Go ahead and answer.
- 21 A. No, sir.
- 22 | Q. Now, that is dated March 2nd. You arrived in Las Vegas
- 23 ||prior to that day, did you not?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And you met with Roberto, the confidential informant, and

Correa - cross

- the two cooperating witnesses, Ankur and Ajay Gupta? 1
- 2 Α. That's correct.
- Did you have a conversation with Ankur and Ajay Gupta and 3
- Roberto about the level of aggressiveness with which they were 4
- assisting in your investigation of Mr. Datta. 5
- No, sir. Α. 6
- You did not on March 1st say to Ankur and Ajay Gupta OK. 7
- that --8

9

- "I want to see if I can drive this home to you. think you don't think it's a big problem. The problem that you 10 guys have, you may not think it's a big deal." 11
- -- do you recall that? 12
- Yes, sir, I do recall that but Roberto wasn't present. 13 Α.
- Ankur and Ajay Gupta? 14 Q. OK.
- Α. Yes, sir. 15
- So you told them that. And you told them that it is in 16
- fact a big deal and that you did not believe that they were 17
- being as aggressive as you thought they should be? 18
- Yes, sir, that is correct. 19
- And did you tell them that you're trying to be as nice as 20
- you could possibly be but what I am trying to say is that we 21
- need to start getting some results? 22
- Yes, sir, that is correct. 23
- Did you tell them that you need to start being a little 24
- more aggressive, you need to reach out, you have to find 25

Correa - cross

- 1 someone?
- 2 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- 3 O. Did you tell them that doing what we ask of you is not
- 4 | enough; did you tell him that?
- 5 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- 6 | Q. And did you go on to tell them that we need to start
- 7 || getting involved and getting into some of these people?
- 8 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- 9 Q. And this is at a perfume show and you are referring to a
- 10 group of perfume dealers?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. OK. Did you tell them that I don't care what you tell
- 13 | them?
- 14 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- 15 Q. You have to be more aggressive?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 | Q. I don't care what you tell them, generate something?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Do whatever it takes?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- 21 | Q. These are your instructions to two cooperating witnesses
- who are acting in an undercover capacity?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. OK. Specifically regarding Vikram Datta, did you say, make
- sure you make him want to work with us?

Correa - cross

- 1 A. If I can remember correctly, yes.
- 2 | Q. Did you say to him that we -- meaning your group -- we know
- 3 how to angle them?
- 4 A. Probably so, yes, sir.
- 5 Q. And did you say, you need to be more proactive, you've got
- 6 to set it up?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. At the end of the day, this is for your benefit, we need to
- 9 get some results.
- 10 A. That is correct.
- 11 Q. In response to that, was it true that Ankur Gupta said to
- 12 you, on the last set of phone calls, we did everything we were
- 13 supposed to do, we did everything we were supposed to do, and
- 14 he wouldn't take our money?
- 15 A. If that's on the recording, the answer would be yes, sir.
- THE COURT: Do you remember?
- 17 THE WITNESS: I don't recall at this moment.
- THE COURT: Thank you.
- 19 Q. And then, finally, do you recall, So let's start with
- 20 Vikram, let's really try to get aggressive and get these guys
- 21 ||on board?
- 22 A. That is correct, sir. I did say that.
- MR. ROSS: Thank you. I have nothing else, your
- Honor.
- THE COURT: Thank you. Redirect.

| 11  | Case 1:11-cr-00102-LAK Document 61 Filed 10/27/11 Page 382 of 652 |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
|     | 19fddat4 Correa - cross                                           |
| 1   | MR. BRAGG: No redirect, your Honor.                               |
| 2   | THE COURT: The witness is excused. Thank you.                     |
| 3   | (Witness excused)                                                 |
| 4   | THE COURT: Next witness.                                          |
| 5   | MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, the government calls Special             |
| 6   | Agent Brian Duffy.                                                |
| 7   | THE CLERK: Special Agent Duffy, please remain                     |
| 8   | standing and raise your right hand for a moment.                  |
| . 9 | BRIAN P. DUFFY,                                                   |
| 10  | called as a witness by the government,                            |
| 11  | having been duly sworn, testified as follows:                     |
| 12  | THE CLERK: Please be seated.                                      |
| 13  | Would you please state your name and spell your last              |
| 14  | name for the record.                                              |
| 15  | THE WITNESS: My name is Brian P. Duffy, D-u-f-f-y.                |
| 16  | THE COURT: Mr. Skinner.                                           |
| 17  | MR. SKINNER: Yes, your Honor.                                     |
| 18  | Before we begin, I would like to read a stipulation,              |
| 19  | if that's OK.                                                     |
| 20  | THE COURT: Yes.                                                   |
| 21  | MR. SKINNER: It is stipulated and agreed between the              |
|     |                                                                   |

parties that Government Exhibits 602 and 608 are printouts of commercial checking account records for La Versailles Fragrances, and Government Exhibit 602 and 608 were retrieved from the computer archive system of Wells Fargo Bank.

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

23

24

25

The records reflect that Government Exhibit 602 and 608 were created by a person with knowledge of, or created from information transmitted by a person with knowledge of the information shown, were created at or near the time the information became available to Wells Fargo Bank and were create and maintained by Wells Fargo Bank as part of its regularly conducted business activity.

It is further stipulated and agreed that Government Exhibits 602 and 608 and this stipulation may be received in evidence at trial.

The stipulation I just read is marked as Government Exhibit 809.

The government would hereby offer Government Exhibits 809, 602 and 608.

THE COURT: Received.

(Government's Exhibits 809, 602, 608 received in evidence)

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SKINNER:

- Q. Mr. Duffy, where do you work?
- A. I work for Homeland Security Investigations.
- 22 Q. And what is Homeland Security investigations?
  - A. Homeland Security Investigations is a law enforcement agency that investigates transnational crime.
    - Q. Is this a law enforcement agency that is part of the

Duffy - direct

- 1 | federal government?
- 2 A. Yes, it is.
- 3 | Q. What department within the federal government is Homeland
- 4 Security investigations part of?
- 5 A. It is under the Department of Homeland Security.
- 6 Q. What is your title there?
- 7 A. Special Agent.
- 8 | Q. And how long have you worked as a special agent?
- 9 A. Approximately four-and-a-half years.
- 10 O. Generally speaking, what are your duties and
- 11 responsibilities as a special agent?
- 12 A. Generally, Homeland Security Investigations investigates
- 13 transnational crime and criminal organizations involved in
- 14 smuggling of contraband. Specifically, I'm assigned to a group
- 15 | that investigates the smuggling of narcotics and currency,
- 16 money laundering.
- 17 Q. Now, as part of your training and experience, have you had
- 18 | any training and experience analyzing financial account
- 19 statements like bank account statements?
- 20 A. Yes.
- MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, I would like to publish
- Government Exhibit 602.
- THE COURT: Yes.
- MR. SKINNER: Which was just received in evidence.
- 25 Please call up the first page of 602, please,

- 1 Ms. Quinones. And maybe blow up the top half of the page.
- 2 Q. Can you see the top half of the first page of Government
- 3 Exhibit 602 in front of you, Mr. Duffy?
- 4 **|** A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And did you review this exhibit prior to testifying today?
- 6 A. I have.
- 7 | Q. And can you just tell us what this exhibit is?
- 8 A. This is a Wells Fargo Bank statement for La Versailles
- 9 Fragrances, Laredo, Texas.
- 10 Q. And is there information on the account statement
- 11 | indicating the period of time covered by the statement?
- 12 A. Yes. The start date of March 1, 2010 and a statement end
- 13 date of March 31, 2010.
- MR. SKINNER: Ms. Quinones, can you now call up the
- second page of the document, and blow up the postings relevant
- 16 to March 1st to the beginning of March 3rd.
- 17 Q. And, Agent Duffy, just looking at the second page of the
- 18 account statement, we are now looking -- let me direct your
- attention to the transactions noted on March 1st and
- 20 March 2nd -- can you just briefly describe for us what kind of
- 21 transactions are depicted here?
- 22 A. From March 1st there are approximately four deposits under
- the amount of \$10,000 made at a branch location.
- 24 Q. And then what about for March 2nd?
- 25 A. March 2nd, there are also approximately -- approximately

- eight deposits all under 10,000, with the exception of one which was for 35,000, all made at a branch location.
- Q. All right. The ones that are under 10,000 the notation is they were all made at a branch store?
- 5 A. Correct.
- MR. SKINNER: We can take down Government Exhibit 602.
- Q. Agent Duffy, let me direct your attention to March 2nd of 2010. Were you working on that day?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. And did you participate in a search that was conducted on that day?
- 12 A. I did.
- 13 Q. And where was the search conducted?
- 14 A. The search was conducted in a hotel room in Best Western,
- 15 101 International Way in Newark, New Jersey.
- 16 Q. Roughly, what time was the search conducted?
- 17 A. It was approximately 7 p.m.
- 18 Q. And were you working alone or were you with other people?
- 19 A. With others.
- 20 Q. And generally speaking, just describe what you found when
  21 you searched this hotel room at the Best Western Hotel in north
- 22 New Jersey.
- A. When we searched the hotel room, we found various banking
- documents and electronic items, parts of video games and other
- electronics equipment.

Duffy - direct

- 1 Q. Did you find anybody in the room?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. Did you ask that person what their name was?
- 4 🛮 A. I did.
- 6 A. Their name was Miguel Lara Ramirez.
- 7 Q. Did you find any currency in the room?
- 8 A. We did.

9

- Q. Where was the currency?
- 10 A. The currency was located in a safe inside the hotel room.
- 11 MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, may I approach?
- 12 THE COURT: Yes.
- Q. Special Agent Duffy, I'm showing you what we've marked as
- 14 Government Exhibit 505.
- Did you have an opportunity to review the documents
- 16 contained in that envelope prior to testifying today?
- 17 | A. I did.
- 18 Q. Do you recognize them?
- 19 A. I do.
- 20 | Q. What are they?
- 21 A. There are several bank deposit receipts as well as a bank
- 22 | counter deposit slip that's partially filled out.
- 23 Q. Can we call up the first page of Government Exhibit 602
- again, and just zoom in again at the top portion of the page.
- On the deposit slips, is there any indication of the

```
19fddat4
```

- 1 last four digits of the account number for the deposit slips?
- 2 A. There is. It ends in 0859.
- 3 Q. And on the one slip that you said was partially filled out,
- 4 | is there any full account number on that deposit slip?
- 5 A. There is.
- 6 Q. What is the account number on that deposit slip?
- 7 A. 8964660859.
- 8 Q. Have you compared the deposit receipts to Government
- 9 Exhibit 602 prior to testifying today?
- 10 A. I did.
- 11 Q. Do the deposit receipts match those -- match to some degree
- 12 the deposits that we looked at a moment ago that occurred on
- 13 March 1st and March 2nd?
- 14 A. They do.
- MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, the government offers
- 16 | Exhibit 505.
- MR. WHITE: No objection, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Received.
- (Government's Exhibit 505 received in evidence)
- 20 MR. SKINNER: May I publish it to the jury?
- 21 THE COURT: You may.
- 22 (Pause)
- 23 Q. I'm putting up one of the slips of paper on the screen.
- 24 Can you see that, Agent Duffy?
- 25 A. I can.

- 1 Q. And looking at the slip of paper, does it give any
- 2 indication as to where the deposit was made?
- 3 A. It does.
- 4 Q. Where was this deposit made?
- 5 A. The deposit was made at the Down Neck branch in Newark, New
- 6 Jersey for Wachovia, Wells Fargo.
- 7 Q. Have you looked at all the other deposit slips that were
- 8 contained in the envelope marked Exhibit 505?
- 9 A. I have.
- 10 Q. Where were these deposit receipts for, or where were the
- 11 deposits made, according to the receipts?
- 12 A. They were made at various branch locations in Newark, New
- 13 Jersey.
- 14 Q. If you look at the deposit slip, it indicates deposit on
- 15 March 1st of 2010, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And have you reviewed the dates on all of the deposit slips
- 18 for the receipts that were contained within Government Exhibit
- 19 | 505?
- 20 A. I have.
- 21.  $\mathbb{Q}$ . What were the dates for the deposits that were made on
- 22 those days?
- 23 A. They were March 1st and March 2nd of 2010.
- 24 Q. I'm now going to put up the last piece of paper from
- Government Exhibit 505. Let me ask if you recognize this?

- 1 A. I do.
- Q. And can you describe for us what this is?
- A. This is a bank counter deposit slip that's partially filled
- 4 out in the name of Versailles Fragrances, account number ending
- 5 0859.
- 6 Q. When you say a counter deposit slip, what do you mean?
- 7 A. These are typically blank deposit slips for a banking
- 8 institution that's usually at the counter of the bank.
- 9 Q. All right. So counter deposit slip just refers to where
- 10 you might find this slip?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And was it filled out in this manner with this handwriting
- when you found it in the hotel room on March 2, 2010?
- 14 A. It was.
- 15 Q. It hasn't been altered in any way since then?
- 16 A. It has not.
- MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, may I approach?
- 18 THE COURT: Yes.
- 19  $\mathbb{Q}$ . I am just going to ask you to pull some of these out and
- 20 identify them.
- 21 A. OK.
- 22 O. Special Agent Duffy, I've just handed you a bunch of
- 23 | folders that contain a number of government exhibits, and the
- 24 | first thing I want to do is just go through -- let me ask you
- 25 I first: Have you reviewed all of these exhibits prior to

Duffy - direct

- 1 testifying today?
- 2 A. I have.
- 3 Q. And when did you review them?
- 4 A. Yesterday.
- Q. And I just want to ask you first to identify what these
- 6 different exhibits are.

Looking at Government Exhibit 501, can you tell me what that is?

- 9 A. Yes. This is a copy I made on March 2, 2010 of a passport and immigration documents and a credit card in the name of
- 11 Miguel Lara Ramirez.
- 12 Q. Where did you receive those documents from?
- 13 A. From the hotel room search.
- 14 Q. And can you please look at the little plastic envelopes
- that are marked Government Exhibits 502 and 503, and just tell
- us for identification purposes what those are?
- 17 A. Exhibit 502 are travel documents for Miguel Lara Ramirez.
- 18 Q. Where were these fund?
- 19 A. These were found in the hotel room also.
  - Q. Do they relate to travel within any particular time period?
- 21 A. Yeah. The travel document are dated February 22, 2010.
- 22 Q. Looking at 503, are those travel documents as well?
- 23 A. They are.

20

- Q. And do they -- again, you reviewed these prior to
- 25 testifying today, correct?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. Do they relate to travel that was conducted within any
- 3 particular time period?
- 4 A. They do. For January 16th and the middle of February,
- 5 2010.
- Q. All right. With regard to Government Exhibits 506 through
- 7 | 510, did you review those exhibits prior to testifying today?
- 8 A. I did.
- 9 Q. Generally speaking, can you tell us what each of those
- 10 | exhibits is?
- 11 A. Exhibit 506 are more bank deposit receipts.
- 12 Q. Are all of these exhibits different bank deposit receipts?
- 13 A. They are.
- Q. Do they all concern the rough time period from early March
- 15 of 2010?
- 16 A. They do.
- 17 Q. Where were they found?
- 18 A. They were found in the hotel room.
- 19 Q. All right. With regard to Government Exhibits 511 through
- 20 | 513, can you just describe generally what each of those
- 21 | exhibits is?
- 22 A. Exhibit 511 are various blank counter deposit tickets from
- 23 different bank institutions.
- Exhibit 512 are numerous currency envelopes for
- 25 Wachovia Bank.

| 19 | £ | do | la | t | 4 |
|----|---|----|----|---|---|
|----|---|----|----|---|---|

3

4

### Duffy - direct

Exhibit 513 are currency wrappings for a denomination of \$2,000.

- Q. Can you please look at Government Exhibit 514 and 515.
- A. Exhibit 514 are numerous bank deposit receipts for
- 5 different bank institutions dated March 1st or March 2nd, and
- one in the amount of \$10,000 and the other ones under the
- 7 amount of 10,000.
- 8 0. What about Exhibit 515?
- 9 A. Exhibit 515 is handwritten notes. On the top of it, it
- 10 shows dollar denomination amounts for different bank
- 11 institutions. On the bottom are a list of expenses.
- 12 Q. OK. Now, again, Government Exhibits 502 to 515 are all
- 13 records that you found in the hotel room on March 2nd, is that
- 14 | right?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. And Government Exhibit 501 are copies of identification
- documents that were produced by the occupant of that hotel
- 18 | room?
- 19 A. Yes.
- MR. SKINNER: your Honor the government offers
- 21 Exhibits 501 through 515 inclusive.
- MR. WHITE: No objection, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Let me just check something.
- 24 (Pause)
- MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, my apologies. There is

```
19fddat4
```

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Duffy - direct

actually no Exhibit 504. So it would be 501 to 503 and then
505 to 515.

THE COURT: That's what I was checking. Received.

(Government's Exhibits 501-503 and 505-515 received in evidence)

MR. SKINNER: Thank you, your Honor.

With the Court's permission, I would like to publish certain of these exhibits to the jury.

THE COURT: Sure.

- Q. All right. I'm first putting up Government Exhibit 501.
- Can you just remind us what this is?
- 12 A. These are copies of the identification documents for Miguel
- 13 Lara Ramirez seized from the hotel room.
- 14  $\mathbb{Q}$ . This is the individual from the hotel room.
- 15 A. It is.
- 16 Q. One of these documents is a passport, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Where is Mr. Ramirez according to this document a citizen,
- 19 | a national of?
- 20 A. Mexico.
- Q. Now, I'm going to put up some of the documents from the
- 22 envelope marked 502.
  - You indicated that these were all travel-related records found in the hotel room, correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

23

24

- 1 | Q. And was the travel related to a particular time period?
- 2 A. I can't see the ones.
- 3 Q. Let me put one up. I'm putting up the first document in
- 4 the envelope. Do you recognize that?
- 5 A. Yes. It is a travel document for Miguel Lara dated
- 6 February 22, 2010.
- 7 Q. What kind of document does this appear to you to be?
- 8 A. A portion of a boarding pass or a receipt.
- 9  $\mathbf{Q}$ . All right. The flight from where to where?
- 10 A. It originated in Guadalajara, Mexico, and was destined for
- 11 Monterey, Mexico.
- 12 Q. On February 22nd?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. Putting up the next document contained in the envelope.
- This another boarding pass?
- 16 A. It is.
- Q. This is a boarding pass from where to where?
- 18 A. It is from Monterey, Mexico, to New York JFK on
- 19 February 22, 2010.
- 20 Q. I'm putting up another document from the envelope. What is
- 21 | this?
- 22 A. This is a business card for the Best Western, Newark
- 23 Airport, 101 International Way, Newark, New Jersey.
- 24 Q. This the Best Western that you conducted the search of?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. And what does this document appear to be?
- 2 A. It appears to be an ATM receipt.
- Q. Showing what kind of transaction, when and where?
- 4 A. It appears to be a withdrawal at Best Western, 101
- International Way, Newark, New Jersey, on February 22, 2010.
- 6 Q. And the last document from this particular envelope, have
- 7 you seen this before testifying today?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. What does it appear to you?
- 10 A. It appears to be an envelope for a rental receipt from
- 11 | Dollar Rental.
- 12 Q. I'm now going to put up a few of the documents from the
- 13 envelope marked Government Exhibit 503.
- You testified earlier that these are other documents,
- 15 travel-related document, related to a different trip, is that
- 16 | right?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. So the first one we are going to put up, what does that
- document appear to you to be?
- 20 A. It is a seat request for Miguel Lara traveling Delta
- 21 Airlines from Guadalajara, Mexico to Atlanta, Georgia, on
- 22 January 12, 2010.
- Q. And then putting this one up, what is this?
- A. This is a boarding pass for Miguel Lara for
- January 16th originating in Atlanta; destination New York JFK.

19fddat4

Duffy - direct

- 1 Q. What is the date of this one?
- 2 A. January 16th.
- Q. And what does this document appear to be?
- 4 A. It is a passenger receipt for Miguel Lara.
- 5 Q. All right. Is there any notation here as to the form of
- 6 payment?
- 7 A. There are. Cash.
- 8 Q. Let me ask you what this document appears to you to be?
- 9 A. It is a hotel receipt for Miguel Lara.
- 10 Q. From a hotel located where, according to the receipt?
- 11 A. Jamaica, New York.
- 12 Q. Is there any indication on there as to how the room was
- 13 paid for?
- 14 | A. Cash.
- 15  $\|Q$ . And is there a time period for the room rental?
- 16  $\|A$ . There is. February 1, 2010 -- or January 25, 2010 to
- 17 February 1, 2010.
- 18 ||Q. Putting up another document. Does this also appear to be a
- 19 | Comfort Inn receipt?
- 20 A. It does.
- 21 Q. What is this a receipt for?
- 22 A. For a safe rental on January 28, 2010.
- 23 O. And the last two documents from Government Exhibit 302. I
- 24 ||will put them together. What are these documents?
- 25 A. This is again a hotel receipt from Red Roof Inn on

19fddat4

Duffy - direct

- 1 | February 11, 2010 for Miguel Lara. The location is
- 2 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- Q. And this down here, this is a business card from Red Roof
- 4 Inn?
- 5 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. All right. Now, you've testified earlier that Exhibits 506
- 7 to 510 were all deposit receipts that were found in the hotel
- 8 room; is that correct?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. So just looking at two of the ones from Government Exhibit
- 11 506, what do these deposit receipts reflect, based on your
- 12 training and experience?
- 13 A. These are bank deposit receipts, Wachovia, Wells Fargo, for
- 14 account ending 4679.
- 15 Q. What were the deposit amounts?
- 16 A. The amount on March 2, 2010, at 9:12 a.m., was 5,000.
- The second one was March 2, 2010, at 1:55 p.m., in the
- 18 amount of \$5,581.
- 19 Q. Now, looking at Government Exhibit 512, what are these?
- 20 A. These are currency envelopes for Wachovia Bank.
- 21 | Q. And there is a number of them; would that be fair to say?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And looking at 511, what are these?
- A. These are various counter deposit slips for different bank
- 25 | institutions.

| 19fddat4 |  |
|----------|--|
|----------|--|

Duffy - direct

- Q. Again, is it fair to say that there's a number of them in that deposit envelope -- in that exhibit envelope?
  - A. Yes.

Q. Bear with me for one second. I'm sorry. I seem to have misplaced one of the exhibits in the folder here.

(Pause)

Here it is. I'm showing you the contents of Government Exhibit 513. Can you tell us what these are?

- A. These are currency wrappers for the denomination \$2,000.
- Q. All right. Now, I'll put up some of the records from Exhibit 514.

What are these two documents that I've put up?

- A. These are bank deposit receipts for Bank of America dated January 28, 2010, both in the amount of \$4,000, account number ending 9300.
- Q. Where is the bank located, if you can tell?
- 17 A. It's a branch code. I don't know from looking at it.

(Continued on next page)

Duffy - direct

- 1 BY MR. SKINNER:
- 2 | Q. Look at these two documents; what do these appear to be?
- 3 A. These are bank deposit receipts for Chase Bank account
- 4 | number ending 6787, March 1, 2010 deposit for \$3,000, made at a
- 5 Kew Gardens branch.
- 6 O. Now two of the other documents, what are these?
- 7 A. These are also bank deposit receipts for Citibank for the
- 8 account Wholesale Warehouse, address of 1230 Arch Street,
- 9 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.
- 10 0. What are the dates for those deposits?
- 11 A. Dated February 2, 2010.
- 12 Q. Looking at Government Exhibit 515, this is what you
- described as a slip of paper that was found in the room?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Would it be fair to say it has handwritten notations on it.
- 16 Looking at the top, based on what you can tell from looking at
- 17 the document, does the top seem to reflect any particular type
- 18 of information?
- 19 A. It reflects various amounts related to different bank
- 20 linstitutions.
- 21  $\parallel$ Q. Where I just drew a line, as far as you know, those are the
- 22 | names of different banks?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. What do those notations down here appear to be?
- 25  $\blacksquare$  A. They appear to be a list of expenses.

```
19F4DAT5
```

Duffy - direct

- 1 MR. SKINNER: Can we call up for the witness 2 Government Exhibit 517.
  - Q. Do you recognize this exhibit?
  - A. I do.

3

4

8

9

- 5 Q. What is this?
- A. This is a photo of currency seized from the hotel room occupied by Miguel Lara on March 2, 2010.
  - MR. SKINNER: The government offers Exhibit 517.
  - MR. WHITE: No objection.
- 10 THE COURT: Received.
- 11 (Government Exhibit 517 received in evidence)
- 12 Q. Where was the money found in the hotel room?
- 13 A. The money was found in a safe in the hotel room.
- 14 O. Is this all the money that was found in the hotel room?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Was it counted after this photograph or before at some
- 17 point in time?
- 18 A. After.
- 19 Q. How much money is depicted in the photograph?
- 20 A. Approximately 30,000 U.S. dollars.
- Q. You saw the money in the hotel room on that day?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Does this photograph truly and accurately depict the way
- 24 money looked at that time?
- 25 A. It does.

Duffy - direct

- 1 Q. Did anybody alter the way the money was packaged or wrapped
- 2 | in any way prior to taking the photograph?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Would it be fair to say this was basically how it was found
- 5 | in the safe?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. It appears to be in six different bundles?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Was each bundle a particular dollar amount?
- 10 A. Yes, approximately.
- 11 Q. How much?
- 12 **|**A. 5,000.
- 13 Q. Each one of these little bundles is \$5,000?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 | O. What kind of denominations were the bills?
- 16 A. There were various denominations.
- 17 | Q. Do you see little brown slips of paper on top of each
- 18 | bundle?
- 19 **|**A. I do.
- 20 Q. Were those pieces of paper there when the money was first
- 21 | found?
- 22 A. They were.
- 23 Q. Is there anything noted on those pieces of paper?
- 24 A. There is. I can't make it out on the screen, if you could
- 25 blow it up. There are numbers on each piece of paper.

|    | 19F4DAT5 | Duffy - direct                               |
|----|----------|----------------------------------------------|
| 1  |          | MR. SKINNER: One moment, your Honor.         |
| 2  |          | (Pause)                                      |
| 3  |          | MR. SKINNER: No further questions.           |
| 4  |          | THE COURT: Thank you.                        |
| 5  |          | Let's take our break here for a few minutes. |
| 6  |          | (Recess)                                     |
| 7  |          | (Continued on next page)                     |
| 8  |          |                                              |
| 9  |          |                                              |
| 10 |          |                                              |
| 11 |          |                                              |
| 12 |          |                                              |
| 13 |          |                                              |
| 14 |          |                                              |
| 15 | ·        |                                              |
| 16 | ·        |                                              |
| 17 |          |                                              |
| 18 |          |                                              |
| 19 |          |                                              |
| 20 |          |                                              |
| 21 |          |                                              |
| 22 |          |                                              |
| 23 |          |                                              |
| 24 | H        |                                              |

Duffy - direct

1 (At the sidebar)

THE COURT: Can't you pick this up and move.

MR. SKINNER: We are moving. I think we are going to be done, at this rate we will be done on Wednesday.

THE COURT: Then devoutly to be desired. You are good lawyers, all of you, all four of you. When I was starting out, the first thing a very wise lawyer said to me is you got to understand that every trial is a dramatic performance. It's not a good thing to have the jury on the verge of sleep. You guys could have, instead of spending the last hour or plus with these receipts and documents, man, you could have had them in in 5 minutes. Let's move.

(Continued on next page)

Duffy - direct

(In open court; jury not present)

MR. SKINNER: Your Honor before we bring the jury in, we had a legal issue we wanted to front with the court. The government's next witness is going to be special agent Richard Reinhardt. Given the fact that the defense opened on an entrapment defense and put the government's motives in initiating a proactive investigation at issue, we intend to ask special agent Reinhardt some questions about how the investigation of Vikram Datta was initiated.

I expect him to testify essentially that there was a search of another perfume business in the summer of 2009 in Miami. We actually have a stipulation as to the facts of that search. I will be starting, before agent Reinhardt testifies, I will be reading that stipulation. There were records found in that search that raise questions in the investigators' eyes with respect to a number of different companies including La Versailles. They then started doing a financial statement analysis of those companies, searches for SARs, things like that and were targeting La Versailles and a number of different companies prior to the arrest of the Guptas in September 2009.

I fronted this issue for the defense. I am not sure if they intend to raise any objection. I told them about it a couple of minutes ago; I wanted to give them an opportunity to respond.

THE COURT: Mr. Ross.

Duffy - direct

MR. ROSS: Your Honor, fair is fair. I think correctly stated, we raised the entrapment defense; the government should be entitled to demonstrate through admissible evidence predisposition. Among the items, however, I think the government may seek to put in are these SARs or suspicious activity reports from various banking institutions. I don't think those are admissible. I don't have law to give you at this very moment. I will be more than happy --

THE COURT: Why is it not admissible not for the truth of the matter stated but for what they say about the motives of the agents and how it is the agents got focused on Mr. Datta.

MR. ROSS: That is a good question.

THE COURT: I try.

MR. ROSS: I would like to have a look at that. I have had SARs come in in cases before. They come in for the issue of the bank, for example. It shows their state of mind; it doesn't show the defendant's state of mind. The problem here is that we are now going to translate the bank's filing a suspicious activity report into the agent's view of things as to why he was investigating Mr. data. The truth of the matter is that is not why they started an investigation of Mr. Datta nor why they targeted him.

THE COURT: That's an issue for the jury to decide maybe; that's disputed.

MR. ROSS: I think then we run into Rule 403, because

Duffy - direct

I think it is prejudicial to have a bunch of suspicious activity reports coming into evidence which is a bank saying we think there is something wrong in your, we are going to live with the bank having thrown him out which we heard so much about, we are going to live with that of course. These are other reports that we are talking about that they came upon along the way in addition to that bank. The 403 I am wondering if they are not more prejudicial than probative.

That's not where the government's investigation at all began. The government's investigation began I think at ET Perfumes. There was a search there. They found documents. They then pursued those documents. I think unfortunately all that is going to come in to show why the government said we are going to name him a target on October 12 which, yes, we made much ado about.

MR. SKINNER: For purposes of agent Reinhardt's testimony today, I think it's going to be confined to not admitting the SARs, testifying as to the existence of the SARs that have been filed in early 2009 and how that factored into the analysis to continue the investigation of Mr. Datta's companies. There is a possibility that we may seek next week if we get there to introduce admissible SARs. We are not there yet. We can share the issue of the admissibility of the SARs for now if the defense doesn't have an objection to testimony as to the existence of a SAR and the general substance of what

|          | Case 1:11-cr-00102-LAK Document 61 Filed 10/27/11 Page 408 of 652 |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
|          | 19F4DAT5 Duffy - direct                                           |
| 1        | that SAR said.                                                    |
| 2        | MR. ROSS: That's what we were expecting. We are not               |
| 3        | going to have A problem with that.                                |
| 4        | THE COURT: OK. If you want to brief this, I would                 |
| 5        | like to have it by 1:00 on Monday because I am going to be out    |
| 6        | of town on Tuesday, and we will take it from there.               |
| 7        | MR. SKINNER: Yes, your Honor.                                     |
| 8        | (Continued on next page)                                          |
| 9        |                                                                   |
| 10       |                                                                   |
| 11       |                                                                   |
| 12       |                                                                   |
| 13       |                                                                   |
| 14       |                                                                   |
| 15       |                                                                   |
| 16       |                                                                   |
| 17       |                                                                   |
| 18       |                                                                   |
| 19<br>20 |                                                                   |
| 21       |                                                                   |

Duffy - direct

1 (Jury enters courtroom)

THE COURT: The jurors and the defendant all are

3 present. Let's go guys.

MR. SKINNER: The government calls special agent

Richard Reinhardt.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

19

I am sorry, your Honor, I'm jumping the gun.

THE COURT: Any cross-examination?

MR. WHITE: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. You are excused.

(Witness excused)

THE COURT: Now Mr. Skinner.

MR. SKINNER: The government calls special agent

Richard Reinhardt.

14 RICHARD REINHARDT,

called as a witness by the Government,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

17 DIRECT EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. SKINNER:

- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Reinhardt. Where do you work?
- 20 A. I am a special agent for the Internal Revenue Service
- 21 criminal investigation division.
- 22 | Q. How long have you been a special agent for the Internal
- 23 Revenue Service?
- 24 A. Since March 2003.
- 25 Q. What did you do prior to that?

Reinhardt - direct

- 1 A. I was a conversion investigator for the Drug Enforcement
- 2 Administration.

3

- $\mathbb{Q}$ . How long did you do that?
- 4 A. Approximately four years.
- 5 Q. What did you do prior to that?
- 6 A. I was a border patrol agent.
- 7 | Q. In connection with these areas of law enforcement positions
- you have held, have you had any specialized training on
- 9 | financial documents?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Have you had special training on potential forms that must
- 12 be completed in connection with cash transactions?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Are you familiar with the terms 8300s, CTRs, and CMIRs?
- 15 **|**A. I am.
- 16 Q. Have you had special training on each of those different
- 17 types of forms I just referenced?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Special agent Reinhardt, have you participated in the
- 20 investigation of the defendant in this case Vikram Datta?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. When did you first become involved in the investigation?
- A. Approximately the summer of 2009, late summer 2009.
- 24 Q. How did you become involved in the investigation at that
- 25 | time?

Reinhardt - direct

A. The DEA group I worked with had executed search warrants at a completely separate perfume wholesaler and then records obtained from that search warrant led us to conduct numerous record checks on other companies, one which was Mr. Datta came up.

MR. SKINNER: At this time the government would like that read into evidence a stipulation.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SKINNER: Government Exhibit 804, the stipulation provides: On June 18, 2009, agents of the Drug Enforcement Administration and other law enforcement officers executed a search warrant at the business of ET Perfumes located at 15895 Northwest 15th Avenue, Miami, Florida.

On June 29, 2009, at 4:15 p.m., agents of the Drug Enforcement Administration and other law enforcement officers arrested Emanuel Efroni and Marcela Melgarejo at ET Perfumes located at 15895 Northwest 15th Avenue, Miami, Florida. Efroni and Melgarejo were arrested pursuant to a criminal complaint charging them with a money laundering offense. Efroni was the owner and operator of ET Perfumes and Melgarejo was an employee of ET Perfumes.

Finally, the telephone number 305-627-9411 is registered to the ET Perfumes.

It is further stipulated and agreed that this stipulation may be received in evidence at trial.

|    | 19F4DAT5 Reinhardt - direct                                    |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1  | The government offers Exhibit 804.                             |
| 2  | THE COURT: Received.                                           |
| 3  | (Government Exhibit 804 received in evidence)                  |
| 4  | THE COURT: Members of the jury, you must accept those          |
| 5  | facts to be true.                                              |
| 6  | BY MR. SKINNER:                                                |
| 7  | Q. Is that the search that you were referring to a moment ago? |
| 8  | A. Yes, sir.                                                   |
| 9  | Q. Was it a search that occurred at a perfume store in Miami   |
| 10 | in the summer 2009?                                            |
| 11 | A. I wasn't on the search. It was a perfume wholesaler not     |
| 12 | like a retail store.                                           |
| 13 | Q. You indicated there were documents found in that store      |
| 14 | relevant to the investigation of the defendant, is that right? |
| 15 | A. Yes.                                                        |
| 16 | Q. Describe for us in general terms what documents were found  |
| 17 | during that search that were relevant to the investigation of  |
| 18 | the defendant?                                                 |
| 19 | THE COURT: Let's clarify something. Are you able to            |
| 20 | tell us one way or the other whether prior to this search ET   |
| 21 | Perfumes there was any investigation of the defendant?         |
| 22 | THE WITNESS: Prior to the ET Perfumes search?                  |
| 23 | THE COURT: Correct.                                            |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: No.                                               |
| 25 | THE COURT: You are not able to tell us or there was            |

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

20

21

Reinhardt - direct

1 no investigation?

THE WITNESS: There was an investigation ET Perfumes, not Mr. Datta, sir.

THE COURT: This search happens at ET Perfumes in June 2009, and at that time there was no investigation of Mr. Datta, is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead.

BY MR. SKINNER:

- Q. Was an investigation of Mr. Datta initiated following this search of ET Perfumes in June 2009?
- 12 A. Not an official investigation; we did record searches on a number of different perfume wholesalers. One of those
- wholesaler, distributor names that came up was Mr. Datta. We had a list of names that we became suspicious of.
- 16 Q. How did you compile this list of names?
- 17 A. Off of people that ET Perfumes was supplying perfumes to.
- 18 Q. How did you identify these companies?
- 19 A. Through records from the search warrants.
  - Q. What was it on these records that caused you to begin looking at the companies on this list?
- A. There are a lot of transactions in whole dollar amounts
  40,000, 50,000, 80,000, and we just thought it was suspicious
  people buying perfumes and it coming out to \$80,000 round
- instead of \$84,561 and change. Everything was rounded up and

Reinhardt - direct

- 1 that's what led to our suspicions.
- Q. You said there were a number of different companies on this
- 3 ||list, is that right?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. One of which was the defendant's company?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Which of the defendant's companies?
- 8 A. La Versailles Fragrances.
- 9 Q. After identifying these companies, including La Versailles,
- 10 what if anything did you do?
- 11 A. There were several companies where we subpoenaed bank
- 12 | records. Initially Mr. Datta's was not one of them. We
- 13 I followed up on information from ET Perfumes. Nandansons, which
- we spoke about, I think we subpoenaed their bank accounts for
- 15 records, and did internal database searches.
- 16 Q. What did you do next in the investigation with regard to
- 17 focusing particularly on the defendant's company La Versailles?
- 18 A. The next step was we went to Nandansons and executed search
- 19 warrants there.
- 20 | Q. When was that?
- 21 A. October or November 2009.
- 22 | Q. Prior to executing those search warrants did you do any
- 23 || financial records searches with regard to La Versailles?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Did those financial, did those searches turn up any

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Reinhardt - direct

- documents related to La Versailles that were relevant to your investigation?
  - A. Numerous CTRs and CMIRs.

THE COURT: Explain what this alphabet soup means.

THE WITNESS: Yes. A CTR is a currency transaction form which is filed by the bank when a customer deposits more than \$10,000. A CMIR is a form filed when somebody crosses into the U.S. with currency.

- Q. How much currency?
- 10 A. If they are declaring it, any amount?
- 11 Q. Does it have to be more than a certain amount in order to require the filing of a CMIR?
- 13 A. That I am not sure. I don't think so.
- 14 Q. Are you familiar with the term suspicious activity reports?
- 15 A. Yes, I am.
- 16 Q. What is a suspicious activity report?
- 17 A. It's a report filed by a banking institution when they
- become suspicious of activity in an account or a group of
- 19 accounts.
- 20 Q. Did your searches with regard to La Versailles back, when
- were you conducting these initial financial document searches
- 22 | with regard to La Versailles?
- 23 A. Shortly after the ET Perfumes search warrants were
- 24 executed, it was, there were numerous searches on Mr. Datta's
- businesses as well as a number of other businesses.

Reinhardt - direct

- 1 Q. Did the searches that occurred shortly after those search
- 2 warrants were executed, did any of them turn up suspicious
- activity reports with regard to Mr. Datta's business?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. How many reports?
- 6 A. One.
- 7 Q. At that point in time, when was this report from?
- 8 A. I believe January 2009.
- 9 Q. In general terms what was the substance of this suspicious
- 10 activity report?
- 11 A. Wire activity from companies located in Mexico.
- 12 Q. When you say wire activity, can you explain what you mean?
- 13 A. Individuals wiring money into the La Versailles bank
- 14 account from Mexico.
- 15 | Q. Who filed the suspicious activity report?
- 16 A. I don't recall, I believe Wells Fargo, I am not 100 percent
- 17 sure.
- 18 Q. Who typically files suspicious activity report?
- 19  $\blacksquare$ A. The bank.
- 20 Q. In this instance you identified a suspicious activity
- report filed by a bank from early 2009?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. What happened in the course of the investigation after
- these documents were gathered?
- 25 A. Typically, I would put them in a spreadsheet and start

Reinhardt - direct

- 1 | looking at his customers and come up with totals.
- 2 Q. In the case of the investigation of La Versailles, you
- mentioned a moment ago there were searches done of Nandansons
- 4 business, correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Those were conducted in October 2009?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Was anything found in those searches relevant to the
- 9 investigation of La Versailles?
- 10 A. There were A number of checks post-dated from Mr. Datta's
- 11 | business as well as other businesses.
- 12 Q. Were these checks relevant in any way to the investigation
- 13 | you were conducting?
- 14 A. They were large dollar checks for perfumes bought from
- 15 Nandansons.
- 16 Q. After the search of the documents from Nandansons, what was
- 17 the next step in the investigation?
- 18 A. Shortly after we executed those search warrants, Mr. Datta
- 19 had reached out for the owners of Nandansons and said he was
- 20 coming up here, so with the information we then went into the
- 21 previously discussed undercover operation.
- 22 Q. You have been here listening to the testimony offered thus
- 23 | far?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. That undercover operation, is that the initial recording

Reinhardt - direct

that Ajay and Ankur Gupta did for the government in October 2 2009?

A. Yes, sir.

MR. SKINNER: At this point the government has a couple of different stipulations and exhibits to offer. I will try to get through them quickly.

THE COURT: Can I clarify one word that the agent used. Let me go back here. If I heard you correctly, you said that when the search warrant or search warrants were executed with respect to Nandansons in October 2009, you found some large checks and I believe the word you used was post-dated from the defendant's company for purchases from Nandansons. First of all, is your memory consistent with mine that you used the word post-dated?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: What do you mean by that?

THE WITNESS: I meant it was, we were there in October, so the check was dated, say, January of the next year.

THE COURT: OK. Go ahead.

## BY MR. SKINNER:

- Q. Was the fact that there were post-dated checks from the defendant's company found in the records at Nandansons, was that suspicious or relevant to you in any way?
- A. Relevant, because we had already seen his name before and then it, like, corroborated what we were looking for.

| Reinhardt - direc |
|-------------------|
|-------------------|

| :      | MR.     | SKINNER:   | Your    | Honor,  | I have  | four   | stipula | tions  | I   |
|--------|---------|------------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|--------|-----|
| would  | like t  | o read off | conce   | erning  | evidenc | e we w | ould li | ke to  |     |
| offer  | . Firs  | t, Governm | nent Ex | khibit  | 801, it | is st  | ipulate | d betw | een |
| the pa | arties  | that if ca | illed a | as a wi | tness,  | a reco | rds cus | todian | of  |
| the U  | .S. Dep | artment of | the T   | reasur  | y would | testi  | fy as f | ollows | :   |

The treasury department maintains a computer archive database of reports of cash payments over \$10,000 received by a trader of business also known as form 8300s.

Government Exhibit 301 is a printout of forms 8300 signed by Vikram Datta, the defendant, the president of La Versailles Fragrances Inc.

Government Exhibit 301 was retrieved from the computer archive system of the treasury department. The records contained in Government Exhibit 301 reflect all the forms 8300 signed by Vikram Datta, the defendant, from June 1, 2009 until February 1, 2011.

It is further stipulated and agreed that Government Exhibit 301 and this stipulation may be received in evidence at trial. The government offers the stipulation marked 801 and Government Exhibit 301.

THE COURT: Both received.

(Government Exhibits 801, 301 received in evidence)

THE COURT: Members of the jury, one further piece of instruction. This is a slightly different kind of stipulation than the others where the parties stipulated, for example, that

a search warrant was executed on a certain date. That you must accept as a fact. You have no latitude on that.

In this case, the stipulation is a little different in that they are stipulating that if called as a witness from the treasury department would testify to X whatever the X is you just heard read. In that case you must accept that if that witness came here and took the oath and testified on the stand, he would say what they agreed he would say. Whether you accept that, accept the truth of what he would have said, that's up to you, and the significance of what he would have said of course is up to you. But let's proceed.

MR. SKINNER: Government Exhibit 802, another stipulation, it is stipulated between the parties that if called as a witness, a records custodian of the U.S. Department of the Treasury would testify as follows:

The treasury department maintains a computer archive database of currency transaction reports also known as CTRs.

Government Exhibit 302 is a printout of CTRs filed by financial institutions identifying cash deposits in excess of \$10,000 made by La Versailles Fragrances Inc., Valencia Fragrances, Sanpriya Enterprises, Perfumeria Valencia, VMSP Holdings, and La Versailles Cosmetics, businesses owned and controlled by Vikram Datta, the defendant.

Government Exhibit 302 was retrieved from the computer archive system of the treasury department. The records

Reinhardt - direct

contained in Government Exhibit 302 reflect CTRs filed from June 1, 2009 until February 1, 2011 in connection with La Versailles Fragrances Inc., Valencia Fragrances, Sanpriya Enterprises, Perfumeria Valencia, VMSP Holdings, and La Versailles Cosmetics.

It is further stipulated and agreed that Government Exhibit 302 and this stipulation may be received in evidence at trial.

The government offers 802 and 302.

THE COURT: Received.

(Government Exhibits 802, 302 received in evidence)

MR. SKINNER: Government Exhibit 803, this stipulation provides if called as a witness, a records custodian of the U.S. Department of the Treasury would testify as follows:

The treasury department maintains a computer archive database of reports of international transportation of currency or monetary instruments which are also known as CMIRs.

Governments 303 is a printout of CMIRs completed by Hilario Martinez-Garcia upon arriving in the United States at Laredo, Texas.

Government Exhibit 303 was retrieved from the computer archive system of the treasury department. The records contained in Government Exhibit 303 are electronic copies of CMIRs completed by Hilario Martinez-Garcia upon arriving in the United States at Laredo, Texas without date limitation.

Reinhardt - direct

Hilario Martinez-Garcia signed paper versions of the CMIRs upon arriving in the United States. The information from those paper versions of the CMIRs is reflected in the electronic copies of the CMIRs contained in Government Exhibit 303.

It is further stipulated and agreed that Government Exhibit 303 and this stipulation may be received in evidence at trial.

The government offers 803 and 303.

THE COURT: Received.

(Government Exhibits 803, 303 received in evidence)

MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, I would like to take a brief moment just to show Government Exhibits 301, 302 and 303 to the jury. They are big exhibits, I am not going to publish them all, just to give them an idea of the size of the documents contained in each exhibit.

(Pause)

MR. SKINNER: I am holding up Government Exhibit 301, all the 8300s, more 8300s than I thought. I am now holding up Government Exhibit 302, all the currency transaction reports, in two large pieces. Finally, Government Exhibit 303, which is all of the CMIRs submitted by Hilario Martinez-Garcia.

Thank you, your Honor. I have one final stipulation.

We will get to those documents a little later. If this were in
the stipulations, I thought I would offer it now.

Reinhardt - direct

Government Exhibit 808 provides that the parties stipulate as follows:

If called as a witness a records custodian from Bank of America would testify as follows.

Government Exhibits 603, 604, 605 and 606 are printouts of commercial checking account records for La Versailles Fragrances Inc. Government Exhibits 603, 604, 605 and 606 were retrieved from the computer archive system of Bank of America. The records reflected on Government Exhibits 603, 604, 605 and 606 were created by a person with knowledge of or created from information transmitted by a person with knowledge of the information shown, were created at or near the time the information became available to Bank of America, and were created and maintained by Bank of America as part of its regularly conducted business activity.

It is further stipulated and agreed that Government Exhibits 603, 604, 605 and 606 and this stipulation may be received in evidence at trial.

The government offers 808, 603, 604, 605 and 606.

THE COURT: They are received.

(Government Exhibits 808, 603-606 received in evidence)

## BY MR. SKINNER:

Q. Special agent have you reviewed the documents that are on the table marked 301, 302, 303 prior to testifying today?

Reinhardt - direct

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. Government Exhibit 301 concerns forms known as 8300s, is
- 3 I that correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Tell us what is a form 8300.
- A. Form 8300 is filed by a business when they receive more
- 7 than \$10,000 in currency for a transaction.
- 8  $\mathbb{Q}$ . When is a form 8300 filed?
- A. When a customer brings more than \$10,000 to a particular
- 10 business.
- MR. SKINNER: Can we call up on the screen the 8300
- 12 I from set A. This is a page, ladies and gentlemen, a sample
- 13 page from Government Exhibit 301. Blow up the top portion of
- 14 Part I.
- 15 Q. What information is required to be included in Part I of
- 16 | this form?
- 17 A. Part I asks for the identification for the individual who
- 18 the cash was received from.
- 19 Q. The person carrying the money?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. In this case somebody who is dropping it off at a business?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Part 2, if this part of the form was completed what kind of
- 24 ∥information would be in this part of the form?
- 25 A. The person on whose behalf the transaction was conducted.

Reinhardt - direct

- 1 Q. This means if somebody was carrying money for somebody
- 2 else, the person who owned the money, that would go in there?
- 3 **A**. Yes.
- 4 Q. Part 3, generally speaking what kind of information can be
- 5 | found in there?
- 6 A. A description of the transaction, how much was received,
- 7 | what types of bills sometimes are in there.
- 8 0. A date?
- 9 A. Date, what the payment was for.
- 10 Q. The document is signed at the bottom, is that right?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 | Q. These documents were actually printed off an electronic
- 13 database, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Here there is a notation that a signature present, tell us
- 16 what that means?
- 17 A. The person who signed the form.
- 18 Q. Somebody signed this form, would it be fair to say?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. It indicates that the title of the person who signed the
- 21 | form is president?
- 22 A. Correct.
- MR. SKINNER: Can we call up the CMIR from set A.
- 24 | THE COURT: Is this Government Exhibit 302?
- MR. SKINNER: Yes, your Honor, no, 303, sorry, your

Reinhardt - direct

- 1 Honor.
- 2 BY MR. SKINNER:
- 3 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. It this one of the pages from the documents marked as
- 6 Government Exhibit 303?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8  $\parallel$ Q. Tell us what information is included in the top part of the
- 9 document.
- 10 A. The name of the individual crossing the border, address,
- 11 address where they will be in the United States if they are
- 12 staying somewhere, country of citizenship, passport number,
- 13 visa date and place where visa was issued.
- 14 Q. Did it indicate where the person comes into the country?
- 15 **|** A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Is that where I circled right there?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. In this particular instance does that indicate that Hilario
- 19 ||Martinez-Garcia came into Nuevo Laredo, Mexico -- came into
- 20 Laredo, Texas from Nuevo Laredo, Mexico?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Focusing on parts 2 and 3 of CMIR, what information would
- 23 be included in this part of the form?
- 24 A. The person who was going to be in receipt of the currency.
- 25 Q. Is there any information about how much money?

- 1 A. Yes, and the amount of money.
- 2 Q. In this case the money was going to La Versailles
- Fragrances and Mr. Martinez-Garcia was declaring \$120,000?
- 4 📕 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 O. Part 4, the original of the document would contain a
- 6 signature of the person who completed the form, Mr. Hilario
- 7 Martinez-Garcia, is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. This is an electronic version printed out off the
- 10 | computerized database, is that right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. There would be a signature on the original if we went back
- 13 to Laredo, Texas and pulled it out, is that right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- MR. SKINNER: Lastly put up the CTR form from set A.
- 16 | Q. This is a document that's one of the CTR forms from the
- 17 thousands of pages of records marked Government Exhibit 302.
- 18 | Is that right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Who completes the CTR form?
- 21 A. The bank.
- 22 · Q. If we look at section A, what information is the bank
- 23 || required to put in that portion of the document?
- 24 A. Who is the owner of the cash, business or the person.
- 25  $\mathbb{Q}$ . In section B what goes in?

- 1 A. Who deposited the cash; the teller would take ID from whoever is depositing money.
- Q. Part 2, What information do we find in that portion of the
- 4 document?
- A. You can find out the amount, the account numbers the money was deposited in, whether it was a deposit or withdrawal.
- Q. Where I am circling now, is that where they note the date of the deposit itself?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. In this case that's where the total cash deposited in this particular instance was?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. We have \$109,000 cash deposit on August 4, 2010, is that 14 right?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. Part 3, that is who is completing it, the financial institution that's completing the form?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. This CTR report is not signed; is it a printout of information that's contained in the electronic database
- 21 maintained by the treasury department?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Would there be an original signed document signed by the
- person indicated here on record of treasury department?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. One other question, scroll up back to section B. I want to
- circle where it says multiple transactions. Can you explain
- 3 | what that term means?
- 4 A. That indicates that there was more than one deposit that
- day that aggregated over the \$10,000 amount.
- 6 Q. So in this case there could have been a number of
- 7 different, there were at least more than one deposit that
- 8 totaled up to \$109,000?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Based on your training and experience do you know why the
- 11 CTR form tracks whether it's multiple transactions or single
- 12 | transactions?
- 13 A. Because there is a lot of instances where people will try
- 14 I to deposit money less than \$10,000 in a number of different
- 15 transactions to avoid the report.
- 16 Q. The bank, if the bank sees those transactions of less than
- 17 | \$10,000 all occurring on the same day totaling up to more than
- 18 10, is still going to file a CTR?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Have you analyzed the CMIRs, the CTRs, and the 8300s that
- 21 | are marked in Government Exhibits 301, 302 and 303?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. If we are talking about the CTRs, these are all for cash
- deposits that were made by a company controlled by Vikram
- 25 Datta?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- Q. These are all cash deposits that are more than \$10,000,
- 3 | correct?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. So, if one of his employees made a deposit of less than
- 6 \$10,000, unless it was in multiple transactions, it would not
- 7 have been captured by the CTRs, correct?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Are you familiar more or less with the aggregate figures of
- 10 the CTRs from 2009 and 2010?
- 11 A. I believe 2009 was approximately \$13 million and 2010 was
- 12 approximately \$18 million.
- 13 Q. Those are cash deposits made by a company controlled by Mr.
- 14 Datta?
- 15 **|**A. In excess of \$10,000.
- 17 | in the CTRs?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19  $\|Q$ . What about the 8300s reflected in Government Exhibit, the
- 20 CMIRs reflected in Government Exhibit 303, these are records
- 21 I filed by someone coming into the country?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Q. In this case these were all filed by Hilario
- 24 | Martinez-Garcia?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Do you know more or less how many times according to this,
- 2 how many CMIRs were filed by Hilario Martinez-Garcia during the
- 3 | period from June 2009 until January 2011?
- 4 A. Approximately 105.
- 5 Q. Do you know more or less how much money was declared by
- 6 Hilario Martinez-Garcia during that period?
- 7 A. Approximately \$5.6 million.
- 8 Q. Where was all of that money going?
- 9 A. To perfume companies in Laredo, Texas.
- 10 Q. Was it all going to La Versailles?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Directing your attention to January 18, 2011, were you
- 13 working on than day?
- 14 A. Yes, I was.
- 15 Q. Where?
- 16 A. In Laredo, Texas.
- 17 Q. What were you doing in Laredo, Texas on January 18, 2011?
- 19 businesses of La Versailles, retail stores and Mr. Datta's
- 20 | home.
- 21 Q. How many retails stores were there?
- 22 A. Three or four.
- 23  $\|Q$ . Could you describe in general terms what types of things
- 24 you found when you executed search warrants in Laredo, Texas on
- 25 January 18, 2011?

|    | Case 1:11-cr-00102-LAK Document 61 Filed 10/27/11 Page 432 of 652 42 |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------|
|    | 19F4DAT5 Reinhardt - direct                                          |
| 1  | A. Financial records, in the business, financial records,            |
| 2  | invoices, receipt books; then in the home, just personal             |
| 3  | financial documents, bank accounts.                                  |
| 4  | Q. While you were down there executing the searches, did             |
| 5  | anyone take any photographs?                                         |
| 6  | A. Yes.                                                              |
| 7  | MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, may I approach, your Honor.                 |
| 8  | THE COURT: You may.                                                  |
| 9  | MR. SKINNER: I am showing the witness exhibits marked                |
| 10 | Government Exhibits 901 through 914 and I will ask him to take       |
| 11 | one minute to review those exhibits.                                 |
| 12 | (Pause)                                                              |
| 13 | (Continued on next page)                                             |
| 14 |                                                                      |
| 15 |                                                                      |
| 16 |                                                                      |
| 17 |                                                                      |
| 18 |                                                                      |
| 19 |                                                                      |
| 20 |                                                                      |
| 21 |                                                                      |
| 22 |                                                                      |
| 23 |                                                                      |
| 24 |                                                                      |
| 25 |                                                                      |

19F4DAT5 Reinhardt - direct

- 1 | 19fddat6 Reinhardt direct
- 2 ||Q. Do you recognize them?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And what are they?
- 5 A. Pictures of Mr. Data's businesses, the retail stores and
- 6 the warehouse, and the office, I believe that is in the
- 7 warehouse, and a computer.
- 8 Q. All right. And were these all taken on January 18th during
- 9 the searches that were conducted at the business?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, the government offers
- 12 Government Exhibits 901 to 914.
- 13 MR. WHITE: No objection.
- 14 THE COURT: Received.
- 15 Government's Exhibits 901 to 914 received in
- 16 evidence)
- 17 MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, I would like to just quickly
- 18 publish those. I guess we can just put them up on the screen
- 19 momentarily one by one.
- 20 THE COURT: Fine.
- MR. SKINNER: Ms. Quinones, could you just put up each
- 22 picture for a brief moment, please.
- 23 (Pause)
- 24 Q. Let me stop you right there.
- 25 Agent Reinhardt, do you recognize this space?

Reinhardt - direct

- 1 A. I believe that's one of the retail stores.
- 2 Q. Can you keep it on the screen.
- We're now on 906. Is this another retail store, Agent
- 4 Reinhardt?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. You can keep going, Ms. Quinones.
- 7 **|** 908, what is this?
- 8 | A. Warehouse.
- 9 Q. All right. Where is this warehouse located?
- 10 A. On Lincoln Avenue or Boulevard in Laredo, Texas.
- MR. SKINNER: Keep going, Ms. Quinones.
- 12 (Pause)
- 13 Ms. Quinones, can I now ask you to please put up
- 14 Government Exhibit 1101.
- Just for Agent Reinhardt. I'm sorry.
- 16 Q. Agent Reinhardt, do you recognize this document?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. What is it?
- 19 A. It's a map of Laredo, Texas and part of Nueva Laredo,
- 20 Mexico.
- 21  $\mathbb{Q}$ . And there are notations on the map, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And are these addresses in Laredo, Texas, that are noted on
- 24 the map?
- 25 A. Yes, they are.

Reinhardt - direct

- 1 MR. SKINNER: Can we now put 1102 up just for Agent 2 Reinhardt.
- 3 ||Q. And can I ask you what this is?
  - A. That's a zoomed-in version of Laredo, Texas.
- 5 Q. Again, there are addresses noted on the map?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.

4

- 7 MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, the government offers 1101 8 and 1102.
- 9 MR. WHITE: No objection.
- 10 THE COURT: Received.
- 11 (Government's Exhibits 1101 and 1102 received in
- 12 | evidence)
- MR. SKINNER: Can we please put 1101 up for the jury.
- Q. Now, Agent Reinhardt, do you see this area that I just drew
- 15 | a red line across?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. What is that?
- 18 A. The Rio Grande.
- 19 Q. All right. And this area where I just put a red X, is that
- 20 Mexico?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Specifically, Nueva Laredo, Mexico?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And where I just put a red number 2, is that Laredo, Texas?
- 25 A. That is.

Reinhardt - direct

- 1 | Q. Where I just drew a line right there, what is that?
- 2 A. The bridge from Mexico to the United States.
- 3 Q. And do you know what that bridge is referred to as?
- 4 A. I believe that's bridge number one.
- 5 Q. And where I'm just putting little dots right now, those are
- 6 different addresses noted on the map, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And with regard to the three in that circle, do you know
- 9 || what those are?
- 10 A. They are Mr. Datta's retail stores.
- 11 Q. And then the one up here, 1401 Lincoln Street, what is
- 12 | that?
- 13 A. The warehouse.
- MR. SKINNER: OK. And can we just put up 1102 for the
- 15 || jury.
- 16 Q. This is, as you mentioned, just a zoomed-in picture of the
- 17 streets in Laredo, Texas, correct?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19  $\|Q$ . And where I just circled, that is the retail stores?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21  $\|Q$ . And where I circled there, that is the warehouse, is that
- 22 | right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 25 THE COURT: You may.

Reinhardt - direct

- 1 Q. Special Agent Reinhardt, I'm showing you four books that
- have been marked as Government Exhibits 201, 202, 203 and 204.
- 3 Can I ask you if you recognize those documents?
- 4 A. Yes, I do.
- 5 Q. What are those documents?
- 6 A. They're journals of Mr. Datta's customers that were found
- 7 | in the warehouse.
- 8 Q. And when you say "the warehouse," the one that is located
- 9 | in Laredo, Texas?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And when were they found?
- 12 A. On January 18, 2010.
- MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, the government offers --
- 14 THE COURT: I am sorry. 2010?
- THE WITNESS: 2011. I'm sorry, sir.
- 16 MR. SKINNER: The government offers Exhibits 201, 202,
- 17 203 and 204.
- 18 MR. WHITE: No objection.
- 19 THE COURT: Received.
- 20 (Government's Exhibits 201, 202, 203, 204 received in
- 21 | evidence)
- 22 Q. Now, Agent Reinhardt, have you reviewed these documents
- 23 prior to testifying today?
- 24 A. Yes, I have.
- 25 Q. Can you describe for us what they are?

Reinhardt - direct

- 1 A. Each page has a number corresponding to a customer, and
- 2 then it's basically a ledger of that customer's buy and
- 3 payments. So it would be an invoice number, or several invoice
- 4 numbers, then a payment or several payments, another invoice or
- 5 several invoices, payment, and then the form of payment --
- 6 wire, cash, check.
- Q. OK. I'm just going to put up on the screen the very first
- 8 page of Government Exhibit 201.
- 9 If you can just describe for us what information
- 10 appears to be included on this page to you?
- 11 A. These are the list of customers and then the corresponding
- 12 number for their page.
- 13 Q. So if you wanted to find the page for Alma Piedra, you
- 14 would go to page 30 in the book?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And have you reviewed this entire book?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And the page we're just looking at is handwritten, correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 O. Is the entire book handwritten?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. I'm going to go to page 6 of the ledger, and let's zoom in
- 23 on the top portion of the document.
- 24 And can you tell us, having analyzed this document,
- what it appears to you to indicate?

Reinhardt - direct

- 1 A. It's the ledger for Jose Luis Contreras: The invoice
- 2 numbers, the balances on his account, the payments from his
- 3 account, the form of the payment, if there was a credit to the
- 4 account, the date of the purchase, or the date of the payment.
- 5 Q. OK. So if we're looking in this column, this would be the
- date of the transaction, is that right?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And that would be the invoice, is that right?
- 9 A. Or payment, form of payment.
- 10 Q. So it indicates different forms of payment here?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. So, for example, here it says wire transfer?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14  $\|Q$ . What is in this column that I just highlighted?
- 15  $\blacksquare$ A. Debit amounts, which are his invoice amounts.
- 16 Q. And then what's here?
- 17 A. Credit amounts, which are payments to the account.
- 18 Q. And then what's here?
- 19 A. Balance.
- 20 Q. So this is essentially a record of Jose Luis Contreras'
- 21 account with La Versailles; is that fair to say?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And is there a particular notation that is included when it
- 24 depicts a cash transaction?
- 25 A. Yes. It will say "cash."

Reinhardt - direct

- 1 Q. So if I turn the page -- and we're looking now at one on
- 2 page 8 and focusing in on where I just circled -- that's a
- 3 Inotation that you have seen throughout these ledgers reflecting
- 4 a cash transaction?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And would it be fair to say that Government Exhibit 202 and
- 7 203, which concern the year 2010, are they basically set up the
- 8 same way as the one we just looked at?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. And Government Exhibit 204, which is from 2011, is that
- 11 again, are the notations generally similar in that one?
- 12 **A**. Yes.
- 13 Q. And I'm just going to put up one more page from Government
- 14 Exhibit 202.
- This is for a customer named Jose Antonio Franco,
- 16 | correct?
- 17 **|** A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And if I understood what you were indicating earlier,
- 19 | wherever we see "cash," the ones that I am noting here, those
- 20 | would be cash transactions, is that correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 | Q. Special Agent Reinhardt -- your Honor, may I approach?
- THE COURT: Yes. Sure.
- 24 Q. Special Agent Reinhardt, I am now going to show you
- 25 Government Exhibits what have been marked as 205 and 206. Let

Reinhardt - direct

- 1 | me ask you if you recognize those?
- 2 A. Yes, I do.
- 3 Q. What are those?
- 4 A. They are letters from the Internal Revenue Service to
- 5 Mr. Datta's business.
- 6 Q. And where were those records found, if you know?
- 7 A. During the search.
- 8 Q. During what search?
- 9 A. Of the warehouse.
- MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, the government offers
- 11 Government Exhibits 205 and 206.
- 12 MR. WHITE: No objection.
- 13 THE COURT: Received.
- 14 (Government's Exhibits 205 and 206 received in
- 15 evidence)
- 16 Q. Have you reviewed these letters, Agent Reinhardt?
- 17 A. I did.
- 18 | Q. Can you just describe for us generally content of these
- 19 ||letters?
- 20 A. With each letter there was a Form 8300 attached to it; the
- 21 dates were illegible. So the letter from the IRS is basically
- 22 | saying you need to fill out the forms correctly, the
- 23 ||information is important to help the government track down
- 24 | illicit proceeds. It doesn't say it like that. It says the
- 25 | importance of the form is to provide accurate information,

Reinhardt - direct 19F4DAT5 subject to civil and criminal penalties. 1 MR. SKINNER: Now, Ms. Quinones, can I have Government 2 3 Exhibits 607 and 608? 4 (Pause) Your Honor, I'm sorry. I'm just trying to do some 5 housekeeping. I'm trying to determine if 607 and 608 had been 6 admitted already. Would you bear with me for one moment? 7 THE COURT: Yes. 8 (Pause) 9 THE COURT: 608 is in. 10 MR. SKINNER: OK. 11 12 THE COURT: 607 appears not to be. MR. SKINNER: All right. I am just going to read a 13 stipulation addressing a document. 14 Your Honor, the government has one other -- one of the 15 final stipulations that it would like to read at this time. 16 17 THE COURT: Yes. MR. SKINNER: It is stipulated between the parties 18 that if called as a witness, a records custodian from BBVA 19 Compass Bank would testify as follows: 20 Government Exhibit 607 contains printouts of 21 commercial checking account records for La Versailles 22 Fragrances, Inc. 23 And Government Exhibit 607 was retrieved from the 24

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

computer archive system of BBVA Compass Bank. The records

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

24

25

reflected on Government Exhibit 607 were created by a person with knowledge of, or created from information transmitted by a person with knowledge of, the information shown; were created at or near the time the information became available to BBVA Compass Bank; and were created and maintained by BBVA Compass Bank as part of its regularly conducted business activity.

It is further stipulated and agreed that Government Exhibit 607 and this stipulation may be received in evidence at trial.

The government offers 807 and 607.

THE COURT: 807 and 607 are received.

(Government's Exhibits 807 and 607 received in evidence)

- MR. SKINNER: Can I now have Government Exhibit 1004 on the screen for Mr. Reinhardt, or Agent Reinhardt?

  O. Special Agent Reinhardt, we have put up on the screen a
- document marked Government Exhibit 1004. Can I ask you if you recognize that document?
- 19 A. Yes, I do.
- 20 Q. And what is it?
- 21 A. It's a spreadsheet that I created matching Hilario
- Martinez-Garcia border crossings with deposits into Mr. Datta's bank account.
  - MR. SKINNER: And, your Honor, if I may approach and show Special Agent Reinhardt Government Exhibit 607 and 608?

|    | 19F4DAT5 Reinhardt - direct                                    |  |  |  |  |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1  | THE COURT: Yes.                                                |  |  |  |  |
| 2  | Q. Special Agent Reinhardt, let me ask you, in compiling that  |  |  |  |  |
| 3  | spreadsheet, did you in part rely upon information from the    |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | Form 8300 from the CMIRs filed by Hilario Martinez-Garcia      |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | that are in evidence as Government Exhibit 303?                |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | A. Yes, sir.                                                   |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | Q. Did you also rely upon the documents that we've marked as   |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | 607 and 608?                                                   |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | A. Yes, as well as the cash book.                              |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Q. And those would be the cash books that we were just looking |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | at that are already in evidence?                               |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | A. Correct.                                                    |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Q. What are the documents that I just referred to, 607 and     |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 608?                                                           |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | A. Bank deposit slips from Compass Bank and Wells Fargo Bank.  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, the government would offer            |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Exhibit 1004 as a summary of the evidence contained in the     |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | exhibits that we just described.                               |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | MR. WHITE: No objection, your Honor.                           |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | THE COURT: Received.                                           |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | (Government's Exhibit 1004 received in evidence)               |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | MR. SKINNER: Can we please publish Exhibit 1004 to             |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | the jury?                                                      |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | THE COURT: You may.                                            |  |  |  |  |

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

Is it just the one page, counselor?

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

Reinhardt - direct

1 MR. SKINNER: It is, your Honor.

All right. Can we just zoom in on the top half so it is a little bit easier for us to read?

- Q. Now, Special Agent Reinhardt, I would like to just walk across the columns and have you describe the kind of information contained in each of these columns to us.
- In column one, that's just -- just tell us what is in column one, please.
- A. Column one is just simply numbering each entry.
- 10 Q. And column two?
- 11 A. Is the crossing date as per the CMIR.
- 12 Q. All right. Whose crossing date would this be?
- 13 A. Hilario Martinez-Garcia.
- 14 Q. And the third column?
- 15 A. Is the cash book date. So I went to the cash book and
- 16 tried to find a corresponding date to that border crossing.
- 17 Q. All right. And then the fourth one that says "deposit date"?
- 19 A. Based on the bank records, the deposit that either matched or was very close to the amount.
- 21 Q. And the next column where it says "crossing amount"?
- 22 A. The amount declared on the form, the CMIR.
- Q. OK. And the next column that stays "deposit amount"?
- 24 A. The amount deposited into the bank account.
- Q. And then "bank," that is obviously the bank where the

Reinhardt - direct

- 1 deposit was made?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. And the column next to that that says "account"?
- 4  $\blacksquare$ A. The ending numbers of the account.
- 5 Q. And the final document that says customer per bank -- I
- 6 mean, the final column where it says "Customer per bank deps,"
- 7 | what information is contained in that column?
- 8 A. The deposit slips have names indicated on them. So if they
- 9 had names indicated on them, they were in that column.
- 10 O. OK. And so then can you just maybe walk us through the
- 11 | first line, number one, that I've just underlined and tell us
- what you were able to determine by looking at these various
- 13 documents?
- 14 A. On 12/23/2009, Mr. Martinez-Garcia crossed the border with
- 15 approximately -- with \$34,735. There was an entry in the
- handwritten cash ledger on 12/3/2009 indicating that Jose
- Antonio Franco, abbreviated as J. Franco, made a payment of
- 18 \$34,735.
- 19 THE COURT: To whom?
- 20 THE WITNESS: To La Versailles Fragrances. Sorry.
- 21 A. (Continuing) On 12/4/2009, there was a bank deposit for
- 22 \$34,735.
- 23 Q. And just so everybody understands it, we will walk through
- just one of these as some examples from the documents
- themselves, not for all of them.

Reinhardt - direct

- MR. SKINNER: But, your Honor, I would like to publish again Government Exhibit 201. I'm going to turn to a page within the document, and I would ask that you put the Elmo back up for the jury.
- 5 THE COURT: All right.
- 6  $\mathbb{Q}$ . This is a page from the cash book for 2009, correct?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. This is for Jose Antonio Franco?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. So if I understood you, if we go to December 3rd of 2009,
- 11 that is the cash transaction in the cash book for \$34,735?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. All right. Then you also found a CMIR from Hilario
- 14 Martinez-Garcia on the same day?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And then you also found within the account deposit slips
- 17 that you have in front of you a deposit on 12/3 of '09 in the
- 18 | name of Mr. Franco?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And if I can just take the one for BBVA? I will put it up
  21 just to show the jury what it looks like.
- 22 (Pause)
- Is that the first one on this slip here?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. So this is the deposit slip that accompanied the cash

Reinhardt - direct

- 1 deposit at the bank?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- Q. And this is the amount, the same as on the CMIR and the
- 4 same as in the cash book?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. When you said the deposit slip indicated a name, are you
- 7 || referring to the area that I just circled?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. With your review of the bank documents from BBVA Compass
- 10 | and from Wells Fargo, did you find names on all of these
- 11 deposit slips?
- 12 A. Not on every single deposit slip, no.
- 13 Q. But on a number of the deposit slips there is a name
- 14 | indicated similar to this?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. These were names that matched the names in the account
- 17 book?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Excuse me. In the cash book?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 MR. SKINNER: Can we just call up 1004 again?
- 22 | Q. So this particular spreadsheet summarizes border crossings,
- 23 deposits and cash book entries with regard to, I guess, 24
- 24 different border crossings, is that correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

Reinhardt - direct

- 1 Q. And they are all from Hilario Martinez-Garcia?
- 2 A. Correct.
- MR. SKINNER: You can take down 1004.
- 4 Q. Special Agent Reinhardt, let me direct your attention to
- January 15th of 2011. Were you working on that day?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. And what were you doing?
- 8 A. Surveillance in Manhattan.
- 9 Q. Why were you conducting surveillance in Manhattan?
- 10 A. We were surveilling the meeting between Mr. Datta and Mario
- 11 Recinos.
- 12 | Q. Where did that meeting take place?
- 13 A. I don't remember the name of the restaurant.
- 14 O. But it was a restaurant here in Manhattan?
- 15 A. It was a restaurant in Manhattan.
- 16 Q. And was this the meeting for the recording that we listened
- 17 to earlier? I think we listened to only a few portions of it,
- 18 but is that the same meeting from that recording?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. All right. And following Mr. Recinos' meeting with
- 21 Mr. Datta, what happened?
- 22 A. Mr. Datta was arrested outside the restaurant.
- 23 Q. Were you present for Mr. Datta's arrest?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And what happened -- what was done with Mr. Datta following

Reinhardt - direct

- 1 | his arrest?
- 2 A. He was taken back to the DEA office in north New Jersey.
- Q. And what happened once you got to the DEA office in north
- 4 New Jersey?
- 5 A. He was interviewed.
- 6 Q. And prior to being interviewed, was he advised of his
- 7 | rights?
- 8 A. Yes.

9

- Q. And what did he do after being advised of his rights?
- 10 A. We asked him questions about the allegations that we had,
- 11 about the cash deposits, about his meeting with Mr. Recinos.
- 12 Q. Let me ask you, what time did this interview take place?
- 13 A. It was in the evening. It had --
- 14 Q. About how long following the time of his arrest?
- 15 A. Oh, shortly after his arrest; the drive time from here to
- 16 Newark.
- 17 | Q. OK. And in his post-arrest interview, were you present for
- 18 | that interview?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Were you taking notes during that interview?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. And did you write a report following that interview?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24 Q. And did you review that report prior to testifying today?
- 25 A. Yes.

Reinhardt - direct

- Q. And did that review help refresh your recollection as to what was said during the post-arrest interview?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. And was Mr. Datta in his post-arrest interview asked who he
- was meeting with at the restaurant?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And what did Mr. Datta indicate with regard to who he was
- 8 meeting with?
- 9 A. Mr. Mario.
- 10 Q. Did he provide any other information on Mr. Mario?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Was he asked any questions about who Mr. Mario was?
- 13 A. I believe so.
- 14 0. And what was he asked?
- A. A customer, I think he had described him as a potential
- 16 customer that he could do a lot of business with.
- 17 Q. Are you having trouble remembering now what was asked?
- 18 A. If I could see the memo, it would help me.
- 19 Q. Yes. One moment, Special Agent.
- 20 (Pause)
- Special Agent Reinhardt, I'm showing you a document that's been marked as 3508-D for purposes of identification.
- 23 A. Thank you.
- 24 Q. Do you recognize that?
- 25 A. Yes.

Reinhardt - direct

- 1 Q. What is it?
- 2 A. It's my memorandum.
- 3 | Q. Is this a memorandum of your interview with Mr. Datta?
- 4 A. Yes.

8

- 5 | Q. Can you just take a second to look at it, and let me know
- 6 if it helps refresh your recollection as to whether Mr. Datta
- 7 was asked who Mario was.

(Pause)

- A. He thought Mr. Datta was somebody who he could do a lot of
- 10 business with -- I mean, Mr. Mario, I'm sorry.
- 11 Q. Did he indicate whether he had been discussing money
- 12 | laundering with Mr. Mario?
- 13 **|**A. Yes.
- 14 Q. What did he say?
- 15 A. That they didn't discuss percentages that Mr. Datta would
- 16 charge Mr. Mario.
- 17 O. Did he indicate whether he had been -- did he indicate
- 18 Whether he had actually been discussing money laundering with
- 19 Mr. Mario at the time of the meeting prior to the arrest?
- 20 A. He stated that he didn't do anything wrong and he would
- 21 | be -- he wouldn't be in trouble if he didn't have a
- 22 | conversation with Mr. Mario.
- 23 ||Q. Was he asked whether he had cash paying customers?
- 24 **|**A. Yes.
- 25 Q. And what, in sum and substance, did he indicate about

Reinhardt - direct

- whether he had cash-paying customers?
- 2 A. He said, yeah, he had cash-paying customers.
- Q. Where were those cash paying customers located?
- 4 A. Mexico.
- 5 Q. How many cash paying customers did he indicate he had
- 6 initially?
- 7 A. Several. I believe he wrote a list.
- 8 Q. What did he say with regard to his knowledge of where the
- 9 cash came from?
- 10 A. He didn't need to know that; it wasn't his responsibility.
- 11 Q. Did he indicate how many of his customers -- after saying
- 12 that he had a few customers, did he later in the interview
- 13 indicate how many of his customers were paying with cash?
- 14 A. I remember him saying the majority of customers paid with
- 15 cash.
- 16 Q. Was he asked about Form 8300s?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Did he indicate who -- whether -- did he indicate who was
- 19 the one who filled out the Form 8300s that were filed by his
- 20 | business?
- 21 A. I'm sorry. Say that again.
- 22 Q. Did Mr. Datta say anything in his post-arrest interview
- 23 | about who from his business filled out Form 8300s?
- 24 A. Yes. Yolanda.
- 25 Q. Did he indicate that anybody else filled out Form 8300s?

Reinhardt - direct

- 1 A. No.
- Q. Let me direct your attention to paragraph 2.
- 3 A. Mm-hmm.
- 4 Q. And ask you if reading that paragraph helps refresh your
- 5 recollection as to whether anybody else was filling out Form
- 6 8300s?
- 7 A. I believe in this sentence, in this paragraph, what he's
- 8 saying is --
- 9 MR. WHITE: Objection, your Honor.
- 10 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 11 Q. Let me just ask you: Having read that paragraph, does that
- 12 give you a better recollection of what Mr. Datta said in the
- post-arrest with regard to 8300s?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And what did he say?
- 16 A. He said that he fills out a Form 8300 for any customer who
- 17 gives him more than \$10,000 and that his accountant Yolanda
- 18 | would know the form.
- 19 O. Did Mr. Datta identify any of his Mexican customers during
- 20 the post-arrest interview?
- 21 A. Yes, he did.
- 22 | Q. Do you recall any of the names that he gave you?
- 23 A. Octavio, Jose Luis Contreras, Jose de la Cruz, Alma Piedra,
- 24 Diablo Perfumes.
- 25 Q. All right. Now, you said a moment ago that Mr. Datta --

Reinhardt - direct 19F4DAT5 THE COURT: Excuse me, counselor. We are going to break here. Members of the jury, enjoy the long weekend. We'll see you again at 9:30 on Wednesday morning, September 21. Thank you. (Continued on next page) 

Reinhardt - direct

(Jury not present)

THE COURT: You can step down for now. Thanks.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.

(Witness excused)

THE COURT: Be seated, folks.

OK. Mr. Skinner, progress report?

MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, we are almost finished. I'm nearly finished with Agent Reinhardt. I have a few other questions about the post-arrest and one or two other minor exhibits to admit that will not require extensive discussion.

On our return on Wednesday, it is our intention to call Fausto Garza, another cooperating witness; Gabriel de la Garza, who is a manager from a bank that closed one of Mr. Datta's accounts; and an agent from the DEA, identity still not yet determined, to introduce a number of phone calls that were intercepted pursuant to wiretaps.

At this point in time, I think we have 10 or 12 foreign language calls that have already been transcribed, and we've already stipulated to the admissibility of those. We will review them over the weekend, and we'll try -- we may publish some very focused portions of a few of them, but we'll try not to belabor that in front of the jury. And we have I think presently transcribed about five English-language phone calls, and we've highlighted I think roughly another ten more -- Is that fair to say? -- where we've just got the

19fddat6

transcriptions in today. So we'll get those out to defense counsel, and we'll work with defense counsel to try and not have to play the bulk of those calls. In any event, most of them are very short, so I don't expect the wiretap recordings to take nearly as long as the consensual recordings did.

There may be one or two other custodian record type witnesses. We'll try and work out some stips with defense counsel over the weekend to address that evidence. But the long and short of it is that we have a handful of witnesses left on Wednesday, and barring unexpectedly long cross-examination, I think the government will rest on Wednesday.

THE COURT: OK. Time for you, Mr. Ross. What's going to happen?

MR. ROSS: I yield to Mr. White, your Honor.

MR. WHITE: Well, we anticipate putting on a defense case, your Honor, that would take us through Thursday and then Friday afternoon, I believe, your Honor. Next week is -- Friday is a half a day next week?

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. WHITE: The decision on whether Mr. Datta will testify has not been made yet, your Honor. If he testifies, it would likely be on the following Monday, possibly that Friday afternoon.

THE COURT: All right. So we're going to have -- it

|   | _ | _ | _ | _ |   |   | _ |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 9 | + | d | d | a | + | 6 |

2.4

is going to be tight getting this in before the beginning of October, it seems to me.

OK. I thank you. I don't know what else we can do.

Have you folks resolved your subpoena problem?

MR. SKINNER: Yes, we have, your Honor.

I will note that there may be some motion practice -oh, your Honor, I think I was referring to the wrong subpoena
problem. The motion to quash the government's subpoena has not
been resolved. We'll submit briefing on that issue on Monday.

MR. WHITE: If we haven't resolved it by then, your Honor.

MR. SKINNER: We'll talk to defense counsel over the weekend. Thus far we have been able to resolve virtually everything, so we'll see if we can resolve that over the weekend.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. SKINNER: And we may be filing motions with regard to additional subpoenas that the government has accepted on behalf of other cooperating witnesses that the government does not intend to call in its case in chief. We talked to defense counsel about that. If it does become an issue, we will tee it up with briefing by 1 p.m. on Monday.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. SKINNER: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

```
19fddat6
                MR. ROSS: Thank you, your Honor.
 1
                (Adjourned to 9:30 a.m., Wednesday, September 21,
 2
 3
      2011)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## INDEX OF EXAMINATION 1 Examination of: Page 2 HILARIO MARTINEZ-GARCIA 266 3 4 5 Redirect By Mr. Skinner . . . . 6 ANKUR GUPTA 7 Direct By Mr. Bragg . . . . . Cross By Mr. Ross . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 299 8 ROXANA BELTRAN 9 Direct By Mr. Bragg . . . . . . 10 11 12 JOSE CORREA Direct By Mr. Bragg . . . . . . 13 14 Cross By Mr. Ross BRIAN P. DUFFY 15 16 Direct By Mr. Skinner RICHARD REINHARDT 17 18 Direct By Mr. Skinner . . 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

| 1   | GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS  |  |
|-----|----------------------|--|
| 2   | Exhibit No. Received |  |
| 3   | 704                  |  |
| 4   | 701, 702             |  |
| 5   | 705                  |  |
| 6   | 809, 602, 608        |  |
| 7   | 505                  |  |
| 8   | 501-503 and 505-515  |  |
| 9   | 517                  |  |
| 10  | 804                  |  |
| .11 | 801, 301             |  |
| 12  | 802, 302 418         |  |
| 13  | 803, 303             |  |
| 14  | 808, 603-606         |  |
| 15  | 901 to 914           |  |
| 16  | 1101 and 1102        |  |
| 17  | 201, 202, 203, 204   |  |
| 18  | 205 and 206 438      |  |
| 19  | 807 and 607          |  |
| 20  | 1004                 |  |
| 21  | DEFENDANT EXHIBITS   |  |
| 22  | Exhibit No. Received |  |
| 23  | A                    |  |
| 24  |                      |  |
| 25  |                      |  |

| I   | 19lddat1 Trial                                              |                       |  |  |  |  |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1 2 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK  | x                     |  |  |  |  |
| 3   | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,                                   | New York, N.Y.        |  |  |  |  |
| 4   | v.                                                          | (S1) 11 Cr. 102 (LAK) |  |  |  |  |
| 5   | VIKRAM DATTA,                                               |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 6   | Defendant.                                                  |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 7   |                                                             | x                     |  |  |  |  |
| 8   |                                                             | September 21, 2011    |  |  |  |  |
| 9   |                                                             | 9:39 a.m.             |  |  |  |  |
| 10  | Doforco                                                     |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 11  | Before:                                                     | S A. KAPLAN,          |  |  |  |  |
| 12  | HON. LIEWI                                                  | District Judge        |  |  |  |  |
| 13  | ·                                                           | District budge        |  |  |  |  |
| 14  | APPI                                                        | ARANCES               |  |  |  |  |
| 15  | PREET BHARARA<br>United States Attorney fo                  | or the                |  |  |  |  |
| 16  | Southern District of New BY: PETER M. SKINNER               |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 17, | ALVIN L. BRAGG, JR. Assistant United States A               | ttornevs              |  |  |  |  |
| 18  | Applipation officed bedeep 1                                |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 19  | ROBBINS, TUNKEY, ROSS, AMSEL, Attorneys for Defendant       | RABEN & WAXMAN PA     |  |  |  |  |
| 20  | BY: ALAN S. ROSS                                            |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 21  | WHITE & WHITE Attorneys for Defendant                       |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 22  | BY: DIARMUID WHITE                                          |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 23  | - also present -                                            |                       |  |  |  |  |
| 24  | Vanessa Quinones, Government p<br>SA Richard Reinhardt, IRS | paralegal             |  |  |  |  |
| 25  |                                                             |                       |  |  |  |  |

19lddat1 Trial

(Trial resumed; jury not present)

THE COURT: Good morning, everybody.

ALL COUNSEL: Good morning, your Honor.

THE COURT: So there is a rumor you folks have something for me. Is that right?

MR. SKINNER: I believe the defense had an issue to raise with your Honor. We have one housekeeping matter but we can table that for the moment.

MR. ROSS: Good morning, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. ROSS: Your Honor, over this break, we shared with the government, as they did with us, our lineup of witnesses that we intend to call, and yesterday Mr. White advised the government of our intention to call Yolanda Carrillo and Cynthia Garza as two defense witnesses in this case.

The response, as I understood it because I was not privy to phone call, was that that is problematic because they are alleged to be unindicted co-conspirators in the case, and that it was the government's view that the Court should make some inquiry and some suggestion about appointment of counsel, independent counsel, to advise Ms. Carrillo and Ms. Garcia before they testify.

I'm not sure if Mr. Skinner thinks my summary of the discussion is accurate, but that's what I was advised was the government's position.

19lddat1 Trial

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I took a guick look last night, your Honor -- and I unfortunately don't have the benefit of having a printer in my hotel room -- to see what the law on this particular subject is. And the case that I found that directed me to other authority is a Second Circuit case, United States v. Pinto, at 850 F.2d 927, and that was a 1988 case. Ultimately, while that defendant's conviction I believe was affirmed, the Second Circuit directed itself to discussion of the subject generally of witness intimidation when this situation comes up. That is, there was a United States Supreme Court case, Webb v. Texas at 409 U.S. 95, in 1972, and that was the judge in that case admonished before he testified the sole defense witness in a case. And the Court ultimately determined that the Court's admonition, singling out the defense witness for the admonition, scared the witness off the witness stand and reversed the conviction based upon the Sixth Amendment violation and Fifth Amendment violation, denying due process to the defendant.

That case then is cited subsequently by the D.C. Circuit in United States v. Smith at 478 F.2d 976, in 1973. There convictions were reversed where it was the action not of the Court but of the government prosecutor. And in that case the prosecutor stated to a defense witness, who was an eyewitness to the crime at trial, that the witness should seek independent counsel and then if the witness testified as

19lddat1

Trial

indicated by other testimony in the case, then he would be prosecuted.

We have a situation somewhat like that now. The prosecutor is suggesting the appointment of independent counsel. It looks to me a lot like the situation in <a href="mailto:Smith">Smith</a>.

And then --

THE COURT: There is a vast difference between proposing that the witness be told, if the witness is not aware of it, the witness has already been named as an unindicted co-conspirator and made aware of the witness' right to counsel and in the second case, your summary of <a href="mailto:Smith">Smith</a>, being told all that and told if you testify you will be prosecuted. Don't you think there is a difference?

MR. ROSS: Oh, I do. I was seeking cases to give us some guidance on how to handle this situation without inappropriately intimidating two critical witnesses in the case.

And then, your Honor, there was another case, which is United States v. Morrison, at 535 F.2d 223. That was from the Third Circuit in 1976. That was also a conviction reversed case where the actions of the prosecutor who repeatedly warned a prospective defense witness about the possibility of a federal perjury charge if she testified falsely, and then there was an interview that was described as intimidating. The Court held that that was completely unnecessary, and to use the

19lddat1 Trial

verbiage of the Third Circuit, "Since a warning by the Court prior to her testimony would have been adequate protection against an unknowing waiver of the witness' right against self-incrimination."

So the concern that --

THE COURT: So, in other words, that case at least suggests that a warning to the witness by the Court that testifying might result in a waiver of the witness' privilege against self-incrimination would have been appropriate?

MR. ROSS: Actually, the case, as I read the body of it -- again, I apologize I couldn't copy it, but as I read the body of it said that it is certainly within the discretion of the Court to make that kind of statement to a witness.

THE COURT: So do you have a proposal about what ought to be done?

MR. ROSS: Your Honor, frankly, my view is nothing need be done and let me tell you why. I think we should be able to call these witnesses directly to the witness stand and have them testify. I don't think they need to be advised of anything.

And my position for that is supported by the government's own actions in this case. The government -- you can harken back to the complaint. The complaint was filed in this case I believe the day before the arrest of the defendant on the 14th; at least it was dated the 14th of January. It was

19lddat1

Trial

certainly filed with the defendant's arrest on January 15th.

These two women are identified as co-conspirator two and co-conspirator three, respectively, in the complaint.

After that complaint was filed, the agents in this case -- I think it may have been Agent Reinhardt, as a matter of fact, went and interviewed both of these women and didn't advise either of them of their need to get counsel, didn't advise either of them about their Fifth Amendment right, and didn't advise either of them that what they say might incriminate themselves. It was just simply an interview as though they were witnesses.

So here we are months later in a trial and suddenly the government finds it necessary, where they didn't previously, to advise the same co-conspirators -- and, your Honor, nothing new has come up. The Court has heard how this case developed. They had wiretaps --

THE COURT: So if I understand your position correctly, the government would restate it something like this: Maybe we made a mistake in the first place interviewing them in that fashion a long time ago but because we did the Court should now implicate itself in repeating the statement?

MR. ROSS: No, your Honor. I don't think that is a fair statement. I think it is this. The government has made no -- until yesterday, until they raised this issue of them in the government's view needing to have independent counsel and

19lddat1 Trial

need to be advised before they testify, the government has made no overture that would have suggested that they had any intention to charge either of these women in all of this time.

Nothing. So I don't know that restating it exactly that way is correct.

I don't know that in the real world, in the honest world -- never mind how parties to this litigation may want to spin their positions early or late in the game, but the reality is neither one of these women did anything. You heard the various recordings. And I note for the Court, for example, 105-T, pages 6 and 7. At 6 Mr. Datta is advising the undercover that he doesn't want anybody, any of his employees, to know anything about it --

THE COURT: I can't try the case for this purpose.

What I know -- the one fact I know is that the grand jury found probable cause to believe that these two women were culpable participants in criminal conspiracies. That's the given that I have before me.

MR. ROSS: I don't know how the Court reaches that conclusion --

THE COURT: Are they not named as unindicted co-conspirators in the Indictment?

MR. ROSS: Your Honor, when the Grand Jury returns an indictment against a defendant, I don't know that they necessarily approve of and subscribe to all of the allegations

19lddat1 Trial

that the government makes in the Indictment. It's not necessary to the Indictment to indict Mr. Datta; it wasn't necessary that either of these two women be found to be co-conspirators. But I hear the Court.

THE COURT: Let me hear from the government.

MR. SKINNER: Well, your Honor, first of all, just to be clear, the recitation of the facts with regard to what happened yesterday is correct. We were informed late yesterday afternoon of the defendant's intention to call these two women as witnesses, and we informed the defendant that we viewed them as unindicted co-conspirators in this case.

THE COURT: I see. Are they named in the Indictment as such?

MR. SKINNER: I am just looking right now to see what unindicted -- we have -- CC-1 would not be one of these two women. CC-2 is one of these two women. I, frankly, off the top of my head am not sure if it is Ms. Garcia or Ms. --

THE COURT: You are telling me CC-2 is named as an unindicted co-conspirator?

MR. SKINNER: Yes. A co-conspirator not named as a defendant herein used a telephone located in Nueva Laredo, Texas.

I think the defendant is right; they certainly were named as co-conspirators in the complaint. They were interviewed during the search of the premises in a noncustodial

Trial

interview. Whether they if they were charged would have issues with regard to the suppression of that interview I think is another issue for another day.

They have -- you know, we have not yet indicted either of these women. We feel there is sufficient probable cause to indict them. We very well may indict them in the future.

Mr. Ross emphasizes that there has been no overture suggesting an intention to charge these women. Your Honor, frankly, that was quite intentional. You know, when we reached the stage of the case that we had against the defendant and we started saying to ourselves do we want to charge either of these women at this point, we didn't want it to appear that we were meddling.

You know, we are also -- we have the ability to exercise prosecutorial discretion with regard to who we are going to charge and when or why, and, frankly, we have not made a decision at this point to charge either of them. But I can say in good faith that we believe there is adequate evidence to support a charge against them, and the possibility of charging them in the future remains open.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. SKINNER: What we would suggest -- what we suggested to the defense yesterday was that independent counsel be appointed for these women so that they can receive independent advice as to whether they should be testifying

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Trial

and/or exercising their Fifth Amendment right against 1 self-incrimination when testifying. I believe they are here in 2 I believe, from defense counsel's representations, the city. 3 that they can be in the courthouse midday today for the 4 appointment of counsel. 5 So that would be our recommendation, that they get 6 their own attorneys and they get their own advice as to whether 7 or not they should testify. If they do testify, what they are 8 going to say. 9

THE COURT: Do you have any authority for me?

MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, I frankly do not. We did

not do the research on this. It was just our -- when we

learned about this late yesterday, we told them what we thought
should happen. I would be happy to try and get some authority

for you over the lunch break, if you want to chair this until
the end of the day.

THE COURT: All right. Let's do that.

OK. Anything else before we begin?

MR. SKINNER: One other housekeeping matter I mentioned before. Mr. White pointed out to us that we marked the wrong set of CMIRs as Government Exhibit 303. We stipulated that it was CMIRs filed by Hilario Martinez-Garcia. We in fact stamped the set of CMIRs that was all of the CMIRs related to La Versailles.

I just wanted to bring to the Court's attention that

(212) 805-0300

19lddat1 Trial

we have substituted the correct exhibit in place of 303. I don't think it changes anything with regard to the presentation of the evidence to the jury, because all we did was cite back to that exhibit generally without going through it in any detail.

THE COURT: Any problem with that, Mr. Ross?

MR. ROSS: No, sir.

THE COURT: All right. Now, just refresh my recollection, had we finished with the last witness?

MR. SKINNER: Special Agent Reinhardt has probably another 10 or 15 minutes of testimony, and then we are prepared to proceed with three other witnesses today.

THE COURT: OK. Let's get the jury.

(Continued on next page)

Reinhardt - direct

THE CLERK: Jury entering. 1 (Jury present) 2 THE CLERK: Please be seated, everyone. 3 THE COURT: Good morning, folks. I hope everybody had 4 a good break. 5 The jurors and the defendant all are present. 6 The witness is reminded he is still under oath. 7 You may continue, counsel. 8 RICHARD REINHARDT, 9 Resumed, and testified further as follows: 10 MR. SKINNER: Thank you, your Honor. 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 Good morning, Special Agent Reinhardt. 13 Good morning, sir. 14 Α. O. At the conclusion of your testimony last week on 15 September 15th, you were answering some questions about the 16 defendant's post-arrest statement, is that correct? 17 18 Α. Yes. Now, were you present for the defendant's entire 19 20 post-arrest statement? 21 Α. No. And what portion of the post-arrest statement were you 22 23 present for? A. After he arrived at the Newark field office of the Drug 24 Enforcement Administration. 25

- 1 | Q. So what did you miss?
- 2 A. The drive from Manhattan to Newark and then like the first 3 five or ten minutes of the interview.
- 4 | Q. Now, during the portion that you were present, was
- 5 Mr. Datta questioned about cash payments for perfume that his
- 6 | business had received?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- Q. And did Mr. Datta acknowledge receiving cash payments for perfume?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And what, if anything, did he tell you about where that 12 cash came from?
- 13 | A. From customers in Mexico.
- 14 | Q. What, if anything, did he tell you about where his 15 | customers in Mexico got the cash?
- 16 A. He said that wasn't his responsibility.
- Q. Now, how many times during the course of your interview of the defendant did he say that it wasn't his business to know where the cash came from?
- 20 A. It came up several times.
- Q. Now, during the interview, was the defendant asked about form 8300s that were filed by his business?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- Q. And just to remind the jury since it has been a couple of days, what is a Form 8300?

4

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

21

23

- It is a form filled out by a business when they receive 1 more than \$10,000 in U.S. currency. 2
  - Q. All right. Now, what, if anything, did Mr. Datta tell you about Form 8300s?
- That his business filed forms 8300 for his cash-paying 5 customers. 6
  - Q. Now, what was Mr. Datta doing immediately prior to his arrest?
  - Having dinner with an undercover agent.
- Was he asked during the post-arrest interview about 10 offering to assist that undercover agent in setting up a 11 business to launder money? 12
- 13 Α. Yes.
- And what did he say in response to questions about offering 14 to help the undercover set up a business to launder money? 15
  - He denied that he had those conversations.
  - Now, was he asked whether he had discussed with the undercover a fee for laundering the undercover's money?
  - A. Yes.
- And what did he say when asked about whether he discussed 20 this fee with the undercover?
- They didn't have those conversations. 22
- In the course of your investigation of this matter, did you search CTRs for identifying information for the people, the 24 individuals, who deposited more than \$10,000 at La Versailles 25

- 1 | bank accounts?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. And were you able to find identifying information for those
- 4 | people on the CTRs?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 Q. What kind of identifying information for the people who
- 7 deposited the cash could be found on the CTRs?
- 8 A. The name, address, Social Security number, date of birth.
- 9 Q. In your review of the CTRs, did you identify any
- 10 | individuals with the first name of Cynthia?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 | Q. And what was Cynthia's last name on the CTR forms?
- 13 A. Garcia.
- 14 | Q. Now, have you conducted any public records searches that
- 15 | indicated that Cynthia Garcia is also associated with another
- 16 | surname?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | O. And what surname is that?
- 19 | A. Garza.
- 20 | Q. All right. In these public record searches, were you able
- 21 to determine Cynthia Garcia's date of birth?
- 22 | A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And based on your review of her date of birth, how old
- 24 | would Cynthia Garcia be today?
- 25 | A. 27 years old.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Reinhardt - direct

- During the course of your investigation, did you review 1 account documents related to the defendant's business that were 2 produced by a bank called BBVA Compass Bank? 3 Yes. Α. 4 Did any of those documents indicate whether BBVA Compass 5 was previously known by another name? 6 7 Yes. Α.
  - What was the previous name of that bank?
  - Laredo National Bank. I actually think BBVA took over Α. Laredo National Bank. It wasn't BBVA changed its name from Laredo to Compass.
  - But at a previous point in time, some portion of that bank was known as Laredo National Bank.
  - That's correct. Α.

MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, at this point the government would like to read in a stipulation with regard to some bank records.

THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead.

MR. SKINNER: The parties agree that if called as a witness, a records custodian from Falcon Bank would testify as follows:

Government Exhibit 609 is a printout from checking account records for an account in the name of Hilario Martinez-Garcia. Government Exhibit 609 was retrieved from the computer archive system of Falcon Bank. The records reflected

| 1   | on Government Exhibit 609 were created by a person with         |  |  |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2   | knowledge of or created from information transmitted by a       |  |  |
| 3   | person with knowledge of the information shown were created at  |  |  |
| 4   | or near the time the information became available to Falcon     |  |  |
| 5   | Bank and were created and maintained by Falcon Bank as part of  |  |  |
| 6   | its regularly conducted business activities.                    |  |  |
| 7   | It is further stipulated and agreed that Government             |  |  |
| 8   | Exhibit 609 and this stipulation may be received in evidence at |  |  |
| . 9 | trial.                                                          |  |  |
| 10  | The stipulation is marked as Government Exhibit 813.            |  |  |
| 11  | The government offers 813 and 609.                              |  |  |
| 12  | MR. WHITE: No objection.                                        |  |  |
| 13  | THE COURT: They are received.                                   |  |  |
| 14  | (Government's Exhibits 609 and 813 received in                  |  |  |
| 15  | evidence)                                                       |  |  |
| 16  | MR. SKINNER: Can we call up, Ms. Quinones, the first            |  |  |
| 17  | page of is the system not working?                              |  |  |
| 18  | (Pause)                                                         |  |  |
| 19  | MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, may I approach?                        |  |  |
| 20  | THE COURT: Let's just see where we are with the                 |  |  |
| 21  | system.                                                         |  |  |
| 22  | (Pause)                                                         |  |  |
| 23  | What is it you want to place before the witness?                |  |  |
| 24  | MR. SKINNER: I am going to place a hard copy of 609,            |  |  |
| 25  | but I think we have it up on the screen now.                    |  |  |

25

Reinhardt - direct

THE COURT: Yes. 1 2. BY MR. SKINNER: Are you looking at the first page of what has been marked 3 as Government Exhibit 609 --4 Α. Yes. 5 -- Special Agent Reinhardt? 6 Ο. Can you tell us what kind of documents are in 7 Government Exhibit 609? 8 They are checks written from the Falcon Bank account in the 9 name of Hilario Martinez-Garcia. 10 If I focus your attention on that one that I just put the 11 circle around, where is that a check written to? 12 A. La Versailles Fragrances. 13 MR. SKINNER: We can take down 609. 14 May I approach, your Honor? 15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 Special Agent Reinhardt, I am showing you five photographs 17 that have been marked as Government Exhibits 916 to 920. I ask 18 you to take a look at them and let me know if you recognize 19 20 them. Yes, I do. 2.1 Α. What are these? 22 Ο. They are Mr. Datta's stores in Laredo, Texas and his 23 24 warehouse in Laredo, Texas.

So they are photographs of the different locations?

```
19lddat1
```

- Α. Yes. 1
- When were these photographs taken? 2
- I didn't take the photographs. 3
  - All right. Have you been to these locations?
  - Yes -- not all of them, no. Α.
    - Do you recognize the photographs? Q.
- 7 Α. Yes.

4

5

6

- MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, we offer Government Exhibits 8 916 to 920.
- MR. WHITE: No objection. 10
- THE COURT: Received. 11
- (Government's Exhibits 916 to 920 received in 12
- evidence) 13
- MR. SKINNER: Can we just publish these exhibits one 14
- 15 by one?
- THE COURT: Yes, you may. 16
- MR. SKINNER: Can we call up 916 first? 17
- Special Agent Reinhardt, can I ask you what we are looking 18
- at here? 19
- The store on 1213 Zaragoza. 20 Α.
- Is this one of the La Versailles retail stores? 21
- 22 Α. Yes.
- Is this in Laredo, Texas? 23 Q.
- 24 Α. Correct.
- Can we call up 917, please? 25

```
| 19lddat1
```

| 1    |                                                             | What are we looking at here?                            |  |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 2    | Α.                                                          | Another one of the stores in Laredo.                    |  |
| 3    | Q.                                                          | Is this another one of the La Versailles retail stores? |  |
| 4    | A.                                                          | Correct.                                                |  |
| 5    | Q.                                                          | Can we call up 918?                                     |  |
| 6    |                                                             | What is this a photograph of?                           |  |
| 7    | Α.                                                          | The warehouse.                                          |  |
| 8    | Q.                                                          | And again where is this located?                        |  |
| 9    | A.                                                          | 1401 Lincoln.                                           |  |
| 10   | Q.                                                          | Is that in Laredo, Texas?                               |  |
| 11   | A.                                                          | Yes.                                                    |  |
| 12   | Q.                                                          | And can we now call up 919?                             |  |
| 13   |                                                             | What is this?                                           |  |
| 14   | A.                                                          | The store on 407 Convent.                               |  |
| 15   | Q.                                                          | This is a Valencia store, correct?                      |  |
| 16   | Α.                                                          | Yes.                                                    |  |
| 17   | Q.                                                          | Valencia was also owned by the defendant, Vikram Datta? |  |
| 18   | Α.                                                          | Correct.                                                |  |
| 19   | Q.                                                          | And, last, can we call up 920?                          |  |
| 20 . |                                                             | What is this?                                           |  |
| 21   | Α.                                                          | Another store located on Zaragoza Street.               |  |
| 22   | Q.                                                          | Is this another Valencia retail store?                  |  |
| 23   | Α.                                                          | Yes.                                                    |  |
| 24   | Q.                                                          | How far is this Valencia retail store from the La       |  |
| 25   | Versailles retail store that is located on Zaragoza Street? |                                                         |  |

- 1 | A. They are pretty much right next to each other.
  - Q. We can take down 920. Thank you.

Special Agent Reinhardt, last week on September 15th you testified about Government Exhibit 302, which is a compilation of CTRs from 2009 and 2010, correct?

A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

- Q. And you also testified about the total figures for the cash reported on the CTRs for those two years, is that right?
- 9 | A. Yes.
  - Q. You testified that the CTRs for 2009 totaled roughly 13 million and the CTRs for 2010 total roughly 18 million, is that right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you have an opportunity to further review those figures since testifying last week?
- 16 | A. Yes, I did.
- 2. Did you have any corrections to make to those figures?
- 18 A. Yes, the numbers for 2009.
- Q. What is the accurate figure for total amount of cash reported on the CTRs for 2009?
- 21 A. I don't remember off the top of my head.
- MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, may I approach?
- THE COURT: Yes.
- Q. Let me just ask you if looking at that helps refresh your recollection as to what the total figure for the CTRs in 2009

Reinhardt - direct

1 | is?

4

16

17

18

19

- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. And what is it?
  - A. Approximately \$14.3 million.
- Q. All right. So 1.3 million more than what you testified to previously?
- 7 A. Roughly, yes.
- Q. Just to remind the jury, what kind of information is included on a CTR?
- 10 A. The name of the business, the name of the employer
  11 individual that puts the money in the bank --
- 12 Q. When are CTRs filed?
- 13 A. Once the deposit goes over \$10,000.
- 14 | Q. Special Agent Reinhardt, I want to show you a document that
  15 | we marked --
  - THE COURT: Just to be clear, these are reports of cash deposits on behalf of La Versailles where more than 10,000 in cash was put in at a time?
  - THE WITNESS: It is aggregated. Like if he has a 5,000, a 5,000 and a \$2,000 deposit, a CTR will be triggered.
- THE COURT: On the same day?
- 22 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- THE COURT: Thank you. Go ahead.
- 24 BY MR. SKINNER:
- 25 | Q. Just to be clear, these figures are for La Versailles as

Reinhardt - direct

- well as a handful of other corporate entities that are 1 controlled by the defendant, correct? 2
  - Α. Yes.

3

8

- Special Agent Reinhardt, I would like to show you an 4 exhibit that we've marked as Government Exhibit 304, and ask 5
- you if you recognize that exhibit? 6
- 7 Yes. Α.
  - Could you tell us what that exhibit is?
- These are the CTRs for La Versailles and the other Α. 9 businesses for '07 and '08. 10
- Did you compile that exhibit? 11
- 12 Α. Yes.
- How did you compile that exhibit? 13
- Through the Detroit database which is the system used by 14 Α. IRS to track records from FINCEN.
- What's FINCEN? 16 Ο.
- The Financial Crimes Enforcement Network. 17
- Is this a centralized database that is maintained by 18
- Department of Treasury? 19
- 20 Α. Yes.
- MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, the government offers 21 Government Exhibit 304. 22
- 23 MR. WHITE: No objection.
- THE COURT: Received. 24
- (Government's Exhibit 304 received in evidence) 25

Reinhardt - direct

- Q. Special Agent Reinhardt, were you able to review those documents and determine similar total cash figures that you had for '09 and '10 but for the years 2007 and 2008?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

- Q. What was the total cash figure for 2007?
- A. Approximately \$5.9 million.
- 7 | Q. What was the total cash figure for 2008?
- 8 A. Approximately \$7.8 million.
- 9 | Q. Now, I show you a document that's been marked as Government
- 10 Exhibit 211. Have you reviewed that exhibit prior to
- 11 | testifying today?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. Do you recognize that exhibit?
- 14 | A. Yes, I do.
- 15 | Q. Can you please tell us what that exhibit is?
- 16 A. They are the Forms 8300 that were found in Mr. Datta's
- 17 | business.
- 18 0. So when were these found?
- 19 | A. During the search of Mr. Datta's business.
- MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, the government offers
- 21 | Exhibit 211.
- MR. WHITE: No objection.
- 23 | THE COURT: Received.
- 24 | (Government's Exhibit 211 received in evidence)
- 25 MR. SKINNER: Can we, Ms. Quinones, possibly put up

Reinhardt - direct

- 1 | the first page?
- I'm just going to put the first page of this exhibit up on the projector. Oh, it is not working?
  - MR. BRAGG: No.
- Q. Agent Reinhardt, let me ask you, have you reviewed all the documents in Government Exhibit 211?
  - A. Yes.

4

7

8

- Q. All right. And I don't know if you can see from there, but looking at the first page, there is a signature on the bottom?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Whose signature is that?
- 12 A. Mr. Datta.
- 13 Q. Is Mr. Datta -- is there anybody else's signature on any
- 14 8300s other than Mr. Datta's?
- 15 | A. Not that I recall.
- 16 | Q. You also testified on September 15th about Government
- 17 | Exhibit 303, correct?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 | Q. And 303 is the CMIRs that were filed by Hilario
- 20 | Martinez-Garcia?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 | Q. Can you just remind us what a CMIR is?
- 23 | A. It is a form filed by an individual crossing the U.S.
- 24 border with currency to declare.
- 25 | Q. You testified on September 15th that Mr. Garcia's total

191ddat1

Reinhardt - direct

- declarations on the CMIRs was 5.6 million; is that what you said last week?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. All right. And have you reviewed that figure again since testifying on September 15th?
- 6 | A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. And is it accurate?
- 8 | A. No.
- 9 Q. What is the total figure from the CMIRs for declarations by 10 Hilario Martinez-Garcia at customs?
- 11 | A. \$11.4 million.
- 12 | Q. And that \$5.6 million figure, did that -- withdrawn.
- Have you also reviewed the same exhibit to determine
  the total CMIR figure for Mr. Martinez-Garcia's declarations
  about money that was being delivered to La Versailles?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- Q. And how much money did Mr. Martinez-Garcia declare as being delivered to La Versailles?
- 19 | A. \$6.7 million.
- Q. All right. Now, again last week you testified about
  Government Exhibit 301, correct?
- 22 | A. Yes.
- Q. And that's the compilation of 8300s that was maintained by the Treasury Department, correct?
- 25 | A. Correct.

- Q. And that's information from the 8300s that is stored electronically on a Treasury Department database, is that
- 3 || right?

8

- $\parallel$  A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Now, are you able to search that database to try and
- 6 | identify the individuals who are reported as having delivered
- 7 | cash to a business?
  - A. Yes.
- 9 | Q. And did you search that database to determine whether La
- 10 | Versailles had filed any 8300s purporting to have received
- 11 | money from Hilario Martinez-Garcia?
- 12 A. Yes, I did.
- 13 | Q. What did you find when you ran that search?
- 14 | A. There were none in 2009 and 2010.
- 15 | Q. Did you search the database to determine whether there were
- 16 8300s filed by any other company controlled by Vikram Datta
- 17 | that disclosed money received from Hilario Martinez-Garcia?
- 18 A. Yes, I did.
- 19 Q. And what did you find when you ran that search?
- 20 | A. There were none.
- 21 | Q. Now, were there any 8300s filed in the name of Hilario
- 22 | Martinez-Garcia at some point in 2011?
- 23 | A. Yes.

- 24 | Q. All right. How many?
  - A. I believe two or three.

- Q. All right. And were those filed before or after January 15th of 2011?
  - A. They are dated after January 2011.
  - Q. Meaning the filing date is after?
- 5 | A. Yes.

3

4

- 6 | Q. When you say "dated," what do you mean?
- 7 A. On the bottom of the form it was dated I think June 15,
- 8 | 2011.
  - Q. Were any of those forms signed by the defendant?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 | Q. Have you searched the same database of 8300s to determine
- whether any of the companies controlled by Vikram Datta filed
- 13 | 8300s reporting cash delivered by Faustino Garza?
- 14 A. Yes, I did.
- 15 | Q. What did you find when you ran that search?
- 16 | A. There were none filed.
- 17 | Q. Were you able to search to see whether any Form 8300s were
- 18 | filed by a company controlled by Vikram Datta for money
- 19 delivered by Fausto Garza?
- 20 | A. Yes, I did.
- 21 | Q. What did you find when you ran that search?
- 22 | A. There were none.
- 23 | Q. What was the name of the undercover agent who delivered the
- 24 money to the La Versailles store in San Ysidro in August of
- 25 | 2010?

- Jose Correa. Α.
- Is that the name that the agent provided to the employees 2
- at the store, to the best of your knowledge? 3
- Α. Yes. 4

8

9

11

- Have you searched the database for La Versailles or any 5 other Vikram Datta store to determine whether any 8300s were 6
- filed in the name of Jose Correa? 7
  - Α. Yes.
    - What did you find? Q.
- There was none. 10 Α.
  - MR. SKINNER: One moment, your Honor.
- (Pause) 12
- MR. SKINNER: No further questions, your Honor. 13
- THE COURT: Thank you. Cross-examination. 14
- CROSS-EXAMINATION 15
- BY MR. WHITE: 16
- Good morning, Agent Reinhardt. 17
- Good morning, sir. 18
- You testified on direct that you and other law enforcement 19
- officers executed search warrants at the La Versailles 20
- warehouse and stores and Mr. Datta's home, correct? 21
- Yes, sir. 22 Α.
- And did you participate in those searches yourself? 23 Q.
- Yes, sir. 24 Α.

25

And you found financial records?

Reinhardt - cross

- 1 | A. I was at Mr. Datta's house; I was not at the business.
- 2  $\parallel$  Q. You didn't participate in the search of the business?
  - A. I got there after they had already had everything boxed up.
    - Q. Had you reviewed the documents that were seized from the
- 5 | warehouse?

3

4

7

- 6 A. Yes, I did.
  - Q. And they consist of extensive financial records?
- 8 A. There was a lot, yes.
- 9 | Q. And invoices?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. Receipt books?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. And you participated in the search of his home, too,
- 14 | correct?
- 15 | A. Yes, sir.
- 16 | Q. And you seized information regarding bank accounts?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- 18 | Q. In searching the warehouse, to your knowledge, were
- 19 computers also seized?
  - A. Yes, they were.
- 21 | Q. And the hard drives from those computers were imaged by law
- 22 | enforcement?
- 23 A. Yes, by the Drug Enforcement Administration.
- 24 | Q. What does that mean, to image the hard drive?
- 25 A. They basically take a copy of the contents of the computer

6

7

8

9

11

12

1.5

18

25

Reinhardt - cross

- and they provide us a copy of it. 1
- So you had -- the government, the law enforcement who 2 seized these had the ability to review anything that was on 3 those computers' hard drives at his business? 4
  - A. Yes and no. We didn't get back the information from DEA until like shortly before this trial began. So the computers weren't really reviewed that much.
  - Q. Did you learn anything about the contents of those computers?
- From what I saw, it was limited information. 10
  - Do you know whether it had financial records?
  - I didn't see much. No, sir.
- Q. Did you see Excel spreadsheets for every single transaction 13 that has been conducted since 2005? 14
  - I saw some Excel spreadsheets but not all.
- Q. Did you see Excel spreadsheets for every single wholesale 16 client of La Versailles? 17
  - MR. SKINNER: Objection.
- THE COURT: Ground? 19
- MR. SKINNER: Beyond the scope of direct examination. 20
- THE COURT: Sustained. 21
- BY MR. WHITE: 2.2
- So when you testified on direct that you found financial 23 records and you reviewed the financial records, you only 24 reviewed essentially the hard copies of the financial records;

(212) 805-0300

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

Reinhardt - cross

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

| ic   | that  | true? |
|------|-------|-------|
| T 25 | ullau | Liuc. |

- What was available to me.
- Is it true that you only reviewed the hard copy of the records, nothing from the computer?
  - Α. Yes.
  - And as you sit here now, you are aware they had Excel spreadsheets on the computer, aren't you?
  - I am aware there were some Excel spreadsheets, yes.
  - And that there were QuickBooks entries on the computer also?

MR. SKINNER: Objection, your Honor.

Are you aware of that? Q.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Did you review any QuickBooks entries?

MR. SKINNER: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

Go on to another subject, counselor.

MR. WHITE: Ms. Quinones, could you put up Government Exhibit 1004.

(Pause)

- I would just like to make clear what it is that this exhibit -- you prepared this exhibit, correct, Agent Reinhardt?
- Yes, I did.
- I would like to make clear what this exhibit consists of and what the sources for the information on it are.

Α. OK.

1

- Let's start with the first column -- that's column 1 -- the 2
- second column, "Crossing date," what does that column 3
- represent? 4
  - The crossing date as per the CMIR. Α.
- And what CMIR? 6 Q.
- The CMIR that was filed. 7 Α.
- By whom? 8 Q.
- By Mr. Martinez-Garcia. 9
- OK. And the second entry, "Cash book date," what does that 10
- mean? 11
- A. The date that is indicated in the cash book. 12
- Q. And when you say "cash book," do you mean a book like this, 13
- Government Exhibit 201, in evidence? 14
- Yes, sir. 15 Α.
- So if I understand you correctly, you took these books and 16
- you reviewed them and you took information from here and you 17
- entered it onto this chart? 18
- Yes, sir. 19 Α.
- And the "deposit date," what does that represent? 20 Q.
- The deposit date per the bank records. 21 Α.
- The deposit date derived from bank records? 22 Ο.
- Yes. Α. 23
- And these are records you obtained from banks? 24
- Α. Yes. 25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

- The "crossing amount"? Q.
- Is the amount that was listed on the CMIR filed by 2 Mr. Hilario Martinez-Garcia.
  - Agent Reinhardt, does this chart assume -- withdrawn.

The crossing amount on the CMIRs filed for Hilario Martinez with relation only to La Versailles?

- Yes. Α.
- And does this column assume that --Q.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Which column, Mr. White? MR. WHITE: We are on crossing amount. The column, "Crossing Amount."

- Q. Does that column assume that the information that Hilario Martinez provided at the border crossing was truthful and accurate?
- Yes. 15
  - And the next column, "Deposit Amount," where is that information derived from?
  - A. From the bank records.
    - From the bank records. This shows on a particular date --Q. how does this match up? How did you do this? The dates of the cash book and the crossing and the deposit aren't always the same, correct?
- Yes. 23 Α.
  - So how did you match up the deposit with the crossing date and the crossing amount?

2

3

4

5

7

6

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

I looked at the amount of deposits and then like, for instance, if you go to line 1, the crossing amount per the CMIR Then I went to the bank accounts to see if there was \$34,735. was a corresponding deposit for that amount or near that amount. And then on the deposit ticket was written J. Franco, so that's where the J. Franco comes from. And then the cash book, there is also the same entry for 34,735 as an example.

If I can just stop you for a minute?

The deposit slips you retrieved from the banks themselves?

- Yes. Α.
- And the deposit slips had been filled out by representatives of La Versailles?
- Yes -- I assume, yes.
- And written on the deposit slips was the names of the particular customer?
- First initial, last name or, yeah. Α.
- Now, in matching this information up, the deposit date, the crossing amount, you see, you pointed out in line number 1 that there is a match between a deposit amount and a crossing amount. But as you go down both of those columns, there's frequently no match at all; they are quite different in some True? instances.
- Yes. Α.
- But how did you match those ones up, then?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

20

25

Well, like there would be -- let me find a no match.

On February 16th, where there is \$103,000 declared by Mr. Martinez-Garcia, from what Martinez-Garcia told us, Jose Contreras and Jose Franco were customers. So I just used those two deposits that were found on that date.

- Q. OK. And if we pick another -- let's pick the date on line number 5, a crossing date of January 19th, that would be similar? You cumulated the two deposits on that day and matched it up against the crossing amount that Hilario Martinez provided?
- A. Yes, and then to customers that we were told were customers 11 of Mr. Martinez and Mr. Garza. 12
  - Q. Now, there's dates -- if we just look at line 7 for one moment, the same two columns, \$100,000 crossing amount?
- Mm-hmm. 15 Α.
- That means the CMI -- Hilario Martinez, when he filled out 16 the CMI statement, said he was crossing \$100,000 for La 17 Versailles, correct? 18
- That's correct. 19 Α.
  - But you only found a deposit of 70,000 for that day?
- There could have been other deposits, but that's the only 21 Α. one I could match to a known customer. 22
- You have been here throughout trial and have heard all 23 OK. the testimony, correct? 24
  - A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Q. Do you recall Hilario Martinez' testimony that when he got across the border, sometimes he would divide up his money among some of his colleagues from the casa de cambio and they would distribute it to different stores? Do you recall that testimony?

MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, I object.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q. Continuing along, the column that says "Bank" is obviously the bank where the deposit was made, correct?
- A. Yes, sir.
- O. And the "Account Number"?
  - A. Account ending numbers.
  - Q. Account ending numbers.
- And the "Customer Per Bank Docs," what does that mean?
- 15 A. Like I described before, some of the bank deposit tickets

  16 would have like the first initial last name or first two
- 17 | initials last name.
- Q. OK. And so all the information in this column come from
- 19 | the bank deposit slips filled out by La Versailles people?
- 20 | A. Yes, sir.
- 21 | Q. If I could call your attention to line 3, the third column
- over, "Cash Book Date." There's an entry on your chart of
- 23 | none. No cash book date. You could find nothing to match up
- with that \$200,000 that Hilario Martinez told the border
- officials that he was crossing for La Versailles and a \$200,000

```
Reinhardt - cross
      19lddat1
     deposit into Wells Fargo for the customer Jose Franco, is that
1
      correct?
2
      A. Correct.
3
                (Continued on next page)
4
5
6
7
8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Case 1:11-cr-00102-LAK Document 61 Filed 10/27/11 Page 499 of 652

2

3

4

MR. WHITE: May I approach, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

BY MR. WHITE:

- Q. Showing you Government Exhibit 201, the cash book, a cash
- book, page 167 of that cash book, does it identify the name of 5
- the customer there? 6
- Yes. 7 Α.
- Do you see an entry for \$200,000? 8 Q.
- Yes, I do. Α. 9
- What date was that? 10
- 12/31/2009. Α. 11
- Actually there is an entry on the cash book for \$200,000 on 12
- that date? 13
- Α. Yes. 14
- Whereas your chart says none, correct? 15
- 16 Α. Correct.
- Can you explain why that is? 17 Q.
- I must have missed it. 18 Α.
- Do you see below line 4, it's also none for the date of 19 Q.
- January 11, 2010? 20
- 21 Yes. Α.
- Can you find that entry there for such a deposit? 22 Q.
- 23 Α. Yes, on January 12.
- The amount? 24 Ο.
- \$70,000. Α. 25

Reinhardt - cross

- Q. Line number 5 on your chart, you have no deposit made on January 19, 2010?
- THE COURT: I am sorry, I think you are misstating that.
  - Q. On your chart on line 5 under the cash book date, you have none?
- 7 | A. For the \$47,000.
- 8 Q. For the \$100,000.
- 9 | A. OK.

5

6

- 10 Q. Excuse me, for the \$47,000, do you find an entry for that?
- 11 | A. Under the same customer?
- 12 | Q. Yes.
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Thank you. So this chart is inaccurate to that extent?
- 15 | A. Yes, it is.
- 16 | Q. If you look at line 6 it shows a crossing amount -17 | withdrawn.
- Looking at line 5 again, it shows a crossing amount of \$100,000, correct?
- 20 | A. Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. And a deposit amount of \$47,000, correct?
- 22 A. And 50.
- 23 | Q. And 50 on the same day. You combined those two?
- 24 A. Correct.

25

Q. You combined those two under that date and under that

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

12

crossing you attributed those two amounts to that crossing, correct?

- That's correct. Α.
- The customer for the \$50,000 was Juan Rubio? Q.
- On line 5, yes, Juan Rubio. Α.
- The second customer was Juan Rubio? Q.
- Correct. 7 Α.
  - You have spoken to Faustino Garza? Q.
  - Correct. Α.
- He has identified who his customers were, who his clients 10 were, has he not? 11
  - MR. SKINNER: Objection.
- THE COURT: Objection stained. 13
- To your knowledge was Juan Rubio a client? Ο. 14
- MR. SKINNER: Objection. 15
- THE COURT: Sustained. Please Mr. White. 16
- Q. During the course of your investigation have you done any 17 investigation regarding Juan Rubio? 18
- Α. No. 19
- This morning the government offered into evidence 20 Government Exhibit 609 I believe which were checks drawn on 21 Charlton Bank from the account of Hilario Martinez-Garcia. 22
- You saw those checks, did you not? 23
- Α. Yes. 24

25

Did you see a check on that same date, January 19, 2010, to

- left; that is dated January 19, 2010, correct?
- Yes, sir. Α. 23

24

And that was a check from Hilario Martinez-Garcia to La Versailles? 25

For \$23,000. Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- That is not reflected in your chart, is it?
- Α. No.
- For that date. Just one more question about the chart. Q.

THE COURT: Let me clarify something in my mind.

The chart you are referring to in answering that question was Government Exhibit 1004, is that right? It was the chart at the beginning of your cross-examination.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: That counsel just took you through in length, is that right?

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Am I correct in remembering that that chart is indicating instances where reports were filed by Martinez-Garcia for cash carried across the border, right?

THE COURT: In many cases, if not all, entered in the La Versailles cash book on or about the date the money crossed the border, is that right?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

THE WITNESS: Correct.

THE COURT: Then a corresponding bank deposit was made in many cases, right?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Did you make any such entries on that chart with respect to checks?

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: So that's apples and oranges, is that it?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Let's go on.

#### BY MR. WHITE:

- This chart does not reflect other payments that Hilario Martinez-Garcia made to La Versailles that was not in the form of cash, is that correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Just one last question on this chart. If you to the very 10 last column, line 9, the customer per bank document says 11
- Armando Ruiz? 12
- Rodriquez? 13 Α.
- On line 9? 14 Ο.
- 15 Α. Yes.
- Q. Maybe it's a Freudian slip; that was actually Armando 16 Rodriguez, wasn't it? 17
  - A. I don't have, it could be, I don't have bank documents in front of me.
    - MR. WHITE: If I could approach the witness. Government Exhibit 607 in evidence. It's fairly voluminous. I have one page; I think it would be more convenient to mark it as a defense exhibit.
  - Showing you Defendant Exhibit B, looking at this document, do you recognize what that is?

- Yes, it's a deposit ticket. Α.
- That's the type of deposit ticket you reviewed in putting 2 together your chart? 3
  - Α. Yes.

4

- Do you see how the customer's identified on that? 5
- 6 Α. Yes.
- 7 Q. How?
- Armando either I or L and RDZ. 8 Α.
- Do you interpret that as being short for Rodriguez? 9 Ο.
- Α. Yes. 10
- And the I for Israel? 11 Ο.
- 12 Α. I don't know.
- I would like to ask you some questions about form 8300. 13
- You testified on direct examination that you have had special 14
- training in form 8300s and CTRs and CMIRs, is that correct? 15
- Correct. 16 Α.
- In your review of the records obtained from either banks or 17
- from the search of La Versailles or from other sources, from 18
- your review of all those records, La Versailles kept records of 19
- the names of customers, correct? 20
- Yes. 2.1 Α.
- 22 And the dates of transactions? Ο.
- 23 Α. Yes.
- The amounts of the transactions? 24 Ο.
- 25 Α. Yes.

- The method of payment? Q.
- Yes. 2 Α.

9

12

21

- Whether it was cash, wire, check? 3 Q.
- Α. Yes. 4
- In some cases, perhaps in every case, the denomination of 5
- the bills? 6
- 7 Yes. Α.
- Yes? Q. 8
  - Yes. Α.
- Showing you Government Exhibits 209 and 210 in evidence, 10 you know what these are? 11
- Α.

Yes.

- These are receipt books maintained by La Versailles? 13
- MR. SKINNER: Objection, your Honor. 14
- THE COURT: What's the objection? 15
- MR. SKINNER: Beyond the scope of direct examination; 16 the witness has not testified as to these receipt books. 17
- THE COURT: Sustained. 18
- In your review of the records, did La Versailles maintain a 19 record of who conducted the transaction, in other words, who 20
- MR. SKINNER: Objection. 22
- THE COURT: Grounds? 23
- MR. SKINNER: Same objection; beyond the scope. 24

paid them the money, who delivered the cash?

MR. WHITE: Your Honor, may I. 25

THE COURT: Briefly.

2

MR. WHITE: 8300, that's my line of examination, about

3

the information on 8300.

4

THE COURT: Then ask about it.

5

MR. SKINNER: If that's where we are going, I don't

6

have an objection.

7

THE COURT: Then ask about that.

8

BY MR. WHITE:

9

Q. Form 8300 is required to be filed by any business that receives over \$10,000 in cash, correct?

11

10

A. Correct.

12

Q. Form 8300 is available, easily available on the IRS

13

website, is that correct?

14

A. Yes.

15

Q. When you download a copy of the form you get with it 4-page instructions on how to fill it out, correct?

16

A. I believe so.

18

19

17

MR. WHITE: If I may show the witness what has been marked Defendant Exhibit C for identification.

20

THE COURT: Yes.

21

Q. Take a minute and look through that and tell me if you recognize it, agent Reinhardt.

22

23

A. It's a form 8300 with instructions attached.

24

MR. WHITE: I offer that in evidence, your Honor.

25

MR. SKINNER: No objection.

23

24

25

THE COURT: Received.

(Defendant Exhibit C received in evidence)

THE COURT: Just a blank form?

MR. WHITE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: How many hundred completed forms do we have in the record.

MR. WHITE: We have none with instructions, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK. Another tree lost its life.

MR. WHITE: Let me keep the exhibit in front of the within if I may; I will ask him some questions about it.

BY MR. WHITE:

- Q. Part I of that form 8300 requires the identity of the individual from whom the cash was received, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. So if a customer walks into a merchant and gives him \$15,000 in cash, that customer would be the individual from whom the cash was received, correct?
- A. Correct.
  - Q. The second part, the person on whose behalf this transaction was conducted, in the example I just gave you of the customer walking in to the merchant and paying \$15,000, who would be the person on whose behalf this transaction was conducted?
  - A. It would be the person who dropped the cash. You said the

2

5

6

7

8

- customer brings the cash to the store.
- The customer brings it himself?
- That's on whose behalf it's being conducted, if they say 3 it's for them. 4
  - Q. So in the hypothetical I gave you of the customer bringing \$15,000 to the merchant, he would have to fill out part 1 and part 2 because he is the one bringing it and it's also on his
- I would assume, yes. 9
- Suppose the customer is sick on the day the payment is due 10 so he sends the money over by messenger; now who is the 11 individual from whom the cash was received? 12
- The messenger. 13 Α.

behalf?

- So the merchant would have to get all this information from 14 the messenger? 15
- 16 Α. Yes.
- His driver license and country he is from, all of this 17 information? 18
- What identifying information is available, yes. 19
- Look at the instructions; do you see anywhere where they 20 instruct you how to fill out part 1 and part 2? 21
- A. On page 4, part 1. 22
- Do any of those instructions explain exactly what is meant 23 by the person on whose behalf this transaction was conducted in 24 part 2 or the identity of the individual from whom the cash was 25

received?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

THE COURT: Sustained; the document speaks for itself.

MR. WHITE: Again, your Honor, I would like to show the witness just one exhibit from Government Exhibit 302 which is all the CTRs. I would like to mark this just as Defendant Exhibit C and show it to the witness.

> THE COURT: Try D.

MR. WHITE: Defendant Exhibit D.

THE COURT: From which exhibit?

MR. WHITE: From Government Exhibit 302.

Thank you. THE COURT:

BY MR. WHITE:

- You have reviewed all the CTRs in this case you said?
- Α. Yes.

MR. WHITE: Look at Defendant Exhibit D.

it's Deemed in evidence, your Honor as under

Government Exhibit 302?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Defendant Exhibit D received in evidence)

BY MR. WHITE: 20

- Do you recognize that CTR?
- It's a CTR from La Versailles Fragrances.
- The CTR unlike the 8300 is filed by the bank itself when it 23
- receives a transaction exceeding \$10,000, is that correct? 24
- Yes. 25 Α.

2

3

4

5

8

- Q. The CTR form, in part 1 of that form, it says person involved in the transaction, correct?
- A. Part 1 --
  - MR. SKINNER: Objection.

Fargo Bank, is that correct?

- THE COURT: Sustained. The form speaks for itself.
- The particular form you have before you, the currency 6 transaction report you have before you was completed by Wells 7
- A. Yes. 9
- It describes a transaction that was made in Newark, New 10 Jersey? 11
- That's correct. 12
- Q. You recall the testimony from agent Duffy about finding all 13 these deposit slips and miscellaneous other items in a hotel 14
- room in Newark, New Jersey? 15
- I do. 16 Α.
- Those documents were associated with Miguel Lara Ramirez? 17
- Α. 18 Yes.
- They reflect deposits made in Newark, New Jersey, is that 19 correct? 20
- 21 Α. Yes.
- On this particular form, the person involved in the 22 transaction is La Versailles Fragrances? 23
- That's correct. 24 Α.
- That's what's entered on here. Now there is a section B 25

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

- that covers the individual conducting the transaction, correct?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And does this say Miguel Lara Ramirez or any other individual?
  - A. No, because it's at an ATM machine, and several smaller deposits are made at one time, the bank will just trigger the CTR on the business because there was a series of deposits under \$10,000.
  - Q. The answer is no, it does not show any individual --

THE COURT: Mr. White, the document is in evidence.

You can make whatever argument you want. What we are doing now is a responsive reading by the witness. I am confident he is able to read it. Let's move on.

- Q. Do you have any evidence that the money deposited into the Wells Fargo Bank in Newark, New Jersey was deposited by ATM?
- A. Yes.
- Q. What is the evidence of that, that it was ATM and not over the counter?
  - MR. SKINNER: Objection.
- 20 | THE COURT: Grounds?

MR. SKINNER: The defendant didn't testify to these documents, the documents are not in front of him, beyond the scope of his direct testimony.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. WHITE:

- A. If it's over \$10,000 at one time; if I walk into the bank with \$12,000, the CTR is going to be filed right there. If I make a \$5,000 in the morning and then an ATM deposit in the afternoon \$6,000, it will be triggered by the bank itself.

  They are not going to ask me for ID at the ATM machine. It's triggered automatically on my business.
- Q. Here, what is the amount of the transaction in the exhibit before you?
  - A. \$28,000 in multiple transactions.

transaction is over \$10,000?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Why was there no record of who made that transaction?
- A. Because it would have been made at ATM machines and you don't have, there is no teller.
  - Q. That's an exception to the CTR rules; you don't have to do it if the deposits are in the ATM machines?

MR. SKINNER: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

### BY MR. WHITE:

- Q. To your knowledge, to make a deposit in an ATM machine, you would have a PIN number, correct, to your knowledge?
  - MR. SKINNER: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained. Look, let's move on please.

MR. WHITE: Can I challenge his testimony that these

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

deposits were made by ATM.

THE COURT: Just move on, counsel.

BY MR. WHITE:

- Q. Did you in reviewing the cash books, did you seek to find entries in the cash books for those deposits that were made directly into the accounts in places like Newark or other areas
- 7 | remote from Laredo; did you attempt to do that?
  - A. No.
  - Q. As you sit there now you don't know if those transactions were entered into La Versailles' books?
- 11 | A. No, I do not.
  - Q. Did you attempt to locate 8300s reflecting those deposits?
- 13 | A. No.
- Q. As you sit here now you don't know if 8300s were filed for those deposits or were not, is that true?
- 16 | A. For those deposits in Newark, no.
- Q. Government Exhibit 1102 is now on the screen. You testified about this exhibit on direct examination; do you recall?
- 20 | A. Yes, I do.
- Q. On direct examination this morning you identified photographs of business associated with Mr. Datta in Laredo?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. How many stores in total does he have or did he have in Laredo?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. I am not sure of the exact number.
- Q. You have three here. Did you show more than three this morning?
  - A. There are four here.
  - Q. Do you know if he had a total of five?
  - A. Four including, are you including the warehouse or no?

MR. SKINNER: Objection to the relevance of the line of questioning; I am not sure what the point is.

THE COURT: What is the point, counselor?

MR. WHITE: That this exhibit is in error; it's only three stores here and there were five.

MR. SKINNER: I believe the testimony is the exhibit reflected the stores from the defendant. We will stipulate one of the stores is missing if that's what he is getting at.

THE COURT: When you say missing, it's in the location covered by this map or not?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$  SKINNER: I don't think the address is noted with a little white box.

THE COURT: Is there an understanding as to whether or not this aerial photograph covers geographical area in which this fourth store was.

MR. SKINNER: We would have to ask the witness.

THE COURT: Ask the witness.

BY MR. WHITE:

Q. How many stores, as you sit here now, how many stores do

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1.9

20

21

22

23

24

25

you recall there being affiliated with Mr. Datta on Convent Avenue?

- A. There are at least two; one is not on the photo.
- Q. At least two; there may be more?
- A. Yes.

THE COURT: Was the second store that was located on Convent Avenue.

THE WITNESS: I would assume it was 2 block up.

THE COURT: I am not asking what you assume; I am trying to find out of what you know. Do you know where on Convent Avenue the second store was; do you know its address?

THE WITNESS: From the picture, it's 407 Convent.

THE COURT: How can you tell from the picture that it's number 407; are you referring to a different exhibit?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: What exhibit are you referring to?

THE WITNESS: 919.

THE COURT: Are you able to tell us one way or another whether 407 Convent Avenue appears within this aerial photograph or is beyond the boundaries of it?

THE WITNESS: It does not appear on this overhead photo and I am not sure where it would be on this map.

THE COURT: OK. Just so we are clear, if somebody has five stores in New York, one in each borough, and you take an aerial photograph of Manhattan, four of them are not going to

MR. SKINNER: There are four yellow dots.

THE COURT: Sustained as to form.

I am sorry, the yellow dot at 1401.

22

23

24

25

Q.

Α.

Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Are you the witness or the person who identified that building as the warehouse?
  - A. I am not sure what you mean by that.
  - Q. You were in that building, correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Is this, identifying this building as the warehouse, is that based on your knowledge?
    - A. It looked like a warehouse.

THE COURT: I don't know what these questions mean; maybe we can get it this way. Did you prepare this photograph, Government Exhibit 1102, by which I mean putting the labels on the photograph?

THE WITNESS: No, I did not.

THE COURT: Somebody else did that?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: Are you able to tell us where that other person got the information that indicated that a relevant location was 1401 Lincoln Street?

THE WITNESS: No.

THE COURT: Could we go on to something.

MR. WHITE: Yes, your Honor.

BY MR. WHITE:

Q. Would it be fair to say, agent Reinhardt, that you reviewed the documents that were seized from Mr. Datta and his business since his arrest?

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

25

- Α. Yes.
- BY MR. WHITE: 2
- I would like to show you what I am marking Defendant 3
- Exhibit E for identification; it's Bates-stamped 055130. 4
- you recognize this document, agent Reinhardt; can I ask you if 5
- you have even it before? 6
- Looking at it immediately I don't remember seeing it. I am 7 reading it.
- Q. Review it and see if you recall it. 9

THE COURT: Do you have a copy for me, counselor.

I am sorry, I thought we would be MR. WHITE: displaying them on the screen; I did not make copies for all the parties.

MR. SKINNER: You can take my copy.

(Pause)

- Α. OK. 16
  - Having looked at it do you recognize that as a document you reviewed from the documents seized from Mr. Datta's business?
- A. I remember reading a letter similar to this; however, I 19
- don't recall seeing what's on the back page here. 20
- recall saying that in the letter that I saw. I don't know if 21
- there were two copies. 2.2
- THE COURT: Don't say anything about its contents. 23
- THE WITNESS: OK. 24
  - I would offer this in evidence minus the MR. WHITE:

# Case 1:11-cr-00102-LAK Document 61 Filed 10/27/11 Page 521 of 652 19L4DAT2 Reinhardt - cross

scribbles on the back page. MR. SKINNER: Objection. Sustained. THE COURT: I have no further questions, your Honor. MR. WHITE: Thank you. We will take a break. THE COURT: (Recess) (Continued on next page) 

(Jury not present)

MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, we have a brief issue. The parties have signed a stipulation with regard to the admissibility of a number of foreign language calls. The government had intended to introduce that stipulation of the foreign language calls before calling Fausto Garza who is the cooperating witness slated to call after the next witness who is going to be called. We may get to him before the lunch break. The issue is that Mr. White just told me that he thinks a handful of these calls that relate to a particular subject matter are not relevant and shouldn't come in. There is no objection as to the accuracy of the phone calls; it's purely a legal ruling as to whether they are relevant or not.

THE COURT: What's the subject matter?

MR. SKINNER: These are phone calls between Vikram Datta's employee Cynthia and two large perfume customers in Laredo named Octavio and Polo. There is considerable discussion on the phone calls about the collection of debts from another perfume customer named Namour and somebody I believe who goes by Polo. There is discussion about the fact that Namour has people, that Octavio has people. I am now telling you why I think it's relevant. The fact that they have people which we view and intend to argue is a reference to being connected to organized crime and criminals in Mexico.

There is also, the defendant says, that he can't

collect his debt, he is going to kill the motherfuckers, which 1 we would argue is indicative of the state of mind not of an 2 ordinary perfume merchant but somebody who is conversant with 3 the use of violence, with criminals, with using nonlegal 4 methods to collect debt, all of which we feel is relevant to 5 the case because we are trying to show that the defendant knew 6 that the money that he was getting was drug money. 7 we can show defendant has ties to people who appear to 8 be criminals and engage in criminal acts to collect debts, that 9 it's relevant to this case. What we would be asking for is a 10 legal ruling as to that at this point so we know whether to 11 take these things out of the stipulation or not.

MR. WHITE: Your Honor, I believe that the relevancy proffered by Mr. Skinner is very tenuous.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One preliminary question, do you have any THE COURT: disagreement with his description of what's on the tapes first of all?

MR. WHITE: Not what's on the tapes, just these were not perfume merchants in Nuevo Laredo, they were in Mexico City, large, two very big customers and Octavio who had been one of Mr. Datta's biggest customers if not his biggest.

I understand but there is no, you are not THE COURT: quarreling with his description of what was said by whom on the tapes, is that right?

> MR. WHITE: That's right. The tapes are all about

3

5

6

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

efforts to collect from a shipment Mr. Datta had made a long time ago to Namour and he is trying to get paid for the shipment or get the inventory returned to him.

But you don't dispute his description as THE COURT: far as it goes about what was said in the conversation.

> MR. WHITE: As I supplemented it.

Why shouldn't it come in. THE COURT:

I think any probative value of it to the MR. WHITE: charges in the indictment, the money laundering charge, is remote, and in the sense it has any, injecting violence into this case and a vulgar statement that Mr. Datta would himself would resort to violence to get paid for perfume he sold is unduly prejudicial when balanced against the probative value.

MR. SKINNER: With respect to prejudice, referring to the one statement with regard to Mr. Datta's own personal use of violence, we think it's highly probative because this is not the language of an ordinary merchant. It's the language of somebody who is himself willing to use criminal acts to collect a debt, is familiar with people who can do that.

There are repeat references to him sending men, people will be there, they will be there in two days, I want my money. First of all it's directly relevant to the charges. defendant's opening on entrapment has given a little bit wider latitude to show the defendant's prior course of conduct and how he may have been engaged in conduct similar to that's

alleged in the sting part of the count.

THE COURT: That's alleged in --

MR. SKINNER: Alleged in Count 1 which is the sting. We should be able to show other things this defendant was doing that indicate that he was, I keep wanting to say predispositioned, I am forgetting the term implied in entrapment. It shows he was predisposed to commit the crimes charged. This is the kind of thing that shows this was a criminal who was predisposed to commit the crimes charged and not an innocent perfume merchant entrapped by the big bad government into doing something he wouldn't have otherwise done.

THE COURT: Mr. White, you have at least two problems here. The first is, as I understand it, the evidence at the opening.

(Continued on next page)

MR. WHITE: May I give you an additional fact, your Honor, before you crystallize your reasoning?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. WHITE: This conversation takes place in September of 2010, about six months after the undercover operation began. So it's pertinence to predisposition is I think nonexistent.

THE COURT: OK. It seems to me that there are two things that give me very substantial pause about your argument. The first is that, as I understand the evidence and the opening, you're making an entrapment argument and I think it goes to predisposition. Notwithstanding your point about timing, the jury would be entitled to infer that if he was willing to use violence in September, there is no reason in the world why they shouldn't conclude that he was willing to do that earlier.

The second problem is that I gather your defense in major part is that he didn't know that what he was dealing with was drug money, right?

MR. WHITE: I will reserve that for our closing, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, look. If you want me to exclude this evidence on the ground that knowledge is not an issue, now is the time you are going to have to tell me.

MR. WHITE: I think it's based on the conscious avoidance instructions, your Honor, knowledge is going to be an

issue.

THE COURT: OK. So since knowledge and predisposition are going to be issues, I am not persuaded that the probative value of the evidence is substantially outweighed by any unfair prejudicial effect.

I would entertain and consider whether some limiting instruction should be given. If you have anything to propose along those lines, I'll certainly think about it. But its going to come in.

OK. Let's get the jury.

(Continued on next page)

## Case 1:11-cr-00102-LAK Document 61 Filed 10/27/11 Page 528 of 652 191ddat3

```
THE CLERK: Jury entering.
 1
               Please be seated.
 2
               (Jury present)
 3
               THE COURT: All right. The defendant and the jurors
 4
 5
      all are present.
               Any redirect?
 6
               MR. SKINNER: No, your Honor. Thank you.
 7
               THE COURT: All right. The witness is excused. Thank
 8
 9
      you.
               (Witness excused)
10
               THE COURT: Next witness.
11
               MR. SKINNER: The government calls Gabriella de la
12
      Garza.
13
       GABRIELLA DE LA GARZA,
14
           called as a witness by the government,
15
           having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
16
               THE CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated.
17
               If you can please state your name and spell your last
18
19
      name for the record.
               THE WITNESS: Yes. Gabriella de la Garza, d-e l-a
20
      G-a-r-z-a.
21
               THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Bragg, please.
22
      DIRECT EXAMINATION
23
      BY MR. BRAGG:
24
          What is your educational background?
25
```

19lddat3

2

3

4

5

G. de la Garza - direct

- 1 | A. I am an accountant.
  - Q. Do you have a degree?
    - A. I have a bachelor's degree in Mexico.
    - | Q. Where do you work now?
    - A. I work at International Bank of Commerce in Laredo, Texas.
- 6 Q. How long have you worked at the International Bank of
- 7 | Commerce in Laredo, Texas.
- 8 A. Eleven years and two months.
- 9 | Q. What is your current position?
- 10 A. I'm in charge of the teller operations area.
- 11 | Q. I'm sorry. I just couldn't hear the end.
- 12 A. Teller operations area.
- Q. What are your responsibilities as being in charge of the
- 14 | teller operations area?
- 15 A. I oversee all the teller area -- the schedules, the boxes,
- 16 anything related to the tellers.
- 17 | Q. And when did you start that particular position?
- 18 | A. March 2011.
- 19 | Q. What position were you in at the bank in 2006?
- 20 | A. I was a branch manager --
- 21 Q. What were you --
- 22 | A. -- in the retail branches.
- 23 | Q. What were your responsibilities as a branch manager?
- A. As a branch manager on the retail, you are basically in charge of the customer service, anything related to customer

4

5

8

9

10

17

18

19

- G. de la Garza direct
- service, and bringing the accounts into the branch, growing the deposits.
  - Q. How many branches were under your supervision as a branch manager?
  - A. Two branches.
- Q. What was the dollar value of the portfolio of accounts that you were responsible for?
  - A. Between the two branches, it was about 90 million portfolio.
  - Q. I'm sorry. I couldn't hear you.
- 11 | A. 90 million portfolio.
- Q. At that time in 2006, did you become responsible for an account held for a company named La Versailles Fragrances?
- 14 | A. Correct.
- Q. How did it come to be that you became responsible for that account?
  - A. I inherited that account from another bank officer that was leaving the bank, and so that account was transferred to me and she was the one who introduced me to this customer.
- Q. When you say introduced you to this customer, who are you referring to?
- 22 | A. Mr. Vikram Datta.
- Q. When you first inherited the account, did you speak to
- 24 Mr. Datta?
- 25 A. Yes. As I mentioned, the previous officer introduced me to

19lddat3

G. de la Garza - direct

1 | him.

4

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. In addition to that introduction, what, if anything, did
  you do when you first inherited this account?
  - A. I don't understand the question.
- Q. When you first inherited this account, did you do anything to familiarize yourself with the account in addition to speaking with Mr. Datta?
  - A. Well, basically that's what we do. We look at the history of the account. We call the owners of the account, introduce myself, letting them know that I was going to be taking care of the account. We look at the type of transactions that they have and we go from there.
- 13 | Q. Is that what you did with this account?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. In or about May 2009, did any issues arise with this account?
- A. Well, yes. I mean, the discussions that -- the conversations that I had with Mr. Datta, it was about him wanting to receive immediate credit on his checks.
- Q. If you can just talk a little more slowly, I think it might be helpful.
- 22 A. I apologize. He wanted to receive immediate credit on his checks drawn on a different bank.
- 24 | Q. What do you mean by "immediate credit"?
- 25 A. He wanted to receive immediate credit, which I'm about to

19lddat3

vendor.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

G. de la Garza - direct

explain which immediate credit means that he would bring
another check from another financial institution wanting to
receive credit for those funds that same day to wire money to a

- Q. On what type of accounts would that check be drawn?
- A. They were drawn on La Versailles to La Versailles.
- Q. And these were conversations that you had with Mr. Datta himself?
  - A. Yes. I mean, he will bring that check coming from La

    Versailles to deposit into La Versailles' account in IBC to

    further send a wire transfer to one of his vendors. And so I

    wouldn't comply with that request.
- Q. Why did you not comply with that request?
- A. Normally, when a customer deposits a check, it takes -when it is a local bank that the check is drawn on, it takes
  from two to three days to collect those funds to give the
  ability of the funds to the customer.
- Q. And what, if anything, was Mr. Datta's response when you told him you would not comply with his request?
- A. I mean, he would get upset of not getting that immediate credit on those checks.
- Q. Did you ask Mr. Datta why he wanted to deposit a check from one of La Versailles' other accounts into the IBC account held under La Versailles' name?
- A. Well, yes. He mentioned that he was just tracking

1 because --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Ma'am, you are going to have to slow down.

THE WITNESS: OK.

THE COURT: I can't understand you; I know Vinny can't understand you.

THE WITNESS: OK.

THE COURT: Please start again. Start your answer again.

- A. OK. What he wanted, he wanted to receive immediate credit and take the wire transfer from IBC. He would mention that it was for tracking purposes, because I would recommend for him to send the wire from the other financial institution instead.
- Q. When you say send a wire from the other financial institution, do you mean send it not through IBC?
- A. Correct. Because he wanted, again, to receive the immediate credit on those checks. And so I said and recommended instead of doing that, because I'm not going to be giving you that immediate credit on the check, what you can do is send a wire from your other financial institution instead. And he would have said that he wanted it from IBC.
- Q. And what, if anything, was -- withdrawn.

In connection with -- well, withdrawn.

How many conversations of this nature did you have with Mr. Datta in or around May 2009?

A. Many occasions.

G. de la Garza - direct

- In connection with those conversations, did Mr. Datta tell Q. 1 you that he would close his account? 2 Well, at times he would get upset because I wouldn't 3 comply, the bank wouldn't comply with his requests, and he 4 would have said that he would close the accounts. 5 Did he in fact close the account? 6 Α. No. 7
  - Q. Now, moving forward to in or about September 2009, were there any issues with the accounts in or about that time?
  - A. Well, again, just a matter of they immediate credit on these checks.
  - Q. And in addition to the immediate credit, was there any issues brought to your attention concerning the amount of cash?

    MR. WHITE: Objection to the leading, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes. Rephrase.

- Q. What, if any, issues were raised in or about September 2009 in addition to the immediate credit issue?
- A. Well, we have an internal department, a fraud department, that alerts the account officers whenever there is an inconsistency or any type of transactions on the customers' accounts. So I was alerted by the fraud department that this customer was making some cash deposits and I needed to find out if it was inconsistency with his business or what type of transactions he was doing to be depositing this cash into his account.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

G. de la Garza - direct

- Q. What, if anything, did you do to follow up on that?
  - A. Well, when we received those alerts from the fraud department, we have certain time to go ahead and get back to them. So I proceeded to contact Mr. Vikram Datta to better understand the cash deposits.
  - Q. Were you able to speak with Mr. Datta?
  - A. No. He was traveling at the time that I contacted him.
  - Q. Were you able to speak with anyone at the company?
  - A. Yes. I spoke to Yolanda, one of his assistants.
  - Q. Do you know Yolanda's last name?
- 11 A. I really don't recall.
  - Q. What did you talk to Yolanda about?
    - A. I wanted -- I wanted to -- I asked her that I wanted to understand what type of transactions he was conducting and what -- better understand where the money and the cash was coming from. As a banker, we want to know your customer and where the money is coming from.
    - Q. Did you ask Yolanda for any particular information?
    - A. Well, she mentioned that those monies --

MR. WHITE: Objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: The question, Ms. de la Garza, was did you ask her for any particular information?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

THE COURT: OK. Next question, please.

BY MR. BRAGG:

G. de la Garza - direct 19lddat3 What type of information did you ask her for? 1 I asked for invoices to validate the sale of merchandise 2 that will sustain the amount of cash that he was depositing 3 into the bank account. 4 What, if anything, was Yolanda's response? 5 Well, she said that she was --6 Α. MR. WHITE: Objection, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: What is the objection? 8 MR. WHITE: Hearsay. 9 MR. BRAGG: I have a response, your Honor. It may be 10 best at the sidebar. 11 THE COURT: Sidebar. 12 (Continued on next page) 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

25

19lddat3

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

G. de la Garza - direct

1 (At the sidebar)

MR. BRAGG: The government has two response.

The obvious one, which was teed up this morning, was this is a statement of a co-conspirator in furtherance of a conspiracy. In addition, the government argues that this is a statement of a servant or agent of the defendant within the scope of that servant or agent's responsibilities. She was his employee and she was acting at his direction. And that falls as an exception to the --

THE COURT: She was the bookkeeper, right?

MR. SKINNER: Correct.

MR. WHITE: Yes.

THE COURT: What is wrong with that?

MR. WHITE: What is the answer going to be? What is the witness going to say?

MR. BRAGG: I would proffer that the witness is going to say that she said she will talk to Mr. Datta and get back to her. And then, in fact, I will ask a follow-up question that Yolanda never got back to her. The witness had followed up with Yolanda again, and Yolanda told her that Mr. Datta was not going to give her the invoices. So she is acting as a conduit for Mr. Datta.

MR. WHITE: I have no rejoinder.

THE COURT: OK. Overruled.

(Continued on next page)

25 (Continued on

### G. de la Garza - direct

| 1  | (Open court)                                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | THE COURT: OK. The objection is overruled.                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3  | The witness will answer the question, please.                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, please?               |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | MR. BRAGG: Sure.                                                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | BY MR. BRAGG:                                                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | Q. What, if anything, was Yolanda's response?                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | A. Well, she said that she was going to deal with Mr. Datta     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | and she was going to get back to me.                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LO | Q. Did Yolanda get back to you?                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ll | A. I followed up with a phone call a couple of days after.      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | Q. And when you followed up on that phone call, what did you    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | and Yolanda talk about?                                         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | A. She mentioned that Mr. Datta wasn't going to comply with     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | those invoices and that because we weren't the only ones        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | asking, the only institution asking for those invoices, and     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | that he was going to close the accounts.                        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | Q. What, if anything, did you do in response to this            |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | conversation with Yolanda?                                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | A. Well, I reported to the fraud department the response that   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | I got from the customer, and the bank decision was to close the |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | accounts.                                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | Q. All right. About when was the account closed?                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | A. It should be around November, if I'm not mistaken.           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | Q. Of 2009?                                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

25

G. de la Garza - direct

Of 2009, correct. 1 Α. May I have one moment, your Honor? MR. BRAGG: 2 THE COURT: Yes. 3 (Pause) 4 MR. BRAGG: No further questions, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Thank you. 6 Cross-examination. 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. WHITE: 9 Q. Good morning, Ms. de la Garza. 10 You testified that when you took over Mr. Datta's 11 account -- was it one account or was it more than one account? 12 It was more than one account. 13 Α. And were the accounts with different entities? 14 Yes. 15 Α. How many do you recall? 16 Well, the ones that I was managing, it was La Versailles 17 Perfumes, and if I'm not mistaken, the name of the other one 18 was La Valencia Perfumes. 19 Q. I'm not sure I followed you on your direct testimony. 20 The checks that Mr. Datta was asking for immediate 21 credit on were checks from one of his entities to another 22 23 entity?

A. No. Well, the ones that I was referring to, it was from La Versailles payable to La Versailles, and he wanted to deposit

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

G. de la Garza - cross

| 1 |  | it | into | La | Versailles | account | in | IBC. |
|---|--|----|------|----|------------|---------|----|------|
|---|--|----|------|----|------------|---------|----|------|

Q. I'm not sure I understand. Forgive me, Ms. de la Garza.

Who was the check drawn on?

- A. He had an account under La Versailles Perfume in a different institution, say, Chase Manhattan or Wells Fargo, and those were payable to La Versailles, and those were the checks that he wanted to deposit into IBC to immediate send a wire transfer out to a vendor, per se.
- Q. So it was a check on another institution --
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 | Q. -- drawn to La Versailles from La Versailles?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 | Q. He wanted to deposit it into your bank?
- 14 A. Correct, into La Versailles' account.
- Q. And he said it was for tracking purposes?
- 16 A. Correct.
- Q. And what did you understand -- what are tracking purposes, as you understood it?
- 19 | THE COURT: Sustained.
- Q. When you took over the account in 2006, you testified that you reviewed a history of the account?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. And how long had that account been at IBC?
- 24 A. I don't recall the exact opening date.
- 25 Q. Did it have a substantial prior history that you were

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

G. de la Garza - cross

1 | reviewing or had it just been opened?

A. Well, I didn't review the whole since the opening.

Normally, what we look at is a couple of months and watch out

for transactions the previous officer would have reviewed with me and said this is what this customer does.

THE COURT: Slower, please.

- Q. Any unusual transactions would have been something that you would review?
- A. Well, yes, but at that point I wasn't alerted of any.
- Q. Did you ever see a deposit made into the -- in your review of the account and its history, a deposit made into this account for \$1.3 million in cash?
- A. I don't recall.
- Q. Did you ever see -- in the time that you were working on his account, did you ever see a deposit -- a single deposit of that volume, \$1.3 million in cash?
- A. No, not that I recall.
- Q. What was the largest deposit you recall? Approximately, what would you say the largest deposit you recall would be?
  - A. Well, as I mentioned, I was alerted -- I mean, we're not I mean looking at the accounts on a daily basis and the transactions; we have a lot of customers. So at that particular time, when I was reviewing that in 2009, I was alerted. We have a system that monitors the cash activity on the accounts, and I was alerted.

25

G. de la Garza - cross

- 1 | Q. OK. But you --
- 2 | A. And it was about 100-and-some thousand.
- Q. OK. And Mr. Datta, he was asking you to credit the deposit
- 4 | immediately because he had to pay his vendors?
  - A. Correct.

5

8

9

11

12

13

20

21

24

25

- Q. OK. And was he -- I think you said he got impatient and got annoyed that you wouldn't do this?
  - A. Correct.
    - Q. Because he couldn't pay his vendors, was that why?

MR. BRAGG: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q. And there came a point in time that you asked him for his invoices, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- Q. Did IBC Bank, during this period of time, did it have clients who were other perfume merchants in Laredo?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 | Q. Like Sunny Perfumes, that was a client of IBC, wasn't it.
- 19 | A. Well, I'm not allowed to disclose my --

| MR. BRAGG: Objection.

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q. The invoices -- what information did you want from the invoices?
  - A. Well, we wanted to validate the source of funds and where he sold that merchandise and he got paid in cash for those --

G. de la Garza - cross

- 1 | for the merchandise.
- Q. You wanted to get the names of the customers from the
- 3 | invoices?
- 4 A. Well, yes.
- 5 | Q. And what was sold --
- 6 A. Correct.
  - Q. -- to those customers?
- 8 A. Correct.

- 9  $\parallel$  Q. And what he charged those customers for the product?
- A. Well, yes, to validate and to match it up to the amount of
- 11 | cash he was depositing into the account.
- 12 | Q. He didn't want to give you, as far as you understood --
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. -- he didn't want to give you that information about his
- 15 | business, correct?
- 16 | A. Correct.
- 17 | THE COURT: Sustained.
- 18 | Q. He declined to give you those invoices, correct?
- 19 | A. Correct.
- Q. You mentioned that you would see deposits of maybe
- 21 | \$150,000?
- 22 A. At that particular time when we were researching the
- 23 | account, was about 150,000, -30,000.
- 24 | Q. And would other perfume clients you had in Laredo make
- 25 | large cash deposits like that also?

```
G. de la Garza - cross
     19lddat3
               MR. BRAGG: Objection.
1
               THE COURT:
                           Sustained.
2
               MR. WHITE: Nothing further, your Honor.
3
               THE COURT: Thank you.
4
               Any redirect?
5
                          No, your Honor.
               MR. BRAGG:
6
               THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. de la Garza. You are
 7
 8
      excused.
               (Witness excused)
 9
               Next witness.
10
               MR. BRAGG: Your Honor, the government calls Faustino
11
      Garza.
12
               (Pause)
13
               MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, while we are waiting, we
14
      have a stipulation with some stipulated facts we could read to
15
      use our time.
16
               THE COURT: Sure.
17
               MR. SKINNER: One moment.
18
                (Pause)
19
               MR. SKINNER: Now he is here.
20
                (Present were two Spanish language interpreters for
21
      the witness)
22
       FAUSTINO GARZA,
23
           called as a witness by the government,
24
           having been duly sworn (through an interpreter),
25
```

```
, 19lddat3
```

## G. de la Garza - cross

|     | 191ddat3 G. de la Galza Clobb                                  |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | testified as follows:                                          |
| 2   | THE CLERK: Please be seated.                                   |
| 3   | And if you could please state your name for the                |
| 4   | record.                                                        |
| 5   | THE COURT: You may proceed, counsel.                           |
| 6   | THE WITNESS: Faustino Garza.                                   |
| 7 · | DIRECT EXAMINATION (through an interpreter)                    |
| 8   | BY MR. SKINNER:                                                |
| 9   | Q. Mr. Garza, where are you from?                              |
| 10  | A. Detroit, Michigan.                                          |
| 11  | Q. And where did you grow up?                                  |
| 12  | A. In Nueva Laredo, Mexico.                                    |
| 13  | Q. Are you a citizen of the United States?                     |
| 14  | A. Yes.                                                        |
| 15  | Q. How old were you when you moved from Nueva Laredo, Mexico?  |
| 16  | A. Approximately three years old.                              |
| 17  | Q. Where is Nueva Laredo, Mexico?                              |
| 18  | A. It's at the border with Laredo, Texas.                      |
| 19  | Q. How old are you, Mr. Garza?                                 |
| 20  | A. I'm 49 years old.                                           |
| 21  | Q. How far did you go in school?                               |
| 22  | A. I continued I finished high school.                         |
| 23  | Q. How old were you when you started working?                  |
| 24  | A. Around 15 years old.                                        |
| 25  | Q. I would like to talk briefly about your employment history. |

3

### F. Garza - direct

1 What industry did you first start working in?

- A. My first job was at a store where they sold fabric.
- Q. What did you do after that?
- A. After that I was employed at a store where they sold menswear.
- 6 Q. How long did you work at this menswear store?
- 7 | A. Almost ten years.
- 8 Q. Where was the menswear store located?
- 9 A. In Laredo, Texas.
- 10 Q. Where were you living at that point in time?
- 11 | A. Well, when I first began working there, I was living in
- 12 Nueva Laredo, Mexico.
- Q. So would you cross the border every day in order to go to
- work and then come home?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. Now, after you went to -- after you worked at this retail
- 17 store, did you move to a new job?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Where did you go?
- 20 A. To Santos & Santos International.
- 21 | Q. What kind of business was Santos & Santos International?
- 22 A. It's an import/export firm.
- 23 Q. Where was it located?
- 24 A. In Laredo, Texas.
- Q. And, more or less, when was it that you left the retail

- store and went to Santos & Santos?
- In around '91. 2 Α.
- And why did you go work for this business at that time? 3 Q.
- Well, I went to work at that business because I was invited 4
- to work there. 5
- Who invited you? 6
- At that time, the gentleman was my father-in-law. 7
- Is he no longer your father-in-law? 8 Q.
- No, he isn't. 9 Α.
- And how long did you work at Santos & Santos? 10 Q.
- Between six and seven years. 11 Α.
- And did you go to a new job after that? 12 Q.
- Α. Yes. 13
- Where did you go? 14 Q.
- To Alpha Money Exchange. 15 Α.
- What kind of business is Alpha Money Exchange? 16 Q.
- It's a money exchange house. 17 Α.
- And when did you go to Alpha Money Exchange? 18 Q.
- Approximately in '97, 1997. 19 Α.
- Where was this business located? 20 Q.
- In Laredo, Texas. 21 Α.
- How did you get a job there? 22 Ο.
- I was also invited to work there. 23
- Did you know someone at the business? 24
- The owner. Α. Yes. 25

4

5

7

- How did you know the owner?
- Well, I met him actually when I was a child. When we were 2
- children we used to play soccer together. 3
  - So he was a friend of yours? Q.
  - Yes, a friend of mine. Α.
- What was your position at Alpha Money Exchange? 6 Q.
  - Well, you could say I was a trusted employee. Α.
    - Did you have any supervisory responsibilities?
- Α. Yes. 9
- And how long did you work at Alpha Money Exchange? 10 Q.
- Until 2000. Α. 11
- Why did you stop working for Alpha Money Exchange? 12 Ο.
- I was arrested. 13 Α.
- Where were you arrested? 14 Q.
- In Laredo, Texas. 15 Α.
- For what were you arrested? Ο. 16
- For money laundering. Α. 17
- Following your arrest, were you convicted of a money 18
- laundering crime? 19
- Yes. 20 Α.
- Was there a trial? 21 Q.
- No. Α. 22
- How were you convicted? 23 Q.
- 18 months. 24 Α.
- How did it come to be that you were convicted of this 25 Q.

- crime if there was no trial? 1
- I pled guilty. 2 Α.
- And what had you done that made you guilty of the money 3
- laundering crime that you pled guilty to? 4
- I filled out a form called a CTR with a false name on it. 5 Α.
- And why did you fill out this form with a false name on it? 6
- Because a person asked me to do it. He didn't have 7
- identification. And it was just easy for me to do. 8
- All right. And can you tell us, when do you have to file 9
- 10 CTR forms?
- It's whenever you're doing a transaction for \$10,000 or 11
- 12 more in cash.
- Q. All right. So had this person who wanted you to put false 13
- information on the CTR given \$10,000 to Alpha Money Exchange, 14
- or more than \$10,000? 15
- Α. Yes. 16
- How much money was involved? 17 Q.
- It was over \$25,000. 18 Α.
- Where did you think that money had come from? 19 Ο.
- From drug dealing. 20
- All right. Were you sentenced to any time in jail as a 21 Q.
- result of your conviction for this offense? 22
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- And when did you get out of jail? 24 Ο.
- 25 Α. In 2001.

- Q. After you got out of jail, what did you do for work?
- 2 | A. I went back to working for Santos & Santos International.
- 3 Q. All right. For how long -- did there come a time when you
- 4 stopped working at Santos & Santos after that?
- 5 A. Yes.

- 6 Q. And when was that?
- 7 A. Around the year 2007.
- 8 Q. And why did you leave Santos & Santos in the year 2007?
- 9 A. I went to open up my own business.
- 10 | Q. Did you in fact open up your own business?
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- 12 | Q. What kind of business did you open?
- 13 A. A money exchange house.
- 14 | Q. Where was this money exchange -- withdrawn.
- Are you still running this money exchange business?
- 16 | A. No, sir.
- 17 | Q. Why not?
- 18 A. Because I was arrested.
- 19 | Q. So this is a second arrest?
- 20 | A. Yes, sir.
- 21 | O. When was this arrest?
- 22 | A. August 23, 2011.
- 23 | Q. Where were you arrested?
- 24 A. In Laredo, Texas.
- 25 | Q. For what were you arrested?

|    | 191                                                       | ddat3 F. Garza - direct                                  |  |  |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| 1  | А.                                                        | For money laundering.                                    |  |  |
| 2  | Q.                                                        | Have you been in jail ever since your arrest on          |  |  |
| 3  | August 23rd of 2011?                                      |                                                          |  |  |
| 4  | A.                                                        | Yes, sir.                                                |  |  |
| 5  | Q.                                                        | After your August 2011 arrest, did there come a point in |  |  |
| 6  | time you decided you would cooperate with the government? |                                                          |  |  |
| 7  | A.                                                        | Yes, sir.                                                |  |  |
| 8  | Q.                                                        | When did you first begin trying to cooperate?            |  |  |
| 9  | Α.                                                        | The first day the first day of my arrest.                |  |  |
| 10 | Q.                                                        | And what did you do the first day of your arrest in an   |  |  |
| 11 | attempt to cooperate?                                     |                                                          |  |  |
| 12 | A.                                                        | Well, I told the truth about what they were asking me.   |  |  |
| 13 | Q.                                                        | All right. So did you give a statement to some law       |  |  |
| 14 | enf                                                       | Forcement officers?                                      |  |  |
| 15 | A.                                                        | Yes, sir.                                                |  |  |
| 16 | Q.                                                        | In general terms, what did you tell the law enforcement  |  |  |
| 17 | officers in that statement?                               |                                                          |  |  |
| 18 |                                                           | MR. WHITE: Objection, your Honor.                        |  |  |
| 19 |                                                           | THE COURT: Just one moment, please.                      |  |  |
| 20 |                                                           | What is the objection?                                   |  |  |
|    | 11                                                        |                                                          |  |  |

MR. WHITE: Prior consistent statement.

THE COURT: All right. We'll hold it.

BY MR. SKINNER:

21

22

23

- Q. Where did this interview take place?
- 25 A. In Laredo, Texas.

- Q. And after this interview with law enforcement officers on the day of your arrest, did there come a time when you were transported to New York City?
  - A. Yes.

4

14

24

- $5 \parallel Q$ . And when was that?
- 6 A. August 26th.
- Q. And once you got to New York City, were you then housed in another jail here in New York?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. After coming up to New York, did there come a time when you signed a contract known as a cooperation agreement with the government?
- 13 | A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. And on what date did you sign this cooperation agreement?
- 15 | A. September 8, 2011.
- Q. Now, prior to September 8th of 2011, but following your arrest on August 23rd, did you meet additional times with federal prosecutors and agents?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. So from the time you were arrested up until the time you signed this cooperation agreement, about how many times did you meet with prosecutors and agents from the government?
- 23 A. Four times.
  - Q. All right. What types of things did you tell the government about during those meetings?

- MR. WHITE: The same objection, your Honor.
- THE COURT: Sustained.
  - Q. Prior to signing this cooperation agreement, did you admit to the government the money laundering crimes that you had committed?
- 6 | A. Yes.

3

4

- Q. Did you tell the government about other people you committed these crimes with?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- Q. Did you provide information about other crimes in addition to money laundering that you had committed?
- 12 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, as part of that cooperation agreement you signed on September 8th, did you agree to plead guilty to any crimes?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 | Q. What crimes did you agree to plead guilty to?
- A. Money laundering and illegal transportation of the same thing, of money.
- 19 Q. All right. Did you in fact plead guilty to those two 20 crimes?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- 22 | Q. On what date did you plead guilty to those two crimes?
- 23 A. The same day that I signed my cooperation.
- Q. What is the highest possible sentence you face as a result of pleading guilty to these two crimes?

- 25 years. 1 Α.
- Have you been sentenced yet? Q. 2
- 3 Α. No.

4

9

25

- When will you be sentenced? Ο.
- I still don't know. 5 Α.
- In addition to pleading guilty, did you agree to do 6 anything else when you entered into this cooperation agreement 7 with the government? 8
- Α.

Yes.

- What other things did you agree to do on top of pleading 10 guilty to two crimes? 11
- To tell the truth and cooperate. 12
- Did you make any agreements about further criminal conduct? 13
- Α. Yes. 14
- What did you agree to do with regard to further criminal 15 conduct? 16
- Not to do them anymore. 17
- Now, if you do what you're obligated to do under the 18 agreement, what is your understanding of what the government 19 will do? 20
- Well, a lower sentence. 21
- All right. What is your understanding of what the United 22 States Attorney's office might do in connection with your 23 sentence if you satisfy your obligations under the agreement? 24
  - Give a letter to His Honor, the Judge, about the good and

- 1 | the bad that I've done.
- Q. Are you referring to the judge who is going to sentence you?
- 4 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. And you say this letter is going to include the good and the bad; is that right?
- 7 | A. Yes, sir.
- 8 | Q. When you say "the bad," what do you mean?
- 9 | A. My behavior and the crimes that I committed.
- 10 | Q. And when you say "the good," what do you mean?
- 11 | A. Well, that would be about my cooperation.
- Q. Do you know for sure whether the prosecutor's office is going to send this letter?
- 14 | A. No.
- Q. What, if anything, do you expect to happen if this letter is sent?
- 17 | A. I'm hoping for a lower sentence.
- Q. Do you know for certain whether your sentence will be reduced if this letter is sent to your sentencing judge?
- 20 | A. No.
- Q. Have you gotten any promises from anybody in the government as to what your sentence will be?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. What about, has your attorney given you any promises about what your sentence might be?

- 1 | A. No.
- Q. Has anyone at all made any promises to you about what your sentence will be?
- 4 | A. No.
- 5 Q. Is it possible that even if this letter from the government
- 6 | is sent, you still might get up to 25 years in prison as a
- 7 | sentence?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Does your sentence in any way depend on the outcome of this trial against Vikram Datta?
- 11 | A. No.
- Q. Other than the possibility of this letter from the
  government, have you received any other benefit as a result of
  entering into the cooperation agreement with the government?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. What other benefit have you received?
- 17 A. Immunity.
- 18 Q. All right. And immunity for what?
- 19 | A. Immunity for some violations that I committed.
- Q. So has the government agreed not to prosecute you for certain crimes?
- 22 | A. Yes.
- Q. For what crimes has the government agreed not to prosecute you?
- 25 | A. Well, I used cocaine and I filled out some false income tax

forms. 1

- So the government has agreed not to prosecute you for your 2 use of cocaine, is that correct? 3
  - Α. Yes.
- And when did you first start using cocaine? 5 Q.
- Around 1991. 6 Α.
- And for how long did you use cocaine? 7
- Oh, well, until 2011. Α. 8
- Now, has the government made any agreements with regard to 9 your distribution of cocaine, as opposed to your use of 10
- cocaine? 11
- No. 12 Α.
- And have you distributed cocaine in the past? 13
- Never. Α. 14
- Now, you said you also received an agreement from the 15
- government that they wouldn't prosecute you for filing false 16
- tax returns, correct? 17
- That's correct. 18 Α.
- Can you please describe what you did that could have 19 resulted in a false tax charge? 20
- I asked someone to include me on his work payroll. Α. 21
- All right. Who did you ask to include you on their work 22
- payroll? 23
- That person is my brother-in-law. 24
- And had you actually done any work for your brother-in-law? 25

- 1 A. No.
- 2 | Q. Did you file a tax return indicating that you earned income
- 3 | as a result of working for your brother-in-law?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 | O. When did you file these tax returns?
- 6 | A. Around 2009.
- 7 Q. And why did you file false tax returns indicating that you
- 8 | had earned income at your brother-in-law's business?
- 9 A. Well, to show to the government that I was working in
- something legal.

  10 Q. So it would be fair to say that you were trying to cover up
- 12 | illegal money that you had been earning?
- 13 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And by filing these false tax returns, did you also hope to get some --
  - MR. WHITE: Objection to the leading, your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: Excuse me.
- 18 MR. WHITE: I object to the leading.
- 19 || THE COURT: Overruled.
- Q. By filing these false tax returns, did you also hope to get any kind of Social Security benefit?
- 22 A. Yes.

- Q. Now, did you pay taxes on the income that you earned as a
- 24 | result of your money exchange business?
- 25 A. No.

- Q. What, if anything, have you agreed to do in the cooperation agreement with regard to income that you earned from your money exchange business?
  - A. To pay the appropriate taxes.
- Q. When do you have to make payment or reach an agreement to make payment?
  - A. Before my sentencing.
- Q. Now, what will happen if you do not live up to yourobligations under the cooperation agreement?
- 10 | A. There is no deal; there is no immunity.
- Q. All right. And what will happen with regard to the letter that you're hoping the government sends to your sentencing
- 13 | judge?

4

7

17

- 14 A. Well, they wouldn't send it.
- Q. And if that letter isn't sent, what's your understanding about what will happen at your sentencing?
  - A. Well, they can sentence me with the full weight of the law.
- Q. Will you be sentenced as if you had never cooperated with the government?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Since you signed the cooperation agreement, have you met additional times with prosecutors and agents?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 Q. About how many times?
- 25 A. Three or four times.

```
F. Garza - direct
     19lddat3
              MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, I was going to start a new
1
     topic. If this is a good place to break?
2
               THE COURT: All right. Members of the jury, I'll see
3
4
     you at 2.
               And, counsel, I've got a couple of things to do with
5
      you before we break.
6
               (Continued on next page)
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1914dat4a

2.0

2.3

1 (Jury not present)

THE COURT: First of all, I had a note earlier in the morning from Ms. Simmons who I think is alternate 3 if my memory is accurate, that's right, saying she has been called for a portion of her civil service exam for police administrative aid at 3:30 on October 3 and she wants to be excused on that day. If we are still in session, I propose we will break early and let her take her test. Anybody have a problem? It's in the neighborhood.

MR. SKINNER: No objection.

MR. ROSS: No objection.

THE COURT: We will mark that a court exhibit next in order whatever it is. Mr. Ross, I quickly took a look at the cases you cited to me this morning. At least my present impression is that they don't really read on this situation. Indeed, there is language in some of them that tends to cut against you although not dispositively.

I am at least in the moment inclined to the view I would bring these two witnesses in to say to them in words or in substance that if they testify in this case there may be some reason to believe that it could implicate their personal interests, that they would be well-advised to consult with counsel before getting on the witness stand, to inquire as to whether they have counsel and have consulted and if not to offer to appoint counsel for them for that purpose.

Do you have an objection to that? 1 MR. ROSS: Yes, sir. 2 THE COURT: Explain why. 3 I think it intimidates the witness. MR. ROSS: 4 chills the right of this defendant to call these essential 5 witnesses. There could not be two more people more important 6 to his defense. They are alleged to be co-conspirators. They 7 would testify not. They have traveled across the country. 8 They presented themselves. your Honor. They are here. 9 Whether they traveled, whether they are THE COURT: 10 here or whether they are on the moon or whether they didn't 11 move out of bed this morning has nothing to do with this issue. 12 MR. ROSS: It has to do with my objection. 13 objection is that, notwithstanding the fact, these women have 14 been told from day one that they were alleged to be 15 16 co-conspirators. THE COURT: Told by whom? 17 MR. ROSS: By myself, by Mr. White, in our interviews 18 with them. 19 THE COURT: Are you representing them, either of you. 20 Absolutely not, your Honor. We interviewed MR. ROSS: 21 them as witnesses in Mr. Datta's case and have been very 22 forthcoming. We were very forthcoming with them yesterday 23 after Mr. Skinner's conversation with Mr. White. 24 THE COURT: Did you tell them that they had a 25

| 1  |   |  |
|----|---|--|
| 2  |   |  |
| 3  |   |  |
| 4  |   |  |
| 5  |   |  |
| 6  |   |  |
| 7  |   |  |
| 8  |   |  |
| 9  |   |  |
| 10 |   |  |
| 11 |   |  |
| 12 |   |  |
| 13 |   |  |
| 14 |   |  |
| 15 |   |  |
| 16 |   |  |
| 17 |   |  |
| 18 |   |  |
| 19 | , |  |
| 20 |   |  |
| 21 |   |  |
|    |   |  |

23

24

25

privilege against self-incrimination which they could invoke not to testify?

MR. ROSS: Yes, sir, in those words, not the words that your Honor suggested a moment ago. I didn't tell them if you get on the witness stand there is a possibility something is going to happen against your personal interests, as close to a quote I can get from memory, which is different than saying you have a Fifth Amendment right not to testify given the fact that you have been identified by the government alleged to be co-conspirators.

THE COURT: Did you tell them that they have a right to consult counsel before deciding whether to invoke that privilege?

MR. ROSS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Did you offer to provide independent counsel to them?

MR. ROSS: No, actually, no, actually I did not. The issue did come up and I said that I thought that if the Datta family provided counsel, that that would cause more problems than it would solve.

THE COURT: I understand the argument. I am only trying to find out what happened.

MR. ROSS: We told them exactly what the conversation was very straightforwardly.

THE COURT: Do you have any problem with my saying I

1914dat4a

have been informed that you have been advised by Mr. Datta's lawyers that you have a privilege against self-incrimination that you could invoke in the circumstances of this case so as not to testify. How about if I say in words and substance, Mr. White, I mean I am trying to accommodate you as best I can, but --

MR. WHITE: Your Honor, we represent Mr. Datta. We made that clear to them, that we represent him. We did not give them legal advice, your Honor. We told them that --

THE COURT: Mr. Ross just got finished telling me that he told them or you told them, one or both of you told them that they have a privilege against self-incrimination that could be invoked in the circumstances of this case; isn't that what I was just told?

MR. ROSS: Yes, your Honor, I said that to Yolanda Carillo.

THE COURT: But not to both.

MR. ROSS: We had a joint discussion. I am not sure exactly. Your Honor, I am putting on Ms. Carillo. Mr. White is putting on Ms. Garcia. In our separate interviews we apparently discussed this issue because I was in the middle of my preparation with her when Mr. White shared with me his conversation with Mr. Skinner.

THE COURT: Look, I have strong doubt that I need to attempt to accommodate you in this way to say the least. I am

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

trying to do this in terms where pretty much the essence of the inquiry is about what you have told them.

MR. ROSS: Your Honor, speaks on Ms. Carillo and myself, I am good with everything your Honor said.

THE COURT: I would then, but of course I have to know what you say was said, and you told me as to one of them and Mr. White has not told me as to the other one.

MR. WHITE: That's correct, your Honor. I told Ms. Garcia, Cynthia Garcia that I represent Vikram Datta and represent his interests, that because she was named in the complaint and in the indictment as a co-conspirator, she may need independent counsel to advise her on the risks of testifying for Mr. Datta. That's in essence what I told her, your Honor.

THE COURT: Did you ask her whether she wanted independent counsel?

MR. WHITE: Not at that point, then we met jointly and we told them about that. What Mr. Ross represented to you, that they said, well, I said you could get appointed counsel, they said could we get retained counsel, then as Mr. Ross told you, that could be a conflict if you would expect the Datta family to pay for the lawyer, you would not be really getting completely independent judgment.

I appreciate the attempt to skate down THE COURT: that line but essentially where we are is that these two women

# Case 1:11-cr-00102-LAK Document 61 Filed 10/27/11 Page 566 of 652 1914dat4a

have agreed on the basis of the advice you gave them, or what you said to them if you prefer that formulation of words better, and I am not trying to be pejorative, I understand the spot you are in, and that that's OK. But it's not OK if you were to say that the Datta family would pay for them to get somebody who is really independent; I understand that too.

why isn't the better course for me just to say to them, look, you have various rights here of which you should be fully and fairly informed. I understand you have had some discussion with counsel for defendant but they represent the defendant, they don't represent you. Their job is to protect him not you. My advice to you is that you consult with counsel who are totally independent. I will either give you time to go out hire one at your own expense if that's what you want or alternatively I am prepared to appoint at public expense counsel for you to consult with, public defender counsel to advise you on this and I strongly represent that you take advantage of that offer.

Anybody have any problem with that?

MR. SKINNER: No, your Honor.

MR. WHITE: In the interests of efficiency, your Honor --

MR. ROSS: Your Honor, to be as straightforward as a human being never mind a lawyer can possibly be --

THE COURT: I always used to think those were

coextensive categories.

MR. ROSS: They are not; I have learned they are not. Your Honor, I don't wish to see this potential issue waived. I have read Pinto. The Second Circuit is one of the perhaps only circuits that still recognizes a right of a court to order immunity of a defense witness under extraordinary or exceptional circumstances albeit. And to say that we don't have a problem on behalf of Mr. Datta with the court saying to this witness all that the court just proposed would in my estimation be a waiver of the first element of the three factors in Pinto that might compel immunity, because I think it is intimidating.

I recognize this situation. I recognize the wisdom of the court giving these ladies this information. But I am not going to say to the court that all of this is fine. I just won't say that to the court and agree with it. The fact of the matter is that we have told these women what they have been told. They do know they are targets, not targets, alleged co-conspirators. They do know they have a right to counsel. And that's as much as I would like to posture.

I don't think there is any way, frankly, that the court can deal with this that is not going to result in some level of witness intimidation, albeit, the contrary is intended. I recognize the court is certainly not intending to intimidate these women and I also recognize I don't think it

was Mr. Skinner's intention to cause that to happen.

THE COURT: We can go down another path. We could have a hearing and take testimony on the subject of what transpired between you and Mr. White and these women and see what exactly they have been told and what they understand. And I am sure, if we go down that path, you will say that's even more intimidating. I am supposed to rely on you who have a red-hot conflict on this point to ensure that they know what their rights are. But there we are. I don't contest anybody's veracity, please understand that, but we are where we are.

MR. ROSS: As between the two choices, the least intimidating is to give them the fact they have a Fifth Amendment right, that the court would make available counsel, they have a right to counsel; that's the admonition they should be given.

THE COURT: Any problem with that, Mr. Skinner?

MR. SKINNER: Something to that effect is fine with the government. What the court articulated a moment ago, I don't have total recall, was something basically the same thing, which is fine by us. I think these women should be advised of their right to talk to an independent attorney so they fully understand the right they may invoke in connection with this proceeding. As to the exact words, as long as we get the substance of that, we are fine.

THE COURT: So, let's have them here, and let's make

sure Andy gets whoever is on CJA up here at 4:30. 1 In the interests of efficiency, if you are 2 MR. WHITE: 3 still going to advise them they have a right to hire counsel, I would delete that because I think they would be prepared to 4 accept appointed counsel. That would be logistically --5 THE COURT: I will do that. There is no thought they 6 are going to testify today. 7 MR. WHITE: No. 8 When it is possible they will be called. 9 THE COURT: 10 MR. WHITE: Tomorrow. OK. Have them here at 4:30. THE COURT: 11 The government ought to have the indictment and any 12 other documents you think are relevant to show counsel 13 14 See you at 2:00. (Lunch recess) 15 (Continued on next page) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

#### AFTERNOON SESSION

(2:00 p.m.)

(In open court, jury not present)

THE COURT: My office has had at least two calls today from somebody who identifies himself, and it could well be, as a law clerk or staff member for a district judge in the Southern District of Texas, who is seeking information about this case and who claims that Mr. Datta is to be on trial before him shortly in the Southern District of Texas. Does anybody know anything about this?

MR. SKINNER: I am aware of a Rule 41 proceeding filed in the Southern District of Texas with regard to some seized property from Mr. Datta; that's the only pending matter I am aware of in the Southern District of Texas.

THE COURT: Do you know who the judge is?

MR. SKINNER: I don't. I can find out.

MR. WHITE: Mr. Datta is not a party to that Rule 41 motion; it's the corporate entities and family members.

THE COURT: OK. It's always better to be careful than not.

(Continued on next page)

(Jury enters courtroom)

THE COURT: The jurors and the defendant all are present. Let us proceed.

MR. SKINNER: Thank you, your Honor.

FAUSTO GARZA, resumed.

(Through interpreter)

BY MR. SKINNER:

- Q. Mr. Garza, you testified before our break that you had started your money exchange business in or around 2007, is that right?
- 11 | A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

21

22

23

24

- 12 | Q. Can you describe for us what this business did?
- 13 A. Yes, of course. My business consisted of the sale and 14 purchase of dollars.
- 15 Q. Who did you sell dollars to?
- 16 A. I regularly sold them to some clients I had in Mexico City.
- 17 | O. What did these clients do?
- 18 A. Most of them are clients who purchase perfume in the stores 19 in Laredo, Texas.
  - Q. What did you do with the dollars you sold to these clients who purchased perfume?
  - A. OK. I would receive instructions from my clients and they would tell me which stores to go to to pay or which stores to go to in order to pay off any bills that they had in Laredo,

25 | Texas.

2

5

6

7

8

10

11

22

23

24

- So, what would you actually do with the dollars that you sold to them?
- I would transport them in Laredo, Texas, and I would go 3 into the perfume shops and turn the money over to them. 4
  - Q. After you turned the money over to the perfume shops did you take possession of the perfume back in return?
  - Α. No.
    - What happened to the perfume?
- The shop owners would send them to the clients in Mexico. 9
  - You said you sometimes hand-delivered the dollars to the stores in Laredo, Texas, is that right?
- Yes, sir. 12 Α.
- This was to pay invoices for your clients who were the 13 Ο. purchasers of the perfume, is that right? 14
- Yes, sir. 15 Α.
- Were there other ways that you would get dollars to the 16 perfume stores in Laredo, Texas other than hand-delivering the 17 money? 18
- A. Yes, sir. 19
- What other ways would you get dollars to perfume stores in 20 Texas? 21
  - We would send them bank transfers and occasionally a bill would be paid with a check in Laredo, Texas.
  - In 2007 and in 2008, who were you buying dollars from?
    - I would buy them at certain money exchange businesses or Α.

- 1 | with banks, through banks, excuse me.
- 2 | Q. In 2007 and 2008 when you were hand-delivering money, how
- 3 | much would you typically deliver to the businesses in Laredo,
- 4 | Texas?
- 5  $\parallel$  A. Well, it would be less than \$10,000.
- 6 Q. Did you work with anyone else at the money exchange
- 7 | business that you started?
- 8 | A. Yes.

- Q. Who else did you work with?
- 10 | A. I worked with three other people.
- 11 | Q. What were their names?
- 12 A. Jesus Garza-Rodriguez, Jose Trinidad de la Rosa-Micsume,
- 13 | and Mr. Hilario Martinez-Garcia.
- 14 | Q. Was Mr. Jesus Garza a relative of yours?
- 15 | A. No, sir.
- 16 Q. How did you know Mr. Jesus Garza?
- 17 | A. From my childhood.
- 18 | Q. What was Mr. Jesus Garza's job at the money exchange
- 19 | business?
- 20 A. Well, he would deposit checks at certain banks or he would
- 21 | cash them.
- 22 | Q. How did you know Jose Trinidad de la Rosa-Micsume?
- 23 A. Also from my childhood.
- 24 | O. What was Mr. de la Rosa's job at the money exchange
- 25 | business?

- F. Garza direct
- He was my accountant; he was the one who took care of all 1 the data there. 2
- How did you know Mr. Hilario Martinez-Garcia? 3
- Well, I met Mr. Garcia when we were working at Santos & 4 Santos International.
- What was Mr. Martinez's job at the money exchange business? 6
- He was also in charge of transporting the money to Laredo, 7 8 Texas.
- In 2007 and in 2008, how much did you make at the end of 9 each year after you paid all your expenses? 10
- Around, well, between 15 and \$20,000. 11
- How much did you pay your employees in 2007 and in 2008? 12
- Between 200 and \$300 per week. 13 Α.
- In the timeframe 2007 and 2008 where was your business 14
- 15 located?

- In Nuevo Laredo, Mexico. 16
- What type of building was it in? 17 Ο.
- It was a commercial building. 18 Α.
- Did you have signs outside advertising that there was a 19 money exchange business inside? 20
- Yes. 21 Α.
- Did you ever have people walk in off the street to exchange 22 currency? 23
- 24 Α. Yes.

25

During this period of time 2007, 2008, how far was your

- F. Garza direct
- 1 | business from the border crossing with Laredo, Texas?
- 2 A. Around 10 to 15 meters away.
- $3 \parallel Q$ . 10 to 15 meters?
- 4 A. You are asking me how far away my business was from the
- 5 || bridge?
- 6 | Q. Yes.
- 7 A. Well, excuse me, well, 100 meters, like a block away to the
- 8 other side.
- 9 Q. You testified that during this period of time when you
- 10 moved money over the border, if you hand-delivered it, it was
- 11 | always under \$10,000, is that right?
- 12 | A. Yes, sir.
- 13 | Q. Did there come a time when you began moving larger amounts
- of money to businesses in Laredo, Texas?
- 15 | A. Yes, sir.
- 16 | O. When was that?
- 17 A. It was like in the middle of 2009 or in the beginning of
- 18 2009.
- 19 | O. At this point did you make any changes with respect to
- 20 | where you were buying your dollars?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. What change did you make?
- 23 A. Well, I would buy them from two people instead of going to
- 24 a money exchange business or a bank.
- 25 | Q. What were the names of these two people?

## Case 1:11-cr-00102-LAK Document 61 Filed 10/27/11 Page 576 of 652 F. Garza - direct

19L4DAT4B

1

2

3

4

8

9

20

22

- Carlos Martinez Amador and a Mr. Alberto. Α.
- Do you know Mr. Alberto's last name? Ο.
- I don't recall what it is. Α.
- Where were these two men located? Ο.
- Mr. Carlos Martinez Amador is from Rio Verde San Luis 5 Portosi, Mexico. 6
- How far away is that from Nuevo Laredo? 7
  - It's approximately 500 kilometers away.
  - How long would that take to drive? Q.
- Between 6 and 7 hours. 10 Α.
- Mr. Alberto, where was he located? 11 Q.
- In Mexico City. Α. 12
- How did the price that you got for the dollars you were 13 buying from these two men relate to the price you were getting 14 previously from banks and casas de cambio in Nuevo Laredo? 15
- It was much cheaper. 16
- When you say much cheaper, can you give us an idea how much 17 cheaper? 18
- Around 8 to 10 cents less. 19
  - Where was the money coming from that Carlos Martinez and
- Sr. Alberto were selling to you? 21
  - Objection; basis of knowledge. MR. WHITE:
- THE COURT: Lay a foundation. 23
- Mr. Garza, do you have any understanding as to where 24
  - Mr. Martinez and Mr. Alberto were getting their money?

MR. WHITE: Same objection.

THE COURT: Answer yes or no.

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What's your understanding based on?
- A. What is my understanding based on?
- Q. Yes, what is the basis for your understanding that the money was coming from a particular place?
- A. Because of the rates of exchange, because of the price.
- Q. What did the rate of exchange or the price indicate to you about where the money was coming from?

MR. WHITE: Objection; speculation.

THE COURT: Sidebar.

(Continued on next page)

(At the sidebar)

drug money.

THE COURT: That's it; that's the foundation?

MR. SKINNER: I think this witness is not going to testify that either of these two men ever told him were they were getting the money from. What he can testify to is that the rate of exchange, the way it was packaged, the way it was transported, and the fact that he was buying it on consignment,

that all of this were facts that led him to believe this was

drug money, all circumstantial evidence of the fact that it's

THE COURT: Why don't you develop the rest of the foundation and then we will see what I think.

MR. WHITE: If it doesn't develop any further, I think he could elicit those facts and he can argue to they jury that's circumstantial evidence.

THE COURT: Let's see where we get.

(Continued on next page)

. |

1

2

5

6

7

(In open court)

BY MR. SKINNER:

- Q. How was the money that you purchased from Carlos Martinez and Mr. Alberto delivered to you?
  - A. He would bring it to me personally or it would be his employees.
    - Q. When you say he, who are you referring to?
- 8 A. Mr. Carlos Martinez.
- 9 Q. Employees when they brought this money to you, how were 10 they dressed?
- 11 A. Casual.
- 12 Q. How did they transport the money?
- 13 A. They would drive it over.
- 14 | Q. They would take the bus?
- 15 A. Occasionally.
- Q. You indicated that the money was coming from cities located
- 17 hours away from Nuevo Laredo, is that right?
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. If one was driving this trip like yourself, would this be a dangerous trip to make in Mexico?
- 21 | A. Yes, sir.
- 22 Q. Why do you say that?
- A. It's a route where there are drug dealers and a lot of cities, I am sorry, there was a lot of drug dealers and
- 25 | violence, so you need to go through to these cities without

```
1 getting bothered.
```

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Did Mr. Carlos Martinez or Mr. Alberto or any employees ever have any difficulty in making the trip when transporting cash?
  - MR. WHITE: Objection; basis of knowledge.
- Q. Did you talk to Mr. Martinez, Mr. Alberto and their employees at the times they delivered money to you?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Did they ever tell you that they had any trouble making the trip?
- 11 MR. WHITE: Objection.
- 12 | THE COURT: Overruled. 801(d)(2)(E).
- 13 | A. No, sir.
- 14 | Q. Did they ever indicate to you they were robbed?
- 15 | A. No, sir.
- Q. Did they ever indicate they lost any of the money they were transporting?
- 18 | A. No, sir.
- 19 Q. Were they ever dressed in any kind of official clothing
- 20 | like a bank uniform or a uniform for an armed guard?
- 21 | A. No, sir.
- 22 | Q. Did they ever transport the money in an armored car?
- 23 | A. No, sir.
- 24 Q. How would they physically carry the money?
- 25 A. Well, they would be shrink-wrapped or vacuum-packed in

- plastic wrappers, and it would be bundled with rubberbands.
- Was the money they delivered to you new or old? Q.
- Used. Α.

2

3

16

- How much money would they typically transport to you at a 4 Q. 5 time?
- Around \$80,000. 6
- Were you able to buy money from Carlos Martinez and 7
- Mr. Alberto on consignment? 8
- Yes, sir. Α. 9
- Could you explain what it means to buy money on 10 11 consignment?
- Yes, of course. When you say that the money is bought on 12 consignment, it's obvious that the money had been ill-gotten or 13 gotten through drug dealing, and later I would be given time to 14 15 pay it back off.
  - On consignment means that you would be provided with the money up front and then you would pay for it later?
- A. Yes, sir. 18
- The jury will disregard what the witness THE COURT: 19 said was obvious. 20
- Were you able to buy dollars on consignment from banks or 21 casas de cambio that you dealt with in 2007 and 2008? 22
- 23 Α. No.
- Can you tell us to the best of your knowledge how many 24 other money exchange businesses were there in Nuevo Laredo? 25

| - 1 |                                                                |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | A. Approximately about 40.                                     |
| 2   | Q. Would you talk to the other owners of other money exchange  |
| 3   | businesses?                                                    |
| 4   | A. Yes.                                                        |
| 5   | Q. Would you talk to them about buying dollars from other      |
| 6   | people?                                                        |
| 7   | A. Yes.                                                        |
| 8   | Q. Did you talk to them                                        |
| 9   | MR. WHITE: Objection.                                          |
| 10  | THE COURT: Overruled.                                          |
| 11  | Q. Did you talk to them about where these other dollars were   |
|     | coming from?                                                   |
| 12  |                                                                |
| 13  | THE COURT: Just answer yes or no.                              |
| 14  | A. Yes.                                                        |
| 15  | Q. Did you use code when talking to these other money exchange |
| 16  | owners about dollars they were purchasing?                     |
| 17  | A. Yes.                                                        |
| 18  | Q. What code did you use?                                      |
| 19  | MR. WHITE: Objection.                                          |
| 20  | THE COURT: Sidebar.                                            |
| 21  | (Continued on next page)                                       |
| 22  |                                                                |
| 23  |                                                                |
|     |                                                                |
| 24  |                                                                |

(At the sidebar)

THE COURT: OK. Why does this come in?

MR. SKINNER: First of all, I don't expect him to say he called them drug dealers or anything like that. He's going to say he would refer, we would refer to money coming from los senores, the gentlemen. He is going to say we would use code because it's our understanding that money was coming from drug dealers and we didn't want to use their name, we were afraid of saying the name of a cartel.

THE COURT: I don't think so.

MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, I do proffer at this point that I have elicited most of the facts that are the basis for his understanding where the money comes from. I would intend to ask now based on all the facts, what is your understanding of the providence of this money.

MR. WHITE: His understanding is irrelevant; his understanding where the money comes from is irrelevant.

THE COURT: Why is his understanding relevant?

MR. SKINNER: He has been in the money exchange business on the border for a number of years. He has had quite a bit of experience in both the legitimate and illegitimate sides of this. He has a basis for his opinion as to where the money came from. I think it's relevant to the case that he thinks it was coming from drug dealers.

THE COURT: Are you offering him as an expert witness?

1

2

The fact is what his thought is of MR. SKINNER: No. the origin of the money. They are welcome to cross him as to the fact it's just what he thinks and he doesn't know for certain. But the fact that he believes the money was coming from narcotics traffickers is relevant to the charge here. He is part of a conspiracy. Part of the basis of the conspiracy is the belief of the participants in the conspiracy as to the origin of the funds. It's one of the elements of the crime.

THE COURT: What you have to prove is that the defendant thought that it was drug money, at least on some of your claims, and whether this guy thought that it was drug money or not is beside the point. Now, you've got this giant coloring book of Bugs Bunny. The fact you have not colored in the ears is not going to prevent the jury from realizing that it's Bugs Bunny.

> MR. SKINNER: Fair enough. (Continued on next page)

1 (In open court)

- Q. Mr. Garza, let me ask you, how did you know Carlos
- 3 | Martinez?

5

- 4 | A. He was recommended to me.
  - Q. When did you first meet him?
- 6 A. Approximately three years ago.
- 7 Q. Now, when you started buying dollars from Carlos Martinez
- 8 and Senor Alberto, it was at this point in time that you
- 9 | started increasing the amount of money that you would deliver
- 10 | to businesses in Laredo, Texas?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And the money that you received from Carlos Martinez and
- 13 | Senor Alberto, did you typically receive that money in cash?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. In what currency?
- 16 A. Dollars.
- 17 | Q. So starting in the middle of 2009, when you started
- 18 getting -- was it United States dollars?
- 19 | A. Yes, sir.

- 20 | Q. So starting in the middle of 2009, when you started buying
- U.S. dollars from these men, how would you then get the dollars
- 22 | to the businesses in Laredo?
- 23 A. We would transport them to Laredo, Texas, and we would
- 24 declare the money at the American customs.
  - Q. All right. Did you ever just deposit the money in a bank

- in Mexico and use a wire transfer or a check? 1
- 2 Α. No.

10

- 3 Q. Why not?
- It's not permitted to deposit American dollars in Mexican 4
- 5 banks in cash.
- And starting in mid-2009, how much money would you 6
- typically have carried over the border at any one time? 7
- Between 80 and \$100,000. 8
  - And what was the most you ever had delivered at any one time?
- Around \$220,000. 11 Α.
- Now, who actually carried the money over the border to 12
- deliver it to Laredo, Texas? 13
- Mr. Hilario Martinez-Garcia. 14
- Did you ever carry these large sums of money over the 15
- border yourself? 16
- 17 Α. No.
- 18 Could you have carried these larger sums of money over the
- 19 border if you wanted to?
- 20 Α. Yes.

- So why didn't you just carry the money yourself? 21 0:
- Because I didn't want to have the responsibility. 22 Α.
- All right. Now, at this point in mid-2009, where was your 23
- business located? 24
  - In Nueva Laredo at Donato Guerra 1313.

- Q. Is this a different address than the one you gave us a little while ago for your business?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. All right. And where relative to the border was this address?
  - A. It was approximately 10 minutes away by car.
- 7 Q. And previously your business was in a commercial building,
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Was this also a commercial building, this new location?
- 11 | A. No.
- 12 | Q. What kind of building was it?
- 13 A. A house.
- 14 | Q. Did you have any signs advertising your business on the
- 15 | house?
- 16 | A. No.
- Q. Starting in June 2009, did you ever have any people walk in
- 18 | off the street to exchange money with you?
- 19 | A. No.
- MR. SKINNER: I would like to show the witness

  Government Exhibit 915, the witness only, on the screen.
- 22 (Pause)
- 23 THE COURT: Go ahead. I'm sorry. Is there a pending 24 objection?
- 25 MR. SKINNER: No, your Honor. We are actually just

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

17

waiting for approval to put Government Exhibit 915 on the screen for the witness.

THE COURT: All right.

(Pause)

MR. SKINNER: Ms. Quinones, could you put the picture

- Q. All right. Let me ask, Mr. Garza, do you see the document that's been marked as Government Exhibit 915 that is on the screen right now?
- A. Yes.

up.

- 11 | Q. Do you recognize it?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. What is it?
- 14 | A. That's the house from which I operated my business.
  - O. It is a photograph of the house, is that right?
- 16 | A. Yes.
  - MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, the government offers 915.
- 18 MR. WHITE: No objection.
- 19 THE COURT: Received.
- 20 | (Government's Exhibit 915 received in evidence)
- 21 MR. SKINNER: Could you please publish 915 to the
- 22 | jury.
- 23 | Q. So, Mr. Garza, this is the house that you were just
- 24 describing that your business moved to sometime around
- 25 | mid-2009?

A. Yes.

1

2

6

- Q. Would you store money in this house?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- Q. And how much money would you store in this house at a given time?
  - | A. Around \$150,000. It varied.
    - MR. SKINNER: We can take down 915. Thank you.
- Q. Mr. Garza, you said that after you moved, you were, you said, about 20 blocks from the border?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | O. All right. And when you had cash to deliver to Laredo,
- 12 | Hilario Martinez would physically carry it over the border; is
- 13 | that right?
- 14 | A. Yes.
- Q. How would Hilario get from the house where your business was to the border crossing 20 blocks away?
- 17 A. He would go in a pickup truck.
- 18 Q. And how would he actually carry the money?
- A. He would put it in his pants pocket, in his jacket pocket,
- and even on some occasions in his socks.
- 21 Q. Why did Mr. Martinez carry the money in his clothing?
- 22 MR. WHITE: Objection.
- THE COURT: Sustained.
- Q. Did you take any security measures to try and protect the money as you were transporting it?

- 1 | A. No.
- 2 Q. Did anybody ever carry weapons?
- 3 A. No, never.
  - Q. Did you pay any protection money?
- 5 | A. No.

14

15

16

- Q. Did Mr. Martinez ever tell you what he did when he went through customs?
- 8 | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And what did he tell you that he did when he went through 10 customs?
- 11 A. That he was a Mexican businessman and that he filled out a
  12 form to declare the money on the American side with his
  13 personal information.
  - Q. Do you know whether Mr. Martinez ever got a copy of the declaration that he filed with U.S. Customs?
    - MR. WHITE: Objection, your Honor.
- 17 | THE COURT: Overruled.
- 18 A. No, he didn't.
- Q. Can you tell us, what were the names of some of the perfume customers to whom you sold dollars?
- A. Mr. Gerardo Rangel, Mr. Jose Antonio Franco, Mr. Jose Luis

  Contreras, Mr. Jose Luis Martinez, Mr. Luis Flores, Mr. Jose

  Luis Torres, Mr. Jose Antonio Moreno, and Mrs. Catalina Franco,
- 24 | just to remember a few.
  - Q. The name Jose Luis Contreras, is that one of the people

2

7

that you just mentioned?

you delivered dollars?

- A. Yes, sir.
- And when do you think you first started selling dollars to 3
- Jose Luis Contreras? 4
- Approximately in the middle of 2009. 5
- What were the names of the businesses in Laredo to which 6
- La Versailles Perfumes, Broadway Perfumes, Sunny Perfumes, 8
- Tio Perfumes, Nysa Perfumes, TM Perfumes, and Ueta Perfumes. 9
- Q. Did you deliver more dollars to any particular store than 10
- others? 11
- Yes. 12 Α.
- Which store did you deliver the most dollars to? 13 Ο.
- La Versailles. 14 Α.
- Now, how much did you earn in 2009 and in 2010 after you 15
- paid your expenses? 16
- 17 Around \$50,000. Α.
- How much did you pay your employees in 2009 and 2010? 18
- Between 400 and \$500 a week. 19 Α.
- Was this more than you were paying them back in 2007, in 20
- 2008? 21

- 22 Α. Yes.
- Let me direct your attention to your dealings with La 23
- Versailles. 24
  - How did you come to start dealing with La Versailles?

- 1 A. One of my customers gave me instructions to do that.
- 2 | Q. Which customer?
- 3 | A. Gerardo Rangel.
- 4 | Q. When you say he gave you instructions, what did he tell you
- 5 | to do?
- 6 A. To go and deliver money to La Versailles.
- 7 | Q. Was there anybody at La Versailles that you usually dealt
- 8 | with?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Who was that?
- 11 | A. Cynthia.
- 12 | Q. Did you ever meet Cynthia?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. How did you meet her?
- 15 A. At La Versailles.
- 16 | Q. Did anybody introduce you?
- 17 | A. One of my customers sent me to meet her.
- 18 | Q. And to the best of your recollection, when you first met
- 19 Cynthia, what did you say to her and she say to you?
- 20 A. Well, after we introduced ourselves to each other, I
- 21 mentioned that I was the one who was going to be bringing
- 22 | dollars to her from certain customers of hers.
- 23 | Q. What, if anything, did she say to you?
- 24 | A. Fine.

25

Q. What was Cynthia's job at La Versailles?

- 1 A. She received the money. She counted it.
- 2 | Q. Do you know if she owned the business?
  - A. She was not the owner.
- 4 | Q. Do you know the owner of La Versailles?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.

- 6 Q. Who is the owner of La Versailles?
- 7 | A. Mr. Datta.
- 8 | Q. Did you ever meet Mr. Datta?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 | Q. How did you come to meet Mr. Datta?
- 11 A. Cynthia introduced him to me.
- 12 | Q. All right. Do you see Mr. Datta in the courtroom here
- 13 | today?
- 14 | A. Yes, sir.
- 15 | Q. Can you please describe where Mr. Datta is by where he is
- 16 | sitting or an article of clothing he's wearing?
- 17 A. Yes, of course. Yes. He's seated to the right of you and
- 18 | I believe he's wearing a gray suit with a red tie.
- 19 MR. SKINNER: Can the record reflect the witness has
- 20 | identified the defendant?
- 21 THE COURT: Yes.
- 22 | Q. When you met with the defendant, what did you say to him
- 23 | and did he say to you?
- 24 A. Well, I introduced myself to the gentleman and I mentioned
- 25 | to him that I was the one who was going to be bringing the

money. And he said that was fine, no problem, and that when I needed to get in touch with them, that I should deal with Miss Cynthia.

MR. SKINNER: All right. At this point, your Honor, the government would like to read a stipulation and offer some exhibits into evidence.

·6 

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SKINNER: 810, the foreign language stip.

The parties have agreed that Government Exhibits 401 and 402 are recordings of telephone conversations that occurred either entirely or predominantly in Hindi and/or Punjabi and

that were incepted pursuant to court-authorized wiretaps.

Government Exhibits 401-T and 402-T are transcripts that contain fair and accurate English translations of the Hindi/Punjabi language conversations contained on Government Exhibits 401 and 402 respectively. The telephone conversations reflected in Government Exhibits 401-T and 402-T occurred on the dates and at the times indicated on the transcripts.

Government Exhibits 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419 and 425 are recordings of telephone conversations that occurred either entirely or predominantly in Spanish and that were intercepted pursuant to court-authorized wiretaps. Government Exhibits 403-T, 404-T, 405-T, 406-T, 407-T, 408-T, 409-T, 410-T, 411-T, 412-T, 413-T, 414-T, 415-T, 416-T, 417-T, 418-T, 419-T and

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Case 1:11-cr-00102-LAK Document 61 Filed 10/27/11 Page 595 of 652 191ddat5 F. Garza - direct 425-T are transcripts that contain fair and accurate English translations of the Spanish language conversations contained on Government Exhibits and then it lists out all of the exhibits 403 through 419 and 425, respectively. The telephone conversations reflected in Government Exhibits 403-T through 419-T and 425-T occurred on the dates and at the times indicated on the transcripts. Vikram Datta, the defendant -- well, that's not part of the stipulation. It is further stipulated and agreed that this stipulation, Government Exhibits 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419 and 425 and Government Exhibits 401-T, 402-T, 403-T --

THE COURT: The word "through" would serve nicely

somewhere about here.

MR. SKINNER: "Through" is not written, but all of the exhibits 401-T through 419-T and 425-T are listed, and it is stipulated and agreed that those exhibits may be received in evidence at trial and that this stipulation may be received in evidence.

The government offers Exhibit 810 as well as all of the exhibits listed within the stipulation.

> Received. THE COURT:

(Government's Exhibit 810 received in evidence) (Government's Exhibits 401-419, 425 and 401-T through

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

419-T, 425-T received in evidence)

MR. SKINNER: Thank you, your Honor.

At this point we have a few portions of some of the Spanish language calls that we just introduced that we wanted to ask the witness about.

Could I ask permission to pass the transcript binders back out to the jury?

(Pause)

Before we get to the calls themselves, I note that I've given original transcripts exhibits to Mr. Garza. There is nine exhibits. They are not all consecutive so I will just list them for the record: 406-T, 410-T, 411-T, 412-T, 413-T, 414-T, 417-T, 418-T and 419-T.

- Q. Let me ask you, Mr. Garza, have you reviewed these transcripts prior to testifying today?
- A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And are these transcripts of recorded telephone calls?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Did the telephone calls occur in Spanish?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you listen to the telephone calls prior to testifying today?
- 24 A. Yes.

25

Q. Who were the participants in these nine phone calls?

## Case 1:11-cr-00102-LAK Document 61 Filed 10/27/11 Page 597 of 652 191ddat5 F. Garza - direct

- A. Miss Cynthia and myself.
- Q. All right. And the transcripts, do they contain a Spanish
- 3 | transcription of what was said?
- 4 | A. Yes.

1

- Q. And did you review those transcripts prior to testifying today, the Spanish portions?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- Q. At the time that these recordings were made, did you know that you were being recorded?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 | Q. Let me direct your attention to 406-T.
- MR. SKINNER: I would ask the jury to turn to the first page of 406-T in their binders.
- Q. Do you see midway through the page where it says, "Very well. Listen, uh, well, Mr. Gerardo Rangel has just reported to me -- I talked to Jorge Gomez: 27419, 27, 4, 19."
- Do you see that?
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- 19 | Q. All right. Did you say that to Cynthia?
- 20 | A. Yes.

- 21 Q. OK. Who is Gerardo Rangel?
- 22 A. He is a client who buys perfume.
- 23 Q. And who is Jorge Gomez?
- 24 A. He works for Mr. Rangel.
  - Q. What did you mean when you said this to Cynthia, the

- 1 portion that we just reviewed?
- 2 A. I was reporting to her that I had spoken to Mr. Jorge Gomez
  3 to turn over \$27,419 to him on behalf of Mr. Gerardo Rangel.
  - Q. To turn that over, that money over to who?
  - A. To Miss Cynthia.
- Q. Can you now turn to 410-T, and I would ask the jury to turn to that page as well.

Have you reviewed this transcript prior to testifying today?

A. Yes.

4

5

8

9

10

19

20

21

- 11 | Q. And what were you -- was this also with Cynthia?
- 12 | A. Yes, sir.
- 13 | Q. And what were you discussing in this phone call?
- 14 A. I was talking to her to report to her about some money from
- 15 Mr. Jose Luis Contreras.
- 16 Q. How much money were you talking about?
- 17 A. \$38,681.
- 18 | Q. Could you now please turn to 412-T.

Do you see where Cynthia says, toward I guess the second time she speaks, "Listen, just here, uh, hasn't anyone closed for me?" Do you see that?

- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. The word "closed," is that a term that you and Cynthia would use with each other when you spoke to each other about business?

1 | A. Yes.

3

9

10

- 2 | Q. And when you used that term, what did you mean?
  - A. If we had made -- if we had closed a deal for Cynthia.
- $4 \parallel Q$ . What kind of deal?
- A. For the purchase of dollars so that they could be paid to Cynthia.
- Q. Do you see where you're speaking at the bottom of the page -- withdrawn.

Do you see where Cynthia says, toward the bottom of the page, she refers to Kaliman?

- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Do you know a man named Kaliman?
- 13 | A. Yes, sir.
- 14 | O. Who is Kaliman?
- 15 A. That's Mr. Jose Luis Contreras.
- 16 Q. And do you see next to that she refers to Guero?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Do you know a man who uses the name Guero?
- 19 | A. Yes, sir.
- 20 | Q. And who uses the name Guero?
- 21 A. Jose Antonio Franco.
- 22 | Q. Is that another one of your customers?
- 23 | A. Yes, sir.

- 24 Q. Now, can you turn to 413-T, please.
  - Do you see the second time you speak where you refer

- 1 | to sending a little envelope?
  - A. Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

25

- Q. To what were you referring when you said you sent a little envelope?
- A. That a little envelope was sent to Miss Cynthia.
- Q. OK. We can put the transcripts aside for the time being.

MR. SKINNER: Ms. Quinones, can I ask you to please put up the first page of Government's Exhibit 609, which is already in evidence.

Can you blow up the bottom half of the page, the checks that are written down there.

- Q. We're looking at Government Exhibit 609 right now, the first page, Mr. Garza. We've blown up some checks that were written from this account. Do you recognize these checks?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. And are these checks from a bank account at Falcon Bank in the name of Hilario Martinez-Garcia?
- THE INTERPRETER: What is the name of the bank? I'm sorry.
  - MR. SKINNER: Falcon Bank.
- 21 | A. Yes.
- Q. Did you know that Hilario Martinez-Garcia had a checking account at Falcon Bank?
- 24 | A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. How did you know that he had a checking account at Falcon

- Bank?
- I gave him the instructions.
- So was this account used in connection with your business? 3 Ο.
- Yes, sir. 4 Α.
- Why didn't you open the account up in your own name, or the 5
- name of your business? 6
- To avoid responsibility. 7 Α.
- All right. How was this checking account used in 8
- connection with your business? 9
- Well, at times I would give out the checks for the stores. 10 Α.
- Who would you give these checks to? 11 Q.
- To the perfume shops. 12
- And so just to be clear, at times you gave checks from this 13
- bank account to perfume shops in Laredo, Texas; is that right? 14
- 15 Α. That's right.
- All right. And was this to pay the invoices of your 16
- clients, the perfume purchasers in Mexico? 17
- Yes, sir. 18 Α.
- Now, where did the money come from that was deposited into 19
- this checking account? 20
- A. At times I would send transfers from Nueva Laredo to 21
- Laredo, Texas, and on other occasions we would deposit cash 22
- into the account. 23
- The cash that you deposited, did any of that cash come from 24
- purchases you made from Carlos Martinez or Senor Alberto? 25

- 1 | A. Yes, sir.
- 2 | Q. And why didn't you always just write checks to the perfume
- 3 | businesses rather than delivering cash to them directly?
- 4 A. It was easier. It was easier for us to bring it across --
- 5 | to bring it over, to just give them the check, as opposed to
- 6 | giving them cash.
- 7 | Q. All right. If it was easier to give them a check, why
- 8 | didn't you do it every time? Why did you ever deliver cash?
- 9 A. Well, we weren't always allowed to deposit large amounts
- 10 and at times we didn't have the checks to make transfers.
- 11 | Q. When you say you weren't always allowed to deposit large
- 12 | amounts, who would limit the deposits that you could make into
- 13 | this bank account?
- 14 A. The banks, the banks themselves.
- 15 | Q. Where was this bank located?
- 16 A. In Laredo, Texas.
- 17 | Q. Let me draw your attention back to the first page of 609.
- Do you see the check that I've just circled, marked
- 19 || number 505?
- 20 | A. Yes, sir.
- 21 | Q. Do you recognize the handwriting to the left of the red
- 22 | line I just made on the screen?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Whose handwriting is that?
- 25 | A. It's Mr. Jose Veta de la Rosa's.

(Recess)

(Jury not present) 1 THE COURT: How are we doing on time? 2 3 MR. SKINNER: We have one more witness then we rest. I hope we will get him on today depending on cross. I think we 4 will definitely be done tomorrow morning at the earliest. 5 we have those two women. I am not sure, I will defer to the 6 7 defense as to whether we get to them or not. THE COURT: What else would the defense have? 8 MR. WHITE: We would have an expert witness, two short 9 10 witnesses, then we would call the two women. THE COURT: That would be it. 11 . MR. WHITE: It depends on what happens with the two 12 13 women, your Honor. THE COURT: OK. We may be finished tomorrow. 14 15 (Continued on next page) 16' 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

(Jury enters courtroom) 1 THE COURT: The defendant and jurors are all present. 2 Cross-examination. 3 I wonder if I can get Government Exhibit 4 I don't think that's one of the transcripts before 5 Mr. Garza. 6 MR. SKINNER: The original exhibit. 7 MR. WHITE: Yes. 8 It's in front of the witness. MR. SKINNER: 9 I am not sure it is. MR. WHITE: 10 11 CROSS EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. WHITE: Good afternoon, Mr. Garza. 13 Good afternoon. 14 Α. Look at the transcript before you, Government Exhibit 411T, 15 and I ask the jurors to turn to that transcript in their books. 16 Do you see where you say, listen, excuse me, it's a question of 17 our crossing the bridge and we declare; do you see that, 18 19 Mr. Garza? 20 Yes, sir. Α. What did you mean when you were telling Cynthia that you 21 declare? 22 I was talking about how long it would take me for me to 23 cross the bridge and declare the amount of money that I had 24

reported on previously.

- Q. You always declared, you and the people that worked with you always declared when you came across the border, correct?
- A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Here you are telling Cynthia that you are declaring on this particular delivery?
  - A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. When you were first introduced to Cynthia as the person who would be bringing her U.S. dollars, did you tell her that you declare when you bring the money across from Nuevo Laredo?
- 10 | A. No, sir.
- 11 Q. This is the only time you ever told her that you declare 12 money?
  - A. Well, as far as I can recall there might have been similar ones in terms of my responses, I mean, in terms of when I used to cross over and head over there and I declare.

THE INTERPRETER: Correction.

- Q. Did you let La Versailles, Cynthia, did you let them know that you declare when you take money across the border?
  - A. Not every time I wouldn't say that, I wouldn't say that when I returned. I would just tell them that I was turning the money, making a delivery of money.

THE INTERPRETER: Correction.

Q. You didn't say it every time because you had told them on previous occasions that you declare when you come across the border, correct?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. You described, Mr. Garza, how after, in 2009 and 2010,
- 3 | money would come to you in Nuevo Laredo from distant cities, is
- 4 | that correct?
- 5 | A. Yes, sir.
- 6 Q. And you described how that money would be delivered and
- 7 | what it looked like and what were the circumstances under which
- 8 | you received that money; do you remember testifying to that?
- 9 | A. Yes, sir.
- 10 | Q. Did you ever tell Cynthia or Mr. Datta, did you ever tell
- 11 | them that's how you got the money?
- 12 | A. No, sir.
- 13 | Q. Mr. Skinner asked you had anybody at La Versailles ever
- 14 asked you where you got the U.S. dollars from; do you remember
- 15 || that?
- 16 | A. Yes, sir.
- 17 | Q. You testified, no, nobody ever asked you?
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- 19 | Q. Did you ever tell anybody at La Versailles the
- 20 || circumstances under which you got that money?
- 21 | A. No, sir.
- 22 | Q. If someone at La Versailles had asked you as Mr. Skinner
- 23 | asked you where does those U.S. dollars come from, what would
- 24 you have said?
- 25 MR. SKINNER: Objection.

1 | THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. WHITE: Can I have sidebar on that one?

THE COURT: No.

MR. WHITE: I want to make a record later.

### BY MR. WHITE:

- Q. You identified some of the customers for whom you paid the invoices, Gerardo Rangel, Jose Franco and others; do you recall that, testifying to that?
- A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 10 | O. Those were perfume businesses in Mexico City, correct?
- 11 | A. Yes.
- Q. Were all of those perfume businesses that you served, that you delivered money for?
- 14 | A. Yes, sir.
- 15 | Q. Not all of them were customers of La Versailles though,
- 16 were they?
- 17 A. The vast majority were.
- 18 | Q. But not all of them?
- 19 | A. No.
- 20 | O. You testified that one of those customers was Jose
- 21 | Martinez, correct?
- 22 A. One of my clients, yes.
- 23 | Q. Jose Martinez was not a customer of La Versailles, was he?
- 24 A. Correct.

25

Q. You testified on direct that somebody had recommended you

- to Carlos Martinez; do you remember that you testified to that on direct?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Carlos Martinez was one of the people from whom you received U.S. dollars, right?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. And the person who recommended you to Carlos Martinez was
- 8 Jose Martinez, correct?
- 9 | A. No, sir.
- 10 | O. Who was it who recommended you to Carlos Martinez?
- 11 A. Someone else.
- 12 | O. Name?
- 13 A. Juan Urtiago.
- 14 | Q. How did you know this gentleman?
- 15 A. He has a currency exchange business in Nuevo Laredo.
- Q. How did you come to get these customers in Mexico City?
- THE COURT: Which customers are you talking about,
- 18 | sir?
- 19 Q. Your own customers in Mexico City for whom you delivered
- 20 money, how did you come to get these customers?
- 21 A. When I worked for Alpha money exchange.
- 22 | Q. That was like 10 years earlier, correct?
- 23 A. Yes, approximately it was.
- Q. So did it come about that in 2009, these people all of a
- 25 | sudden became your clients?

| 1    |                                                                |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1.   | A. Well, for most of them I had the telephone numbers, I had   |
| 2    | portfolio, a customer portfolio from Alpha, so I got in touch  |
| 3    | with some of them and they referred me on to other people, so  |
| 4    | successively I got to them.                                    |
| 5    | Q. What did you tell these people when you called them?        |
| 6    | A. That I had begun my own casa de cambio business and I was   |
| 7    | calling them to offer them my services.                        |
| 8    | Q. What did you tell them about your services; why should they |
| 9    | use you?                                                       |
| 10   | MR. SKINNER: Objection; relevance.                             |
| 11   | THE COURT: Sustained.                                          |
| 12   | Q. Did you talk to them about exchange rates?                  |
| 13   | MR. SKINNER: Objection; relevance.                             |
| 14   | THE COURT: Why is it relevant, counsel? If you need            |
| 15   | to come to the sidebar, come.                                  |
| 16   | MR. WHITE: I would like to, your Honor.                        |
| 17   | (Continued on next page)                                       |
| 18   |                                                                |
| 19   |                                                                |
| 20   |                                                                |
| 21   |                                                                |
| 22 - |                                                                |
| 23   |                                                                |
| 24   |                                                                |
| 25   |                                                                |

(At the sidebar)

MR. WHITE: According to the 3500 material, he told Jose Luis Contreras, a customer of my client, that he could give him better service than Alpha gave him or EMAX. So he is offering them better service as opposed to cheaper dollars. In fact, if these women testify, they would testify that he could provide a faster service than the money exchanges they were using. So that was the appeal. He had not cheap dollars but better service that he offered to the customers.

THE COURT: So what?

MR. WHITE: It diminishes the likelihood that he was being used because the customers or La Versailles knew these were narco dollars, that he was giving cheap dollars. We just keep hearing cheap dollars. But he is offering them better service, faster service then they were getting.

MR. SKINNER: I fail to see the relevance of the speed of the service he was providing.

MR. WHITE: It's the reason he is being used.

MR. SKINNER: By whom?

MR. WHITE: It's the reason that La Versailles agrees to deal with him because he could do faster service.

MR. SKINNER: That's a different question than why his customers agree to deal with him. I don't see the relevance of his customers.

MR. WHITE: The idea here is this is the black market

peso exchange, they are going around getting cheaper dollars.

If they can get narco dollars, they will use narco dollars.

This is in conflict with that theory.

THE COURT: I don't get it. I don't understand your argument.

MR. WHITE: Their expert, testified all this cash is drug money.

THE COURT: That's your theory.

MR. WHITE: And that the importers in Mexico, that's what they want, they want cheap dollars, and this argument goes to the fact that Fausto is offering them better service, faster service, not cheap dollars, faster service.

MR. SKINNER: I think the testimony from the expert is that it's cheap dollars. It's also the desire to avoid taxes and tariffs, all sorts of other things, which is why they are using cash with this guy. I still don't see why where he said to a customer, first of all he got customers from 2007 rather than 2009, it's unclear on that point, we will have to clarify that on redirect, but I don't see the relevance. I am trying struggling with it myself, but I still don't see the relevance of it.

THE COURT: I don't either.

MR. WHITE: Can I make the little record I wanted to make. I know when I was cross-examining Hilario Martinez, I asked the same question, what would you have said if they asked

you if it was drug money, and the objection was sustained.

This is going to be a conscious avoidance instruction given to this jury undoubtedly in which your Honor will instruct the jury, I anticipate, that the defendant avoided confirming the fact, avoided confirming the fact. If the fact is impossible of confirmation or it would have been futile to attempt to confirm the fact, I think that's relevant under the conscious avoidance issue. That's why I think that's a proper question.

THE COURT: I adhere to the ruling.

(Continued on next page)

(In open court)

THE COURT: Let's proceed.

## BY MR. WHITE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. The clients that you had in Mexico City, the perfume dealers in Mexico City, when is it that you, what year was it that you reached out to them from your Alpha file and told them you had a casa de cambio?
- A. In 2007 and then up to 2008 I continued to get them.
- Q. In 2007 when you first reach out to them, what did you ask them to do; what did you tell them you could do for them?
- 11 A. That I was beginning a money exchange house of my own and I
  12 was offering them my services.
- Q. Why should they use you; why were you telling them they should use you?

THE COURT: He wanted the business, don't you think.

MR. WHITE: That's why.

THE COURT: There might be a better question.

- Q. What were you telling them were the advantages of using your casa de cambio?
- A. Just to offer them the best price.
- 21 | Q. What do you mean by the best price?
- 22 A. Cheaper than the competition.
- 23 Q. You mean cheaper on the exchange rate?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- 25 | Q. This was in 2007, correct?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Were all your clients in Mexico City?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 | Q. You had none in Guadalajara?
- 5 | A. Yes, I did have them but I did not get in touch with them.
  - Q. Did you have a client named Juan Rubio?
- 7 | A. No.

2

- 8 | Q. Jose Luis Rodriguez?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 | Q. Did you know a person named Octavio?
- MR. SKINNER: Objection, your Honor, beyond the scope of direct examination.
- 13 THE COURT: Sustained.
- Q. When you were at Alpha, did you have a client named Octavio?
- MR. SKINNER: Objection.
- 17 THE COURT: Sustained.
- Q. In 2007 when you reached out to former clients from Alpha,
  was Octavio one of the people you reached out to?
- MR. SKINNER: Objection, your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Sustained.
- Q. You have a prior conviction in 2001 for money laundering,
- 23 | correct?
- 24 | A. Yes, sir.
- 25 | Q. What you did then is you were working for Alpha, correct?

- 1 A. That's true.
- Q. What you did is you exchanged pesos for \$25,000 --
- 3 | withdrawn. You exchanged \$25,000 in U.S. money for pesos,
- 4 || correct?
- 5 A. That's true.
- 6 | Q. You were dealing, were you not, with an undercover agent,
- 7 | weren't you?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. When you made this exchange you put in false information on
- 10 | the CTR, correct?
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- 12 | Q. Prior to doing that you met with the undercover in La
- 13 | Posada, did you not?
- 14 | A. Yes, sir.
- 15 | Q. La Posada is a hotel in Laredo?
- 16 | A. Yes, sir.
- 17 | O. Where did you meet him, in the bar?
- 18 A. In the restaurant.
- 19 Q. Prior to your doing this transaction he told you, did he
- 20 not, that this was money he had from cocaine trafficking,
- 21 || correct?
- 22 A. Yes, he did mention it.
- 23 | Q. So you knew when you made that exchange at Alpha with the
- 24 | \$25,000, you knew because he had told you that that was money
- 25 | from cocaine, correct?

- 1 A. Yes, sir.
- 2 Q. You testified on direct that you filled out false United
- 3 | States tax forms, correct?
- 4 | A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. These forms indicated that you were an employee of a United
- 6 States company in Laredo, correct?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 | Q. You did that so you would be entitled to Social Security
- 9 payments, correct?
- 10 | A. Yes, sir.
- 11 | Q. You knew at the time that you did that that you were
- 12 defrauding the United States government, didn't you?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. Going back to your conviction, when you were having that
- meeting at La Posada with the undercover, you were a regular
- 16 cocaine user yourself, right?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- 18 | Q. And you continued to be a regular cocaine user up until the
- 19 time of your arrest in your present case?
- 20 | A. Yes, sir.
- 21 | Q. How much cocaine did you use on a daily basis?
- 22 A. I didn't use it daily; I would use it every 10 days to two
- 23 weeks.
- 24 | Q. For 20 years?
- 25 | A. Approximately, sir.

MR. WHITE: Nothing further, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Any redirect?

MR. SKINNER: No, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you. The witness is excused.

Next witness.

(Witness excused)

MR. SKINNER: The government calls special agent Miguel Carrera. We have two stipulations we want to read into the record prior to the witness's testimony.

The first stipulation is marked Government Exhibit 805. It provides that the parties agree that Samez Worldwide is perfume supplier located at 609 Columbus Avenue, New York, New York, during the period from June 1, 2009 until February 1, 2011. Samez Worldwide shipped perfume from New York, New York to perfume businesses located in Laredo, Texas that were owned and operated by Vikram Datta, the defendant.

Second, Edgemont Trading Company is a perfume supplier located at 609, Columbus Avenue, New York, New York. During the period from June 1, 2009 until February 1, 2011, Edgemont Trading shipped perfume from New York, New York to perfume businesses located in Laredo, Texas that were owned and operated by Vikram Datta, the defendant.

Perfume Unlimited Inc. is a perfume supplier located at 31 West 31st Street, New York, New York during the period from June 1, 2009 until February 1, 2011. Perfume Unlimited

shipped perfume from New York, New York to perfume businesses location in Laredo, Texas that were owned and operated by Vikram Datta, the defendant.

Park Fragrance is a perfume supplier located at 261 West 25th Street, New York, New York. During the period from June 1, 2009 until February 1, 2011, Park Fragrance shipped perfume from New York, New York to perfume businesses located in Laredo, Texas that were owned and operated by Vikram Datta, the defendant.

Vermani Perfumes is a perfume supplier located at 37 West 30th Street, New York, New York. During the period from June 1, 2009 until February 1, 2011, Vermani Perfumes shipped perfume from New York, New York to perfume businesses located in Laredo, Texas that were owned and operated by Vikram Datta, the defendant.

Mod Textile & Perfumes is a perfume supplier located at 609 Columbus Avenue, New York, New York. During the period from June 1, 2009 until February 1, 2011 Mod Textile & Perfumes shipped perfume from New York, New York to perfume businesses located in Laredo, Texas that were owned and operated by Vikram Datta, the defendant.

It is further stipulated and agreed this stipulation may be received in evidence at trial. The government offers Exhibit 805.

THE COURT: Received.

(Government Exhibit 805 received in evidence)

MR. SKINNER: The second stipulation provides that the parties agree that if called as a witness, a manager from the Best Western Hotel located at 101 International Way, Newark, New Jersey would testify as follows. The Best Western Hotel located at 101 International Way, Newark, New Jersey maintains records relating to guests who rent rooms at the hotel.

I have reviewed those records and have determined that on February 22, 2010, Miguel Lara Ramirez, a citizen and national of Mexico checked into the hotel. Lara Ramirez paid in cash in advance for his room. Lara Ramirez stayed at the Best Western Hotel until March 2, 2010.

It is further stipulated and agreed this stipulation may be received in evidence at trial. The government offers, Government Exhibit 815.

THE COURT: Received.

(Government Exhibit 815 received in evidence)

MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, may I proceed with questions of the witness.

THE COURT: You may.

MIGUEL CARRERA,

called as a witness by the Government,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

24 | DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SKINNER:

- Q. Where do you work?
- A. Excuse me?

2

3

- Q. Where do you work?
- 4 A. Drug Enforcement Administration, DEA.
- 5 | Q. Where is your title?
- 6 A. Special agent.
- 7 | O. How long have you been a special agent with the DEA?
- 8  $\mid A$ . About 7-1/2 years now.
- 9 Q. Generally speaking what are your duties and 10 responsibilities as a special agent with DEA?
- 11 A. Conduct criminal investigations as they have to do with
  12 drug trafficking, money laundering, etc.
- Q. Directing your attention to to January 15, 2011, were you working on that day?
- 15 | A. Yes.

- 16 Q. Did you participate in an arrest on that day?
- 17 | A. Yes, I did.
- 18 Q. Where did the arrest take place?
- 19 A. Right here in midtown on the east side.
- 20 | Q. What was your role?
- A. Right before the arrest I was sitting next to the

  defendant, a table over, having lunch. When they got ready to

  move or to leave, I went out, got my car, and came across the

  street, got my car and parked right in front of the restaurant.
  - Q. Just to back up, when you say the defendant, are you

- 1 | referring to Vikram Datta?
  - A. Yes, sir, the defendant.
- Q. Was there some type of undercover operation that was going
- 4 | on prior to the defendant's arrest?
- 5 | A. Yes, sir. He was having lunch with detective Recinos,
- 6 | Mario Recinos.

- 7 Q. You say that you pulled your car around to the front, is
- 8 | that correct?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. What happened after that?
- 11 | A. Basically the defendant came out of the restaurant with the
- 12 | undercover. Several agents came behind them, separated the
- 13 | undercover, arrested Mr. Datta, and put him in the back of my
- 14 | car.
- 15 | O. What did you do after that?
- 16 A. Another agent got in the car with me; we drove to the New
- 17 | Jersey office.
- 18 Q. Where is the New Jersey office?
- 19 A. In Newark, Newark, New Jersey.
- 20 Q. After the defendant was put in the car and you pulled away
- 21 | and headed towards Newark, was the defendant advised of his
- 22 | right to remain silent?
- 23 A. Yes, sir, his Miranda rights were read to him.
- 24 | Q. Did he waive his Miranda rights?
- 25 | A. Yes, sir.

- Q. After he waived his Miranda rights, was he asked any questions?
  - A. Yes, sir; we spoke to him all the way.
  - Q. Did you participate in the questioning of the defendant?
- 5 A. Yes, sir.

4

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. Did you ask the defendant any questions about the undercover he had been meeting with at the meeting prior to his arrest?
- A. Yes, sir. We basically asked him who the person he was having lunch with was; he said that the guy's name was Mario.
- 11 | Q. Did you ask any questions about what Mario did?
- 12 A. Yes. We asked if he knew actually that Mario was a drug 13 trafficker and a money launderer; he indicated he did not know 14 that.
  - Q. Did you ask the defendant anything about large amounts of cash that he received in his business from Mexico?
  - A. Yes, sir. We basically questioned him about the amount of cash that was coming into his stores. He indicated basically that's how business was done at the border, that it came from perfume. When we asked him where did he think that money was coming from, he basically indicated that wasn't his business, that wasn't his concern.
- Q. Did you ask the defendant anything about a man named Octavio?
  - A. Yes, sir, we did.

- Q. What did you ask him about Octavio?
- 2 A. Basically, we asked him if he knew a person named Octavio.
- 3 He said yes, he did. He said that it was a prior business
- 4 | associate of his.

- 5 | Q. Did you ask him anything about Octavio's kidnapping?
- 6 A. Yes, sir. We asked him about Octavio's kidnapping. He
- 7 | said he knew about it. We asked him also if he had made any
- 8 arrangements to send money to Octavio's kidnappers. He said
- 9 | that he did not.
- 10 | O. Was the defendant asked anything about an organization
- 11 | known as the Zetas?
- 12 A. Yes, sir. We asked him if he knew who had kidnapped
- 13 | Octavio and he said he didn't know. We asked him if he knew if
- 14 | the Zetas had kidnapped him. He said he did not know.
- 15 | Q. Did you ask the defendant anything whether he was there to
- 16 | receive money from the undercover Mario?
- 17 A. Yes, that's one of the other things we approached him with.
- 18 | He said he wasn't there to receive money; he was just there to
- 19 | meet with an acquaintance.
- 20 | Q. Was the defendant asked at any time in your presence about
- an organization known as the Sinaloa drug cartel?
- 22 | A. Yes, sir.
- 23 | Q. What did he say in response to questions about the Sinaloa
- 24 | drug cartel?
- 25  $\parallel$  A. Specifically we asked him if he had ever done any business

- with them, and he didn't, he denied doing any business with
  them. We asked him if he had heard of them or he had mentioned
  them. He said he hadn't mentioned them. We confronted him
  again, telling him that later on that he had mentioned him on
  recordings, the Sinaloa cartel, and he denied having mentioned
  them.
  - Q. Was the defendant asked anything about how much cash his business took in?
  - ∥ A. Yes, sir.

8

9

- 10 | Q. What did he tell you?
- 11 A. He wasn't exactly sure; he said approximately \$12 million.
- Q. Was the defendant asked anything about how Mexican perfume businesses could generate so much money?
- A. Yes, sir. Actually we asked him on several occasions the same thing. He basically said that was not his concern. At one point he told us that if we had any problems with money coming across Mexico, we should arrest the people that are delivering it, that he had nothing to do with that.
- 19 | Q. Are you familiar with the term wiretap?
- 20 | A. Yes, sir.
- 21 | Q. What is a wiretap?
- 22 A. Wiretap is basically a term used in law enforcement.
- Basically when we listen to phones or emails or basically interception of the communication device.
  - Q. Have you had training on the use of wiretaps?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

- Q. Have you used wiretaps in previous investigations?
- A. Yes, sir, I have.
- Q. How many investigations do you think you worked on that involved wiretaps?
  - A. I would say about 20, 20, 40, something like that.
- 7 Q. Aside from what you told us about the arrest of the
- 8 defendant, were you involved in the investigation of the
- 9 | defendant?
- 10 | A. Yes, sir.
- 11 | Q. Did that investigation involve the use of wiretaps?
- 12 | A. Yes, sir.
- 13 | Q. What was wiretapped in the investigation?
- 14 | A. Basically cellphones, the defendant's personal cell,
- 15 | another three phones used in the business, and I believe we
- 16 | also intercepted a landline at the time for the business; about
- 17 | five cellphones in total.
- 18 Q. Did DEA or someone else in the government have to get
- 19 permission from anyone to wiretap these phones?
- 20 A. Yes, it's actually a very involved process. Basically
- 21 | after an application is done, it goes to headquarters. It goes
- 22 || in front of a federal judge; they approve or disapprove it.
- 23 | Q. For how long was DEA authorized to wiretap these five
- 24 phones you mentioned?
- 25 A. Each order only authorizes us to listen in if you will for

30 days.

1

- 2 | Q. During the wiretaps in this investigation, how many 30-day
- 3 | periods was the DEA authorized to wiretap one or more of the
- 4 | phones that were involved in the investigation?
- 5 A. We had four applications, so four times.
- 6 | Q. Four 30-day periods?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 | Q. Roughly speaking during what time period did the DEA
- 9 | intercept phone calls during the four 30-day periods?
- 10 A. If I remember correctly, around March and May, and toward
- 11 | the end of the year, October, November, or August October,
- 12 | October and November, I believe around that time.
- 13 | Q. Of what year?
- 14 | A. 2010.
- 15 | Q. Once the wiretaps were in place, were recordings made of
- 16 | the intercepted phone calls?
- 17 | A. Yes, recorded calls.
- 18 | 0. Describe for us how the calls are recorded.
- 19 | A. Basically there is a computer system we have that
- 20 | interfaces with a particular phone company. Once that phone
- 21 | company reviews the court order, we tap into the phone. So if
- 22 | the person makes a phone call, the system will automatically
- 23 kick in and make a recording of the session if you will or the
- 24 details.

25

Q. It's a recording of voices once the phone is activated?

Yes and no. In addition to the voices, if somebody calls 1 and hangs up, it will record an incident and give it a number, 2 a session number we call it. It will have the time, the date, 3

if there was an audio recording made of it.

- In addition to capturing voices, does the computer equipment capture any another information about completed phone calls?
  - A. Yes, sir. There is a couple of different types of safequards in place for that. There is a monitor, somebody monitoring the system, let's say, and the system also has different safety nets in place.
- Q. Does the computer have the ability to record the phone numbers for the telephones that participated in the captured 13. call?
- A. Yes, sir. 15

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Does it indicate which phone was doing the dialing and 16 which phone was receiving the call? 17
- Yes, sir. 18 Α.
- Does it indicate what time phone calls were made? 19
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- You said that there is a monitor involved in the process, 21
- is that right? 2.2
- 23 Α. Yes, sir.
- So if the recordings are being captured on that, what role 24 does a monitor play? 25

- That is one of the safeguards. Basically we can only 1 record what we are listening to. If we are listening to 2 something, it automatically will be recorded. Someone has to 3 make a determination whether that particular phone call has to 4 do with the crime at hand, does it have to do with money 5 laundering as in this case. If it does not have to do with 6 money laundering, then the person behind manning the terminal 7 has to what we call minimize it, stop listening, then it stops 8 recording. That's one of the safeguards the monitor plays. 9
- 10 | Q. Does the monitor monitor every phone call that's recorded?
- 11 A. During their shift they are there manning the machine, if 12 that's your question.
  - Q. If the monitor minimized a phone call, is it still recorded whether or not they are listening or does it just stop recording?
    - A. Once the person deems the call doesn't have anything to do with the crime, they will hit a minimize button and they cannot listen or record. The system will record that event. The system will record the fact that the call was not being listened to.
  - Q. Are calls monitored 24 hours a day?
- 22 | A. No, sir.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 23 Q. Are calls intercepted 24 hours a day?
- 24 | A. No, sir.
  - Q. During what period of time in this investigation were calls

- 1 | being intercepted and monitored?
  - A. We were listening to his phones in two shifts, 8 to 4 and 4 to midnight.
    - Q. There was nobody listening from midnight to 8, no recordings were made during that period of time?
  - A. That's correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

. 10

11

- Q. How do you determine what period of time to intercept phone calls?
  - A. We basically looked at the amount of phone calls he normally has on his phone and determine when he had the most phone calls or the business will be open. In this case we listened to his phone around business time.
- Q. What information did you have at your disposal that let you know when the phones were most active?
- 15 A. Basically toll records.
- Q. Were any of the phone calls that were intercepted in this investigation in foreign languages?
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. What languages?
- 20 A. The phones, we had conversation in Spanish, full Spanish, a
  21 lot of conversations were in Spanish and English, and a lot of
  22 them were in Hindi.
- Q. Did DEA take any steps to monitor foreign language phone calls?
- 25 | A. Yes, sir.

Q. What did the agency do?

going on in the phone call.

- A. For the foreign language ones, we had monitors, specialized companies that have contracts with the government and the monitors come in, they are basically experts on this, and they translate the phone call and make a quick summary of what's
- Q. The english language calls, were they also being summarized in realtime as calls were being made?
- A. Yes, sir.

- Q. During any one of the 30-day periods the DEA was authorized to intercept phone calls, was it required to engage in any kind of reporting of what was happening during that 30-day period?
- A. Yes, sir. Every 10 days or so we have to generate a report to the federal judge and basically the report has data, says how many calls came in, how many were pertinent, how many were minimized, how many were not pertinent, how many were recorded, how many were minimized; yes, a report gets generated.

MR. SKINNER: May I approach, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

- Q. I have given you a Redweld that contains a number of different government exhibits. I ask you to turn to Government Exhibit 427 and 427T. There should be a folder with those numbers on top, probably a few in from the front.
- A. 427?
  - Q. Yes. Do you have 427 and 427T in front of you?

1 Α. Yes.

2

- What is 427? Q.
- 427 is a CD that contains a recording made during the 3 Α. intercept period.
- This is recording that was intercepted pursuant to one of 5
- the wiretaps you were just telling us about? 6
- That's correct. 7 Α.
- What's 427t? 8 Q.
- It's a transcript of the same thing that's on the CD. 9 Α.
- Have you reviewed the recording of the transcript prior to 10
- testifying today? 11
- Yes, I did. 12 Α.
- Can you tell us who the participants are in this particular 13
- 14 intercepted phone call?
- It was the defendant, Mr. Datta, and a female named Liz, 15 Α.
- 16 and another unknown female.
- What day and time did this phone call take place? 17 Ο.
- It was April 14, 2010. 18
- Generally can you describe the subject matter of this 19
- phone? 20
- This is actually an overhear. 21
- Explain what you mean by an overhear? 22
- We were basically listening to the phone that he was 23
- talking to. At that point he received a different phone call 24
- on another phone so he starts talking on the other phone. 25

| 1  | this phone we could still hear his part of the conversation.   |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2  | So he is talking in Hindi then basically switches to English.  |
| 3  | So we can hear on this phone what he is saying on this phone,  |
| 4  | just his side of the conversation.                             |
| 5  | Q. In this overhear, the one side of the conversation, tell us |
| 6  | in general terms what the defendant was talking about.         |
| 7  | A. He speaks to this person named Liz, he's getting an update  |
| 8  | of what was sold in one of the stores, and later during the    |
| 9  | conversation, he starts making reference to Sinaloa in Mexico, |
| 10 | and he acknowledges from the person that of course he          |
| 11 | understood that there is a big Sinaloa drug cartel in that     |
| 12 | area.                                                          |
| 13 | MR. SKINNER: The government offers 427 and 427T.               |
| 14 | THE COURT: Received.                                           |
| 15 | (Government Exhibits 427 427T received in evidence)            |
| 16 | MR. SKINNER: We like to play a brief portion of this           |
| 17 | phone call. We will turn to 427T in the transcript binders and |
| 18 | we will start the recording from 25 minutes and 30 seconds.    |
| 19 | (Audiotape played)                                             |
| 20 | (Continued on next page)                                       |
| 21 |                                                                |
| 22 |                                                                |
| 23 |                                                                |
| 24 |                                                                |
| 25 |                                                                |

1 | Q. Stop it there.

2

3

4

5

6

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And Special Agent Carrera, can I now ask you to turn to what we've marked as Government Exhibit 421?

Do you have it in front of you?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Is this another -- are 421 and 421-T in front of you?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Is 421 another audio CD with a recording on it; 421-T is a transcript of that CD?
- 10 | A. Yes, sir.
- 11 | Q. Did you review these prior to testifying today?
- 12 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Can you give us the date and time of the call captured on 421?
- 15 A. Yes. This happened on September 13, 2010 at 1:55 p.m.
- 16 | Q. Who are the participants in the phone call?
- 17 A. It was the defendant Vikram Datta and a person identified
  18 as Jaime Goldstein.
  - Q. What is the general subject matter of the phone call?
  - A. Buying perfume, and Jaime basically wants assurances that the perfume he sells to the defendant will not come back into the U.S. but will go into Mexico.
    - MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, the government offers 421 and 421-T as a transcript of 421.
      - MR. ROSS: Without objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Received. 1 (Government's Exhibits 421, 421-T received in 2 evidence) 3 MR. SKINNER: And I would like to publish a portion of 4 5 the call. Can I ask everyone to turn to 421 and turn to page 2. 6 There are no line numbers on this transcript. We are going to 7 start a little bit midway through the page where Jaime 8 Goldstein is saying, "But the stores, it's for the stores in 9 Laredo." 10 And, Ms. Quinones, can I ask you to start it at 1:11. 11 (Tape played) 12 MR. SKINNER: You can stop it there. 13 Agent Carrera, can I ask you to turn to Government Exhibits 14 430 and 432. 15 Yes, sir. 16 Α. And while you are getting there, your Honor, we have a 17 stipulation that we would like to read into the record right 18 19 now. THE COURT: Yes. 20 MR. SKINNER: I think this is the last one. 21 The parties agree that if called as a witness, the 22 witness from Verizon would testify as follows: 23 Verizon is a service provider for the telephone 24

numbers 956.764.2818, 956.794.8046 and 956.794.8047.

The telephone numbers 956.764.2818, 956.794.8046 --1 2 THE COURT: You've lost everybody. MR. SKINNER: I've loss the reporter. 3 4 THE COURT: We have no record. 5 MR. SKINNER: I'm sorry. I'm trying to go quickly but too fast. Let me start back over. 6 7 Verizon is a service provider for the telephone numbers 956.764.2818, 956.794.8046 and 956.794.8047. 8 telephone numbers 956.764.2818, 956.794.8046 and 956.794.8047 9 10 are registered to BBVA Compass Bank in Laredo, Texas. It is further stipulated and agreed that this 11 stipulation may be received in evidence at trial. 12 13 The government offers Exhibit 814. 14 THE COURT: Received. 15 (Government's Exhibit 814 received in evidence) 16 BY MR. SKINNER: 17 I apologize, Agent Carrera. I intended to have you turn to Exhibits 428, 429, 431 and 434. Can you pull those folders, 18 19 please? So 428, 429, and what else? 20 Then 431 and 434. They should all be in a group. 21 Ο. 22 Do you have them in front of you now? 23 Α. Yes, sir. And can I ask you, are these four different CDs with the 24

government numbers that I just indicated?

- 1 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do they all contain recorded telephone calls captured pursuant to the wiretaps?
  - A. Yes, sir, they do.
- Q. And are the corresponding T exhibits transcripts of those recorded phone calls?
- 7 | A. Yes, sir.

17

18

19

20

- Q. Have you reviewed those phone calls and transcripts prior to testifying today?
- 10 | A. Yes, sir, I did.
- Q. Can I ask you, just generally speaking, what is the time period for these four phone calls?
- 13 A. That was September 10th through the end of September 10th, 14 l 14th through the 30th.
- Q. Generally speaking, what is the subject matter of these phone calls?
  - A. It's basically conversations between the defendant and bank officials or the defendant's workers and bank officials.
    - MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, the government offers 428, 429, 431 and 434 and the corresponding transcripts.
      - MR. ROSS: No objection, your Honor.
- 22 THE COURT: Received.
- 23 (Government's Exhibits 428, 429, 431, 434 received in evidence)
- 25 | (Government's Exhibits 428-T, 429-T, 431-T 434-T

| 1  | received in evidence)                                          |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2  | BY MR. SKINNER:                                                |
| 3  | Q. Can you turn first to 428?                                  |
| 4  | A. Yes, sir.                                                   |
| 5  | Q. When was this phone call placed?                            |
| 6  | THE COURT: Mr. Skinner, are you absolutely on the              |
| 7  | verge of finishing here?                                       |
| 8  | MR. SKINNER: No, your Honor. We have some more.                |
| 9  | THE COURT: All right. So let's have this come over             |
| 10 | until tomorrow.                                                |
| 11 | Members of the jury, we'll see you at 10:15 tomorrow.          |
| 12 | And I have pretty much cleared my schedule for Friday. I know  |
| 13 | everybody would like to finish as soon as possible. So Friday  |
| 14 | will be a full day or as much of a full day as we have work to |
| 15 | do except that I will have to take about 30 minutes out        |
| 16 | mid-morning to take care of what I couldn't get postponed.     |
| 17 | So thank you very much, and we'll see you tomorrow             |
| 18 | morning.                                                       |
| 19 | THE CLERK: Will the jurors please come this way.               |
| 20 | (Continued on next page)                                       |
| 21 |                                                                |
| 22 |                                                                |
| 23 |                                                                |
| 24 |                                                                |
| ı  | 1                                                              |

| 1   | (Jury not present)                                             |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2   | THE COURT: You can stand down for now.                         |
| 3 - | (Witness excused)                                              |
| 4   | THE COURT: Be seated, folks.                                   |
| . 5 | So, first of all, Mr. Skinner, how much longer with            |
| 6   | this witness?                                                  |
| 7   | MR. SKINNER: Probably about another 20 minutes or              |
| 8   | half hour, your Honor.                                         |
| 9   | THE COURT: OK. And what do you think on cross?                 |
| 10  | MR. ROSS: Half of that at most.                                |
| 11  | THE COURT: All right. So that gets us to 11 o'clock.           |
| 12  | Then the government rests, right?                              |
| 13  | MR. SKINNER: That is our intention, yes, your Honor.           |
| 14  | THE COURT: OK. And then you have two short                     |
| 15  | witnesses?                                                     |
| 16  | MR. WHITE: We have the expert and then two short               |
| 17  | witnesses.                                                     |
| 18  | THE COURT: What has the expert got to say?                     |
| 19  | MR. WHITE: The expert is on border commerce, cross             |
| 20  | border commerce and banking.                                   |
| 21  | THE COURT: What's he got to say?                               |
| 22  | MR. WHITE: Well, he is going to describe the cross             |
| 23  | border commerce. He's written books on the history of cross    |
| 24  | border commerce between Laredo and Mexico. He has worked for a |
| 25  |                                                                |

currency practices between Laredo and Mexico. And he's going 1 to testify about the history of cross border commerce, that 2 it's been a cash economy, the effect of the change in the laws 3 in 2009 regarding U.S. dollars, and related subjects, your 4 The government got an expert notice a couple of weeks 5 6 ago. 7 THE COURT: Is there going to be an objection to this, 8 or not? MR. BRAGG: Your Honor, we believe that the first 9 half, or much of it, the border commerce, is not particularly 10 relevant here. The government doesn't contest that there is 11 legitimate, you know, Mexico/U.S. commerce activity. 12 As to the second part about the change of laws in 13 2009, the government certainly has no objection. 14 If the first part is offered sort of by way of background, then I think the 15 government would have no objection. If it became unduly long, 16 then we might object at that point, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Is there a report that's been exchanged, 18 19 or a summary of his testimony? MR. WHITE: A summary of his testimony, your Honor. 20 21 THE COURT: Maybe you can before you leave tonight 22 give me one so I am prepared. 23 I will, your Honor. MR. WHITE: 24 THE COURT: Thank you. 25 Now, we've lost Andy again. OK.

1 (Pause) Are these two witnesses here that we've got to deal 2 3 with? 4 Shall I step out and look, your Honor? MR. ROSS: 5 THE COURT: Yes. Let's take a look. 6 (Pause) 7 THE COURT: Andy, do we have both counsel here? 8 THE CLERK: Yes, Judge. 9 (Pause) 10 MR. WHITE: I could hand that up, your Honor, if you 11 would like it. 12 THE COURT: Thanks very much. You can give it to my 13 law clerk. MR. ROSS: Your Honor, this is Ms. Carrillo and 14 Ms. Garcia, who I have asked to sit in the back of the 15 courtroom until your Honor directs otherwise. 16 17 THE COURT: That's fine. Now, Mr. Skinner, which of the witnesses is named 18 19 indirectly as -- which is CC-2? 20 MR. SKINNER: Your Honor, I believe it's Cynthia 21 Garcia. 22 THE COURT: Andy. 23 THE CLERK: Yes. 24 (Present were counsel Gregory Cooper and Kafahni 25 Nkrumah)

THE COURT: First of all, let me say to Mr. Cooper and 1 Mr. Nkrumah, we're very grateful for your being able to respond 2 on no notice at all. It's very important and we appreciate it. 3 Now, Ms. Garcia and Ms. Carrillo, I understand that 4 the defense -- Ms. Garcia needs an interpreter. All right. 5 6 Let's get going. 7 Do we need to swear the interpreter? 8 THE CLERK: No. 9 THE COURT: He is a house interpreter. 10 (Pause) 11 All right. Ms. Carrillo, Ms. Garcia, do you both hear 12 me all right and understand me? (Ms. Carrillo and Ms. Garcia nodded) 13 THE COURT: OK. I have been informed by the lawyers 14 that Mr. Datta wishes to call each of you as a witness in this 15 16 case. 17 Are you aware of that, Ms. Carrillo? 18 MS. CARRILLO: Yes. 19 THE COURT: And, Ms. Garcia, you are aware of that? 20 You need to speak 21 MS. GARCIA: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Yes. OK. Now, taking the witness stand sometimes has personal implications for people, legal 23 24 implications. 25 Do you understand that, Ms. Carrillo?

MS. CARRILLO: Yes.

2

THE COURT: Do you understand that, Ms. Garcia?

3

MS. GARCIA: Yes.

5

6

7

8

4

THE COURT: And you both have various legal rights in relation to the possibility of being called as a witness. It seems to me that it would be useful for each of you to have those rights explained to you by a qualified attorney before you make any decision about what you wish to do about testifying.

9

Do you understand, Ms. Carrillo?

11

10

MS. CARRILLO: Yes.

12

THE COURT: Ms. Garcia?

13

MS. GARCIA: Yes.

14 15 THE COURT: Now, it's also relevant and important that any attorney that you consult on that subject be somebody who

16

is not a lawyer for someone involved in the case, either for

17

Mr. Datta or for the government, because it should be somebody

18

who is loyal and responsible only to each of you.

Do you understand what I'm saying, Ms. Carrillo?

19 20

MS. CARRILLO: Yes.

21

THE COURT: And Ms. Garcia?

22

MS. GARCIA: Yes.

23

THE COURT: Now, time is short, but would either of you like the advice of an independent lawyer before you decide

2425

whether to testify and, if need be, to be here to represent you

when you testify, if you decide to testify? 1 2 Ms. Carrillo, would you like that? 3 MS. CARRILLO: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Ms. Garcia? 5 MS. GARCIA: Yes. THE COURT: All right. Now, you each have the right 6 to select your own lawyer and go out and hire somebody. 7 you want to do that, I would grant a short postponement of your 8 testimony to enable you to go find somebody and hire them. 9 10 I am also willing to appoint at government expense 11 independent lawyers who are on the panel of lawyers that the court appoints to represent people who don't have enough money 12 to hire private lawyers and who have been screened by the court 13 and selected by the court to do this kind of work, and I've 14 arranged to have two such lawyers be present here this 15 16 afternoon. 17 Ms. Carrillo, would you like to have me appoint a lawyer for you with whom you could consult and who would 18 represent you with regard to this business about testifying in 19 this case, or would you like to go via your own lawyer? 20 MS. CARRILLO: I would like to have a court-appointed 21 22 lawyer. 23 And, Ms. Garcia, what do you say? THE COURT: 24 MS. GARCIA: A court-appointed lawyer. 25 Then, Ms. Carrillo, I am going to appoint THE COURT:

Mr. Nkrumah, who is sitting back here in the rusty colored shirt, as your counsel. And, Mr. Cooper, I appoint you as counsel to Ms. Garcia.

Both of these lawyers have had cases before me recently. You can meet with them and consult them in confidence. You have a lawyer/client privilege with them. That means that what you say to them and what they say to you is secret unless you decide otherwise. So whatever questions you have, whatever advice you need, they are the people to talk to about it.

And now, Mr. Cooper, Mr. Nkrumah, what else can I do to make life simpler for you fellows?

MR. COOPER: Two things as far as I'm concerned,

Judge. You can verify whether -- as I understand that

Ms. Garcia is being called as a witness by the defense, I would

like to know if the defense has made any application to the

government with respect to immunity for Ms. Garcia, so that I

would know that, and whether or not the government has agreed

or refused to grant Ms. Garcia immunity for her testimony.

THE COURT: I assume you would have the same question, Mr. Nkrumah?

MR. NKRUMAH: That is correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Skinner.

MR. SKINNER: There has been no application, your Honor.

THE COURT: No application.

MR. COOPER: Then I would assume that since no application has been made, then I need to discuss with the government whether or not they would be willing to give Ms. Garcia immunity for her testimony, although I am pretty sure I know what the answer would be, but just to clarify in terms of advising Ms. Garcia.

Second, if the interpreter is available, I will meet with Ms. Garcia right now, because my question to your Honor is, having looked very quickly at the complaint, understanding that Ms. Garcia is identified as an unindicted co-conspirator in this matter, I have a very strong sense of what my advice to her would be, and I don't know what the Court's position would be if I informed the Court that Ms. Garcia wishes to invoke her Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination, how your Honor would understand that.

THE COURT: Let's not get the cart before the horse. We'll deal with that after you have consulted your client.

MR. COOPER: That is fine, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. COOPER: Can I personally talk with the government with respect to the question of immunity for Ms. Garcia?

THE COURT: Yes.

All right. Then do I correctly sense that what each of you would like to do, Mr. Nkrumah and Mr. Cooper, is take

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

five minutes to meet your respective clients and then sort of take a time out and meet with Mr. Skinner separately or together, however you all work it out, and then get back with Ms. Carrillo and Ms. Garcia?

> MR. COOPER: Yes.

MR. NKRUMAH: Certainly, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, the jury room -- I want to check to make sure it is clear.

MR. COOPER: The witness room. We met -- Mr. Nkrumah and I just went over the documents very quickly. So the witness room is available. If the conference room could be opened, then I think we could use those. In that way, if the jury has any notes or anything else back there --

THE COURT: You say the conference room?

MR. COOPER: Well, there are two rooms back there. One is a conference room and the other is a witness room.

THE COURT: It probably has been 15 years since I came into the courtroom through that door. So we'll do what we can about the rooms immediately in the rear of the courtroom.

And I think that's about as far as we can take it tonight.

So, Ms. Carrillo and Ms. Garcia, it is very important that you stay in touch with Mr. Nicaragua and Mr. Cooper this evening. They are going to need to talk to you. You are going to need to talk to them. They are going to have to talk to the

government. They may want to talk to the defense, I don't know. So there is going to be some investment of time on the part of everybody.

Do you understand that, Ms. Carrillo?

MS. CARRILLO: Yes.

THE COURT: And Ms. Garcia?

MS. GARCIA: Yes.

THE COURT: OK. This is all intended to make sure that you make an informed decision, whichever way it is, and that your personal rights are protected. That's what we are trying to do here.

OK. Anything else?

MR. COOPER: Judge, with respect to scheduling.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. COOPER: With respect to whatever decision -well, let me -- if the decision is reached on behalf of my
client that she will invoke the Fifth Amendment privilege,
would you like me to notify chambers tonight or tomorrow? I
don't know what your Honor's scheduling situation is in terms
of how you want me to deal with that.

THE COURT: Well --

MR. COOPER: Only because I am assuming that it is not something your Honor would want to do in the presence of the jury.

THE COURT: That would be right. Let my chambers know

at 9:30 tomorrow morning if that's the case. We're starting at 1 2 I gather we have about three-quarters of an 10:15 tomorrow. hour left with the government's last witness. The government 3 then will rest. The defense tell me they have an expert first; 4 5 is that right? 6 MR. WHITE: Yes, your Honor. 7 And then are we going into these witnesses THE COURT: after that? 8 9 MR. WHITE: Two short witnesses. 10 THE COURT: Two short witnesses. So I'm not sure 11 whether we will get to these two ladies, assuming they are going to testify, if that is the decision, before or after 12 lunch but we are going to get to them tomorrow, it looks like. 13 14 MR. COOPER: Your Honor, I just may need the 15 assistance of chambers, because I have matters scheduled tomorrow morning before Judge White in State Supreme Court, and 16 I was planning to call her tomorrow morning and tell her that I 17 will be delayed. But I might go over there first, tell her the 18 19 situation, and delay that proceeding until after --THE COURT: You know Andy's number and he will be 20 21 helpful. 22 MR. COOPER: I know how to get in touch with Andy. 23 do, Judge. 24 THE COURT: OK. Anything else, folks? 25 MR. SKINNER: No, your Honor. Thank you.

```
1
                 MR. COOPER:
                             If I could just have David's assistance
       to confer with her for a while, I would appreciate it.
  2
  3
                 THE COURT:
                             Great.
  4
                      David, are you free to do that?
                 (David Mintz, the Spanish Language Interpreter,
  5
 6
       indicated affirmatively)
 7
                THE COURT:
                             Thank you.
 8
                All right. Thanks, folks.
 9
                (Adjourned to 10:15 a.m., Thursday, September 22,
10
       2011)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

| 1  | INDEX OF EXAMINATION      |
|----|---------------------------|
| 2  | Examination of: Page      |
| 3  | RICHARD REINHARDT         |
| 4  | Direct By Mr. Skinner 470 |
| 5  | Cross By Mr. White 487    |
| 6  | GABRIELLA DE LA GARZA     |
| 7  | Direct By Mr. Bragg 525   |
| 8  | Cross By Mr. White 536    |
| 9  | FAUSTINO GARZA            |
| 10 | Direct By Mr. Skinner 542 |
| 11 | FAUSTO GARZA 568          |
| 12 | Cross By Mr. White 602    |
| 13 | MIGUEL CARRERA            |
| 14 | Direct By Mr. Skinner 617 |
| 15 |                           |
| 16 |                           |
| 17 |                           |
| 18 |                           |
| 19 |                           |
| 20 |                           |
| 21 |                           |
| 22 |                           |
| 23 |                           |
| 24 |                           |
| 25 |                           |
|    |                           |

| 1   | GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS                             |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------|
| 2   | Exhibit No. Received                            |
| 3   | 609 and 813 475                                 |
| 4   | 916 to 920                                      |
| 5   | 304                                             |
| 6   | 211                                             |
| 7   | 915                                             |
| 8 , | 810                                             |
| 9   | 401-419, 425 and 401-T through 419-T, 425-T 592 |
| 10  | 805                                             |
| 11  | 815                                             |
| 12  | 427 427T                                        |
| 13  | 421, 421-T                                      |
| 14  | 814                                             |
| 15  | 428, 429, 431, 434 634                          |
| 16  | 428-T, 429-T, 431-T 434-T 634                   |
| 17  | DEFENDANT EXHIBITS                              |
| 18  | Exhibit No. Received                            |
| 19  | C                                               |
| 20  | D                                               |
| 21  |                                                 |
| 22  |                                                 |
| 23  |                                                 |
| 24  |                                                 |
| 25  |                                                 |
|     |                                                 |